

March 19, 2003 (year of our Lord)

Nicholas M. Roach
860 Verdure, Brossard,
Quebec, J4W 1R3

Mme. Lise Denis
Protéctrice des Usagées en Matière de Santé et Service Sociaux.
500, boul. Rene-Levesque Ouest;
bureau 6.400
Montreal, Québec, H2Z 1W7

Objet: Mise en demeure

Dear Madame Denis,

In Monsieur Paul Laquerre's decisional letter - concerning my dossier -He says that he spoke to the police of my town and to a female social worker from Centre Espoir social services. The police of my town and the female social workers at Centre Espoir deny this categorically. Further they deny any knowledge of the existence of the person of Monsieur Paul Laquerre working for the Protecteur des Usagées... This alone allows for a revision of my complaint based on the phrase at the bottom of page two (2) that you sent me in your letter of september 9, 2002. Citation page 2;
N/Reference : 19243; " Dès lors, sauf circonstances exceptionnelles dont il revient a la protectrice d'apprécier la portée, les conclusions du delegue sont celles-la mêmes de la protectrice. ". I have witnesses to prove that your man is in error which qualifies as " circonstances exceptionnelles ".

You, Madame Denis, on the other hand have no proof of any violent actions on my part before the end of july 1999 (the date of my expulsion from Alternative-Centregens) that you say led to my expulsion.

The reason you do not have this proof is that none exists. If you have any documentation - with dates, times, and witnesses names - of any violent action perpetrated by me against Alternative-Centregens, it's members or administration before my expulsion in july 1999; I would insist you make that information transparent to me. Otherwise I would appreciate a letter of apology from you personally retracting the assurances in Monsieur Laquerre's letter that I was expelled from Alternative-Centregens for " comportement Violent ".

If you are unable to comply to either of the exclusive demands above, I will be forced to defend my name and honor in a court of law.

Since the gouvernement of Quebec refuses to assist social assistance beneficiaries in cases of discrimination such as this one with aide juridique; I will bring this case to small claims court where I will demand damages under seven thousand dollars (\$7000).

Facts of the Case:

Annie Larouche:

- Intervenante francophone,
 - employée de Alternative-Centregens:
 - *Acusé* de faute d'éthique.
 - *Elle plaide coupable.*
- (l' APAMM 450-677-5697)

Nicholas Roach:

- Membre bien aimé d' Alternative-Centregens, anglophone:
- *Acusé* d' avoir été violent en 1999.
- *Acusation* inventé en 2000 par Pierre Marois - directeur d' Alternative-Centregens.
- *Je plaide non coupable.*
- *Acusé* en juillet 1999 de relations amoureuse avec l' intervenante Annie Larouche
- *Je plaide non coupable* par raison que ceci ne contrevenaient aucune regle du centre en 1999. En plus il n y avait aucune code d' éthique parceque l'employée mandate de l' écrire - Annie Larouche - ne l'avait pas commençait en juillet 1999 ni au date de sa congediement pour faute d'éthique (relation sexuelle avec membre **Nicholas Roach**). (Ironie).

Lise Denis et Paul Laquerre:

- Employé(e)s du gouvernement de Quebec - Protectrice des Usages en Matiere de Santé et Service Sociaux.
- *Je vous accuse de:*
- Defamation against **Nicholas M. Roach** - Usagées de service en santé et sociaux.
- Malpractice in your duty to protect the rights of **Nicholas Roach** - citizen of Quebec - to have access to health and social services.
- Bearing false witness and making false statements concerning **Nicholas Roach**
- Conducting an inquiry that was chronologically flawed and refusing to acknowledge the error.
- Omitting to interview crucial and credible witnesses (i.e. **Annie Larouche**) who were extremely implicated in events concerning this dossier, and would contradict false testimony made by **Pierre Marois** and others about the truth.
- Refusing to grant a revision of complaint by **Nicholas Roach** based on prejudiced judgement of his character and ignoring factual evidence of said complainant relevent and crucial to his case.
- Refusing to communicate with **Nicholas Roach** in English after he made it quite clear he was an anglophone in need of services in his mother tounge.
- Failing to recognise what **Roy Romanov** said; " All citizens of Canada have the inalienable right to healthcare and social services " and that your false statements against **Nicholas Roach** impeded this inalienable right in his case.
- Your plea pending a response to this letter.

The demands of Nicholas Roach are the following:

- Documented proof of the accusations of violence - you have defamed him with -, including dates, times and witnesses names. Concerning only incidents at or toward Alternative-Centregens and dating before July 1999. This being the subject of the complaint (all other events and relationships outside of these parameters being irrelevant to this dossier including dates after July 1999 - date of **Nicholas Roach's** expulsion from Alternative-Centregens).

OR

- A letter of apology from Madame **Lise Denis** - Protectrice des Usagées en Matière de Santé et Service Sociaux - personally retracting the assurances in Monsieur **Paul Laquerre's** letter that **Nicholas Roach** was expelled from Alternative-Centregens for " comportement Violent ".



Nicholas M. Roach

Cc: François Legault ; Ministre de la Santé et Service Sociaux (Québec)

Cc: Pierre Bourbonnais, avocat; Secrétariat général et affaires juridiques (Québec)