

Presented in

Paris VII University

A Generalized Realizability
for
Constructive Arithmetics

Saeed Salehi
Inst. of Math.
Polish Academy of Sciences
Salehi@impan.gov.pl

Kleene's (r-) realizability

$$n \Vdash p \equiv p \text{ for atomic } p, p = T, \perp$$

$$n \Vdash A \wedge B \equiv (\pi_1(n) \Vdash A) \wedge (\pi_2(n) \Vdash B)$$

$$n \Vdash A \vee B \equiv (\pi_1(n) = 0 \wedge \pi_2(n) \Vdash A) \vee (\pi_1(n) \neq 0 \wedge \pi_2(n) \Vdash B)$$

$$n \Vdash A \rightarrow B \equiv \forall x [x \Vdash A \rightarrow \exists t \{ T_{n,x,t} \wedge \bigwedge \underbrace{U t \Vdash B}_{\varphi_n(x) \downarrow \Vdash B} \}]$$

$$n \Vdash \exists x A(x) \equiv \pi_2(n) \Vdash A(\pi_1(n))$$

$$n \Vdash \forall x A(x) \equiv \forall z \exists t [T_{n,z,t} \wedge \bigwedge \underbrace{U t \Vdash A(z)}_{\varphi_n(z) \downarrow \Vdash A(z)}]$$

Soundness: $HA \vdash \varphi \Rightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{N}$
 $HA \vdash n \Vdash \varphi$

ECT_0 is

$$\forall x [A(x) \rightarrow \exists y B(x, y)] \rightarrow \exists u \forall x [A(x) \rightarrow \exists v \{ \mathbf{T}_{u, x, v} \wedge B(x, \mathbf{U}_v) \}]$$

in which A doesn't contain v and \exists is only in behind of atoms.

For HA in the language of PRA (and so containing PRA):

Completeness theorem of Troelstra:

$$HA \vdash \exists x (x \Vdash \varphi) \Leftrightarrow HA + ECT_0 \vdash \varphi$$

\Vdash -realizability:

$$\vdash n \Vdash A \rightarrow B \equiv (A \rightarrow B) \wedge \dots$$

Soundness: $HA \vdash \varphi \Rightarrow HA \vdash n \Vdash \varphi$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$

As applications:

- DP: $HA \vdash A \vee B \Rightarrow HA \vdash A$ or $HA \vdash B$
- EDN: $HA \vdash \exists x A(x) \Rightarrow HA \vdash A(n)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- provably total functions of HA are recursive;

$$HA \vdash \forall x \exists y A(x, y) \Rightarrow HA \vdash \forall x \exists z \{ \mathbf{T}_{m, x, z} \wedge A(x, \mathbf{U}_z) \}$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$

Basic Logic and Basic Arithmetic

sequent calculus with the symbols \top , \perp and an exception for the universal quantification:

For formulas A, B and \bar{x} a finite sequences of variables $\forall \bar{x} (A \rightarrow B)$ is a formula.

for $\bar{x} = \phi$ we write $A \rightarrow B$

and for $A = \top$ $\forall \bar{x} B(\bar{x})$.

Axioms

$$A \Rightarrow A \quad A \Rightarrow \top \quad \perp \Rightarrow A$$

$$A \wedge \exists x B \Rightarrow \exists x (A \wedge B) \quad \text{x not free in A}$$

$$A \wedge (B \vee C) \Rightarrow (A \wedge B) \vee (A \wedge C)$$

$$\forall \bar{x} (A \rightarrow B) \wedge \forall \bar{x} (B \rightarrow C) \Rightarrow \forall \bar{x} (A \rightarrow C)$$

$$\forall \bar{x} (A \rightarrow B) \wedge \forall \bar{x} (A \rightarrow C) \Rightarrow \forall \bar{x} (A \rightarrow B \wedge C)$$

$$\forall \bar{x} (B \rightarrow A) \wedge \forall \bar{x} (C \rightarrow A) \Rightarrow \forall \bar{x} (B \vee C \rightarrow A)$$

$$\forall \bar{x} (A \rightarrow B) \Rightarrow \forall \bar{x} (A_x^t \rightarrow B_x^t)$$

no var. in t is bounded in A nor B

$$\forall \bar{x} (A \rightarrow B) \Rightarrow \forall \bar{y} (A \rightarrow B)$$

no var. in \bar{y} is bounded on left

$$\forall x \bar{y} (B \rightarrow A) \Rightarrow \forall \bar{y} (\exists x B \rightarrow A)$$

no var. in \bar{y} is free on left

$$\top \Rightarrow x = x$$

$$x = y \wedge A \Rightarrow A_y^x$$

A-atomic

Rules

$$\frac{A \Rightarrow B \quad B \Rightarrow C}{A \Rightarrow C}$$

$$\frac{A \Rightarrow B \quad A \Rightarrow C}{A \Rightarrow B \wedge C}$$

$$\frac{B \Rightarrow A \quad C \Rightarrow A}{B \vee C \Rightarrow A}$$

$$\frac{A \Rightarrow B}{A_t^x \Rightarrow B_t^x}$$

no var. in t is bounded on the top.

$$\frac{B \Rightarrow A}{\exists x B \Rightarrow A}$$

x not free in A

$$\frac{A \wedge B \Rightarrow C}{A \Rightarrow \forall \bar{x} (B \Rightarrow C)}$$

no var. in \bar{x} free in A

Basic Arithmetic

the language : constant 0 , \underline{f} , \underline{R}
for each primitive recursive function f and relation R .

S : successor function

Z : constant zero function

π_n^i : projection

$$\Rightarrow \underline{Z}(x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{f}(\underline{g}_1, \dots, \underline{g}_n) = \underline{h} \quad \text{if } f(g_1, \dots, g_n) = h$$

$$\Rightarrow \pi_n^i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{f}(\bar{x}, 0) = \underline{g}(\bar{x})$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{f}(\bar{x}, y+1) = \underline{h}(\bar{x}, \underline{f}(\bar{x}, y))$$

$$\text{if } \begin{cases} f(\bar{x}, 0) = g(\bar{x}) \\ f(\bar{x}, y+1) = h(\bar{x}, f(\bar{x}, y)) \end{cases}$$

$$\underline{S}x = 0 \Rightarrow \perp$$

$$\underline{S}x = \underline{S}y \Rightarrow x = y$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{f}(\underline{n}) = \underline{m}$$

$$\underline{n} = \underbrace{S \dots S}_n 0$$

$$\text{if } N \models f(n) = m$$

$$\underline{R}(\bar{x}) \Rightarrow \underline{\chi_R}(\bar{x}) = 1$$

$$\underline{\chi_R}(\bar{x}) = 1 \Rightarrow \underline{R}(\bar{x})$$

χ_R is the characteristic func. of R

$$\forall x \bar{y} (A(x) \rightarrow A(\underline{S}x)) \Rightarrow \forall x \bar{y} (A(0) \rightarrow A(x))$$

$$\underline{A(x) \Rightarrow A(\underline{S}x)}$$

$$\underline{A(0) \Rightarrow A(x)}$$

- the primitive recursive $PR(x) \equiv$ "there is no use of minimization in the 'program' of the function with 'code' x "

So if $\mathcal{N} \models PR(n)$ then φ_n is p.r. but not vice versa!

- the primitive recursive function $eq(x, y)$;

$$eq(m, n) = \begin{cases} 1 & m = n \\ 0 & m \neq n \end{cases}$$

$$\text{let } \sim x = y \equiv eq(x, y) = 0$$

$$\text{we have } BA \vdash x = y \wedge \sim x = y \Rightarrow \perp$$

So this is stronger than logical negation, since

$$BA \not\vdash x = y \wedge \neg x = y \Rightarrow \perp$$

$$\text{also } BA \vdash \Rightarrow \forall x, y (x = y \vee \sim x = y)$$

Primitive Recursive Realizability

$$x r^{PR} p \equiv p \quad \text{for atomic } p \text{ and } p = T, \perp$$

$$x r^{PR} A \wedge B \equiv (\pi_1(x) r^{PR} A) \wedge (\pi_2(x) r^{PR} B)$$

$$x r^{PR} A \vee B \equiv (\pi_1(x) = 0 \wedge \pi_2(x) r^{PR} A) \vee (\neg \pi_1(x) = 0 \wedge \pi_2(x) r^{PR} B)$$

$$x r^{PR} \exists z B(z) \equiv \pi_2(x) r^{PR} B(\pi_1(x))$$

$$x r^{PR} \forall \bar{z} (A \rightarrow B) \equiv PR(x) \wedge \forall y, \bar{z} (y r^{PR} A(\bar{z}) \rightarrow \exists w \{ T_{x, \langle y, \bar{z} \rangle, w} \wedge U w r^{PR} B(\bar{z}) \})$$

$$\varphi_x \langle y, \bar{z} \rangle r B(\bar{z})$$

also for $x r^{PR} (A \Rightarrow B)$ write two segments:

$$\Rightarrow PR(x) \quad y r^{PR} A(\bar{z}) \Rightarrow \exists w \{ T_{x, \langle y, \bar{z} \rangle, w} \wedge U w r^{PR} B(\bar{z}) \}$$

\bar{z} are all free var. of the seq.

We identify $\bar{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ with $\langle z_1, \langle z_2, \langle \dots z_n \rangle \dots \rangle$

Soundness

if $BA \vdash A \Rightarrow B$ then $BA \vdash n r^{PR} (A \Rightarrow B)$
for a natural $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Another form of church's thesis

$$\forall x \exists y B(x, y) \Rightarrow \exists u [PR(u) \wedge \forall x B(x, \varphi_u(x))]$$

Generalized form of CH : GCTPR

$$\forall \bar{x}, z (z r^{PR} A(\bar{x}) \rightarrow \exists y B(\bar{x}, y)) \Rightarrow \exists u [PR(u) \wedge \forall \bar{x}, z (z r^{PR} A(\bar{x}) \rightarrow \exists w \{T_{u, \langle \bar{x}, z \rangle, w} \wedge B(\bar{x}, U w)\})]$$

Completeness :

$$BA \vdash \exists x (x r^{PR} (A \Rightarrow B)) \quad \text{iff}$$

$$BA + GCTPR \vdash A \Rightarrow B$$

\mathcal{P} -PR-realizability

- $x \mathcal{P}^{\text{PR}} \forall \bar{z} (A(\bar{z}) \rightarrow B(\bar{z})) \equiv \forall \bar{z} (A(\bar{z}) \rightarrow B(\bar{z})) \wedge \text{PR}(x) \wedge \dots$
- $x \mathcal{P}^{\text{PR}} (A \Rightarrow B)$ are three segments: $\Rightarrow \text{PR}(x) \quad A \Rightarrow B$
- $y \mathcal{P}^{\text{PR}} A(\bar{z}) \Rightarrow \exists w \{ \mathcal{T}_{x, \langle y, \bar{z} \rangle, w} \wedge \bigcup_w \mathcal{P}^{\text{PR}} B(\bar{z}) \}$
 $\bar{z} = \langle z_1, \langle z_2, \dots \rangle \rangle$ all free var. of the seg.

Theorem. $BA \vdash x \mathcal{P}^{\text{PR}} A \Rightarrow A$

$BA \vdash A \Rightarrow B$ then $BA \vdash n \mathcal{P}^{\text{PR}} (A \Rightarrow B)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Applications:

- if $BA \vdash A \vee B$ then $BA \vdash A$ or $BA \vdash B$
- if $BA \vdash \exists x A(x)$ then $BA \vdash A(n)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- if $BA \vdash \forall \bar{x} \exists y A(\bar{x}, y)$ then $BA \vdash \forall \bar{x} A(\bar{x}, f\bar{x})$
for a pr. (symbol) f .
- if $BA \vdash \Rightarrow \exists y A(\bar{x}, y)$ then $BA \vdash \Rightarrow A(\bar{x}, f\bar{x})$
for a p.r. f .

(totally provable functions of BA are primitive recursive.)