

Temple University Course and Teaching Evaluation - Spring 2004

CRN: **037795**
 INSTR. NAME: **POHL, FLORIAN**
 DEPT. NAME: **ASIA ST**
 COURSE #: **C053**
 SECT. #: **002**
 CAMPUS: **BROAD AND MONTGOMERY**
 COLLEGE: **LIBERAL ARTS**

TIMES TAUGHT: **2 - 4**
 COURSE NAME: **INTRO TO WORLD RELIGIO**
 DEPT. CODE: **02404**
 INSTRUCTOR: **1 of 1**

ENROLLMENT: **43**
 COMPLETED EVALUATIONS: **38**

		Low = 1	Moderate = 2	High = 3	Not Answered	Mean	SD	Pct'l Rank
1.	Before enrolling, my level of interest in the subject matter of this course was	n=38 (1)	(20)	(17)	(0)			
	Section	3%	53%	45%		2.42	0.54	
	Department	13%	50%	36%		2.23	0.67	77
	College	19%	48%	33%		2.14	0.71	73
	Level	25%	50%	25%		2.00	0.71	86
	University	18%	46%	36%		2.18	0.71	66

		A = 4	B = 3	C = 2	D = 1	F = 0	Not Answered	Mean	SD	Pct'l Rank
2.	Expected grade in this course	n=38 (16)	(19)	(3)	(0)	(0)	(0)			
	Section	42%	50%	8%	0%	0%		3.34	0.62	
	Department	41%	49%	10%	0%	0%		3.30	0.66	53
	College	39%	50%	10%	0%	0%		3.28	0.67	54
	Level	38%	48%	14%	1%	0%		3.22	0.72	62
	University	45%	44%	10%	1%	0%		3.33	0.69	45

		Required = 1	Elective = 2	Not Answered	Mean	SD	Pct'l Rank
3.	Course was: Required or Elective	n=37 (11)	(26)	(1)			
	Section	30%	70%		1.70	0.46	
	Department	42%	58%		1.58	0.49	73
	College	62%	38%		1.38	0.48	79
	Level	78%	22%		1.22	0.41	91
	University	73%	27%		1.27	0.44	82

		Less than 1 = 1	1-3 = 2	4-6 = 3	7-9 = 4	More than 9 = 5	Not Answered	Mean	SD	Pct'l Rank
4.	Hours per week spent preparing for course	n=37 (1)	(22)	(10)	(4)	(0)	(1)			
	Section	3%	59%	27%	11%	0%		2.46	0.72	
	Department	15%	58%	22%	4%	1%		2.16	0.75	85
	College	11%	54%	29%	5%	2%		2.33	0.80	62
	Level	14%	52%	27%	5%	2%		2.29	0.84	66
	University	11%	48%	29%	7%	4%		2.46	0.94	53

Temple University Course and Teaching Evaluation - Spring 2004

CRN: 037795
 INSTR. NAME: POHL, FLORIAN
 DEPT. NAME: ASIA ST
 COURSE #: C053
 SECT. #: 002
 CAMPUS: BROAD AND MONTGOMERY
 COLLEGE: LIBERAL ARTS

TIMES TAUGHT: 2 - 4
 COURSE NAME: INTRO TO WORLD RELIGIO
 DEPT. CODE: 02404
 INSTRUCTOR: 1 of 1

ENROLLMENT: 43
 COMPLETED EVALUATIONS: 38

		Strongly Agree = 5	Agree = 4	Neutral = 3	Disagree = 2	Strongly Disagree = 1	Not answered = 0	Mean	SD	Pct'l Rank
1. The instructor clearly explained the educational objectives of this course.	n=38	(23)	(11)	(2)	(1)	(1)	(0)			
	Section	61%	29%	5%	3%	3%		4.42	0.91	
	Department	39%	46%	10%	4%	1%		4.18	0.84	71
	College	44%	42%	9%	3%	1%		4.24	0.85	59
	Level	42%	42%	10%	4%	2%		4.18	0.90	64
	University	44%	41%	9%	4%	2%		4.22	0.89	57
2. The instructor was well organized and prepared for class.	n=38	(22)	(12)	(2)	(1)	(1)	(0)			
	Section	58%	32%	5%	3%	3%		4.39	0.90	
	Department	42%	42%	11%	3%	1%		4.22	0.85	57
	College	48%	39%	9%	3%	1%		4.29	0.85	49
	Level	46%	40%	9%	3%	2%		4.25	0.87	54
	University	48%	38%	9%	3%	2%		4.28	0.87	49
3. So far, the instructor has graded fairly.	n=38	(18)	(13)	(3)	(3)	(1)	(0)			
	Section	47%	34%	8%	8%	3%		4.16	1.04	
	Department	42%	43%	10%	4%	2%		4.19	0.88	40
	College	44%	39%	11%	4%	2%		4.19	0.92	38
	Level	43%	39%	12%	4%	2%		4.16	0.94	40
	University	44%	38%	11%	4%	2%		4.19	0.94	38
4. The instructor scheduled time and was available to students outside of class.	n=36	(17)	(12)	(5)	(1)	(1)	(2)			
	Section	47%	33%	14%	3%	3%		4.19	0.97	
	Department	36%	42%	19%	2%	1%		4.10	0.83	59
	College	41%	39%	17%	2%	1%		4.16	0.86	44
	Level	38%	35%	19%	3%	2%		4.07	0.91	54
	University	41%	38%	17%	3%	1%		4.13	0.90	48
5. The instructor provided prompt feedback about exams, projects, rehearsals, and performances.	n=37	(19)	(14)	(3)	(1)	(0)	(1)			
	Section	51%	38%	8%	3%	0%		4.38	0.75	
	Department	37%	45%	13%	4%	2%		4.10	0.90	68
	College	41%	41%	12%	5%	2%		4.13	0.94	60
	Level	39%	41%	13%	5%	2%		4.11	0.94	64
	University	42%	39%	12%	4%	2%		4.15	0.94	59
6. The instructor consistently started and ended class on time.	n=38	(22)	(12)	(1)	(2)	(1)	(0)			
	Section	58%	32%	3%	5%	3%		4.37	0.96	
	Department	41%	46%	8%	4%	1%		4.22	0.84	58
	College	46%	42%	7%	3%	1%		4.28	0.85	49
	Level	45%	43%	7%	3%	2%		4.26	0.85	51
	University	46%	41%	7%	3%	2%		4.28	0.86	49
7. The instructor promoted a classroom atmosphere in which I felt free to ask questions and express my opinions.	n=38	(22)	(12)	(2)	(1)	(1)	(0)			
	Section	58%	32%	5%	3%	3%		4.39	0.90	
	Department	47%	39%	10%	3%	2%		4.28	0.86	54
	College	51%	34%	9%	3%	2%		4.29	0.92	46
	Level	47%	38%	11%	4%	2%		4.21	0.95	53
	University	50%	34%	9%	3%	2%		4.27	0.93	46
8. The instructor taught this course well.	n=38	(21)	(11)	(4)	(2)	(0)	(0)			
	Section	55%	29%	11%	5%	0%		4.34	0.87	
	Department	42%	39%	12%	5%	3%		4.12	0.99	68
	College	47%	35%	11%	4%	3%		4.18	0.99	51
	Level	44%	35%	12%	5%	4%		4.10	1.05	57
	University	46%	34%	11%	5%	3%		4.15	1.02	51

Temple University Course and Teaching Evaluation - Spring 2004

CRN: **037795**
 INSTR. NAME: **POHL, FLORIAN**
 DEPT. NAME: **ASIA ST**
 COURSE #: **C053**
 SECT. #: **002**
 CAMPUS: **BROAD AND MONTGOMERY**

COLLEGE: **LIBERAL ARTS**
 TIMES TAUGHT: **2 - 4**
 COURSE NAME: **INTRO TO WORLD RELIGIO**
 DEPT. CODE: **02404**
 INSTRUCTOR: **1 of 1**

ENROLLMENT: **43**
 COMPLETED EVALUATIONS: **38**

		Strongly Agree = 5	Agree = 4	Neutral = 3	Disagree = 2	Strongly Disagree = 1	Not Answered	Mean	SD	Pct'l Rank
1. The course materials (textbook, handouts, etc.) and course activities were useful and of high quality.	n=38	(14)	(17)	(5)	(2)	(0)	(0)			
	Section	37%	45%	13%	5%	0%		4.13	0.83	
	Department	28%	43%	20%	6%	3%		3.88	0.97	74
	College	32%	44%	16%	6%	2%		3.98	0.96	57
	Level	28%	44%	18%	7%	3%		3.88	1.00	68
	University	31%	43%	16%	6%	3%		3.93	1.00	60
2. I learned a great deal in this course.	n=38	(18)	(15)	(1)	(4)	(0)	(0)			
	Section	47%	39%	3%	11%	0%		4.24	0.93	
	Department	36%	42%	15%	4%	2%		4.07	0.93	64
	College	36%	42%	15%	5%	2%		4.04	0.96	59
	Level	31%	42%	18%	6%	3%		3.92	1.00	69
	University	36%	42%	15%	5%	3%		4.03	0.97	57
3. I increased my ability to analyze and critically evaluate ideas, arguments, and points of view.	n=37	(10)	(15)	(9)	(3)	(0)	(1)			
	Section	27%	41%	24%	8%	0%		3.86	0.91	
	Department	24%	39%	28%	7%	2%		3.77	0.96	56
	College	30%	40%	22%	6%	2%		3.91	0.97	39
	Level	26%	39%	26%	7%	3%		3.77	1.00	52
	University	30%	40%	21%	6%	2%		3.90	0.98	40
4. Information technology (Internet, e-mail, courseware, etc.) was used effectively in the course.	n=34	(20)	(9)	(4)	(1)	(0)	(4)			
	Section	59%	26%	12%	3%	0%		4.41	0.81	
	Department	25%	35%	29%	8%	3%		3.72	1.02	91
	College	30%	38%	23%	6%	2%		3.87	0.99	88
	Level	29%	38%	23%	7%	3%		3.82	1.02	89
	University	32%	39%	21%	6%	3%		3.92	0.99	82
5. I gained an interest in learning more about the material covered in this course.	n=38	(17)	(12)	(5)	(2)	(2)	(0)			
	Section	45%	32%	13%	5%	5%		4.05	1.12	
	Department	32%	39%	20%	6%	3%		3.90	1.01	59
	College	31%	37%	20%	9%	4%		3.82	1.09	59
	Level	25%	35%	23%	11%	6%		3.63	1.15	73
	University	32%	37%	19%	8%	4%		3.84	1.09	56
6. This course had value to me.	n=36	(16)	(14)	(2)	(3)	(1)	(2)			
	Section	44%	39%	6%	8%	3%		4.14	1.03	
	Department	32%	42%	18%	4%	4%		3.95	0.99	64
	College	33%	40%	17%	6%	4%		3.93	1.04	57
	Level	28%	40%	20%	7%	5%		3.79	1.08	69
	University	36%	40%	15%	5%	4%		3.99	1.03	51
7. The workload for this course was										
	n=38	(7)	(14)	(17)	(0)	(0)	(0)			
	Section	18%	37%	45%	0%	0%		3.74	0.75	
	Department	6%	16%	65%	13%	0%		3.14	0.72	90
	College	5%	26%	61%	7%	0%		3.27	0.69	85
	Level	5%	24%	60%	10%	1%		3.22	0.72	88
University	6%	28%	57%	8%	1%		3.31	0.73	81	