

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF THE
ACCUSATION OF

Jose Castaneda Salazar

ACCUSATION AGAINST AN ATTORNEY

Jose Castaneda Salazar
1377 Rutan Way
Pasadena, California 91104-5047
(626)296-9136

PROOF OF SERVICE—ACCUSATION

I hereby declare that I am a citizen of the United States, that I am over 18 years of age, and that I am a party to the within action. I am employed, reside in the county of Los Angeles, and my address and residence is 1377 Rutan Way, Pasadena, California, 91104.

On April 22, 2007, I served a true and correct copy of the attached Accusation on the within parties in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

State Bar of California	State Bar of California
1149 South Hill Street	180 Howard Street
Los Angeles, CA. 90015	San Francisco, Ca 94105

Sonia Maria Mercado & Associates (Samuel R. Paz)
5701 W. Slauson Avenue #202
Culver City, California 90230

[MAIL] I caused such envelope(s) with postage thereon fully prepaid to be placed in the United States mail at Pasadena, CA.

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on April 22, 2007, at Pasadena, California

Jose Castaneda Salazar

VERIFICATION -ACCUSATION

I, Jose Castaneda Salazar, am the person who is filing the Accusation. I certify and declare that I have read the foregoing, that I know its contents, and that I am informed and believe the matters stated within are true.

I declare under penalty of perjury and the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 22 day of April, 2007, at Pasadena, California.

Jose Castaneda Salazar

**SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA
SUPREME COURT JUSTICES
350 McALLISTER STREE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA. 94102**

**RE: SONIA MARIA MERCADO AND ASSOCIATES (SAMUEL R. PAZ)
STATE BAR CASE No. 05-14166
SUPREME COURT ACCUSATION No. S 142077**

**WHY WOULD LAWYER SONIA MARIA MERCADO TESTIFY,
UNDER OATH AND UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY, OTHER
THAN THE TRUTH ABOUT 2 CHECKS PAYABLE TO HER FOR
EXPERT WITNESS IN A CASE AND TO A BANK WHERE THE
FIRM'S TRUST ACCOUNT IS / WAS HELD AND WHERE SHE
PERSONALLY TOOK HER CLIENT FELICITAS CASTANEDA TO
CASH A SETTLEMENT OF \$400K IN 1997? (ALICIA CASTANEDA
AS WITNESS, ACCOMPANY MRS. CASTANEDA TO BANK)**

**IF IT WEREN'T FOR THE ANSWERES GIVEN TO QUESTIONS
THAT DEMANDED THE TRUTH, WE WOULD NOT HAVE
DISCOVERED THIS FRAUD.**

**WHAT WE'VE DISCOVERED IN DECEMBER 6, 2006 IS THAT AN
"INVESTMENT" WAS MADE FOR \$100,000.00 WITH MONIES
FROM CLIENT'S PART OF SETTLEMENT. (EXHIBIT 1)**

**WM FINANCIAL ALLEGES INVESTMENT "MANAGED" OVER
SEVERAL "TELEPHONE WITHDRAWALS" STARTING JULY, 1998
AND ENDING IN FEBRUARY, 2000.**

**EXHIBIT "A" IS THE TESTIMONY OF SONIA M. MERCADO OF
DATE MAY 10, 2005,**

**EXHIBIT "G" IS A COPY OF SAVINGS ACCOUNT STATEMENT
FROM HOME SAVINGS OF AMERICA DATED 9/23/97 AT 660 S.
FIGUEROA STREET IN LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA.**

ON PAGE 10, LINE 5:

MR. XAVIER: HOME SAVINGS?
DO YOU HAVE A BANK ACCOUNT WITH HOME
SAVINGS AND LOAN?

MRS. MERCADO: I DON'T HAVE ONE. I DON'T KNOW IF AT
THE PRESENT TIME I DID, YOUR HONOR.

ON PAGE 14, LINE 17:

MR. XAVIER: DID YOU EVER HAVE A BANK ACCOUNT
AT HOME SAVINGS?

MRS. MERCADO: MAY HAVE.

MR. XAVIER: IN 1996, DID YOU HAVE BANK ACCOUNT
IN HOME SAVINGS?

MRS. MERCADO: I MAY HAVE.

MR. XAVIER: DID YOU BANK AT HOME SAVINGS RIGHT
AND YOUR OFFICE IS DOWNTOWN?
THERE IS A FACILITY, HOME SAVINGS
THERE?

ON PAGE 15, LINE 1:

MRS. MERCADO: WHERE?

MR. XAVIER: ON FIGUEROA.

MRS. MERCADO: YES, I DID BANK ON FIGUEROA.

MR. XAVIER: 660 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET, L.A., HOME
SAVINGS?

MRS. MERCADO: I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE ADDRESS IS.
I DID BANK ON FIGUEROA.

FOLLOW UP QUESTION:

MR. XAVIER: TO WHICH BANK DID YOU TAKE
CLIENT FELICITAS CASTANEDA TO CASH
SETTLEMENT CHECK OF \$400,000.00?

DID CLIENT GET FULL ACCOUNTING?

HOW MANY HOURS DID BILL IN CASE
FROM 9/16/96 TO 6/18/97 WHEN CASE
WAS SETTLED?

**DID YOU ORDER A \$100K "INVESTMENT"
WITH CLIENT'S MONEY?
DID CLIENT RECEIVE 65% AS AGREED?**

AGAIN, PEOPLE LIE UNDER OATH BECAUSE THEY ARE HIDING SOMETHING. MRS. MERCADO FIRST LIED ABOUT RECEIVING AND CASHING TWO CHECKS, THEN SHE LIED ABOUT HAVING AN ACCOUNT AT HOME SAVINGS WHERE SHE TOOK CLIENT, SHE IS PROBABLY LYING ABOUT OTHER THINGS IN THE CASE SHE HANDLED.

WHY WOULD A 75-YEAR-OLD-CLIENT GO TO A BANK (660 SOUTH FIGUEROA) A BLOCK AWAY FROM THE OFFICES (777 SOUTH FIGUEROA) OF MRS. MERCADO IN DOWNTOWN LOS ANGELES AND 20 MILES FROM PASADENA?

IS IT CONFLICT OF INTEREST TO USE SAME FINANCIAL INSTITUTION WHERE TRUST ACCOUNT IS HELD FOR LAW FIRM OF MRS. MERCADO?

HER SWORN TESTIMONY GAVE A FALSE ACCOUNT OF THE EVENTS IN THE CASE. THAT TESTIMONY WAS INSTANTLY AND REPEATEDLY CONTRADICTED BY ALL THE FACTS.

I AM PROVIDING YOU WITH THE FACTS AND IN DOING SO; I PRAY THAT YOU WILL INSTRUCT THE STATE BAR TO ORDER A SUBPOENA OF THE FIRM'S TRUST ACCOUNT RECORDS.

ATTORNEY MERCADO RECEIVES \$400,000.00 AS SETTLEMENT. HOW MUCH DID SHE GIVE A 75-YEAR-OLD-CLIENT?

**WE ARE NOW DISCOVERING (12/2006) THAT AN "INVESTMENT" WAS MADE AT MRS. MERCADO'S FINANCIAL INSTITUTION AND MANAGED BY CLIENT OVER SEVERAL "TELEPHONE-WITHDRAWALS" IN JULY, 1998 THRU FEBRUARY, 2000!!!
(EXHIBIT 1)**

MRS. SONIA MARIA MERCADO = PERJURY + FRAUD.