

FILED

AUG 30 2001

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ROGER W. KNIGHT,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

ROYANNE M. SCHMITZ, et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 01-35459

D.C. No. CV-00-01874-BJR

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Western District of Washington
Barbara J. Rothstein, Chief Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 13, 2001**

Before: HAWKINS, TASHIMA and GOULD, Circuit Judges.

Roger W. Knight appeals pro se the district court's dismissal for lack of subject matter jurisdiction of his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action. The claims in Knight's

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as may be provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

complaint are inextricably intertwined with prior Washington State court child support proceedings. The district court did not err in dismissing Knight's action because under the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine federal district courts lack authority to review state court judgments. *District of Columbia Court of Appeals v. Feldman*, 460 U.S. 462, 476 (1983); *Worldwide Church of God v. McNair*, 805 F.2d 888, 892-93 (9th Cir. 1986); *Branson v. Nott*, 62 F.3d 287, 291-92 (9th Cir. 1995).

AFFIRMED.