

Temple University Course and Teaching Evaluation - Fall 2003

CRN: 033766
 INSTR. NAME: POHL, FLORIAN
 DEPT. NAME: REL
 COURSE #: C051
 SECT. #: 001
 CAMPUS: BROAD AND MONTGOMERY
 COLLEGE: LIBERAL ARTS

TIMES TAUGHT: 2 - 4
 COURSE NAME: INTRO TO WESTERN REL
 DEPT. CODE: 02425
 INSTRUCTOR: 1 of 1

ENROLLMENT: 45
 COMPLETED EVALUATIONS: 26

		Low = 1	Moderate = 2	High = 3	Not Answered	Mean	SD	Pct'l Rank
1.	Before enrolling, my level of interest in the subject matter of this course was	n=26 (7)	(18)	(1)	(0)			
	Section	27%	69%	4%		1.77	0.50	
	Department	13%	51%	36%		2.23	0.66	1
	College	19%	48%	32%		2.13	0.71	14
	Level	25%	50%	25%		2.00	0.71	24
	University	18%	46%	36%		2.18	0.71	13

		A = 4	B = 3	C = 2	D = 1	F = 0	Not Answered	Mean	SD	Pct'l Rank
2.	Expected grade in this course	n=26 (8)	(17)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)			
	Section	31%	65%	4%	0%	0%		3.27	0.52	
	Department	46%	46%	7%	0%	0%		3.38	0.64	28
	College	39%	50%	11%	0%	0%		3.27	0.67	45
	Level	37%	48%	14%	1%	0%		3.21	0.72	54
	University	45%	44%	10%	1%	0%		3.33	0.69	38

		Required = 1	Elective = 2	Not Answered	Mean	SD	Pct'l Rank
3.	Course was: Required or Elective	n=26 (19)	(7)	(0)			
	Section	73%	27%		1.27	0.44	
	Department	40%	60%		1.60	0.49	3
	College	64%	36%		1.36	0.48	42
	Level	79%	21%		1.21	0.41	69
	University	74%	26%		1.26	0.44	61

		Less than 1 = 1	1-3 = 2	4-6 = 3	7-9 = 4	More than 9 = 5	Not Answered	Mean	SD	Pct'l Rank
4.	Hours per week spent preparing for course	n=26 (1)	(12)	(12)	(1)	(0)	(0)			
	Section	4%	46%	46%	4%	0%		2.50	0.64	
	Department	15%	58%	23%	3%	1%		2.17	0.76	80
	College	11%	54%	29%	5%	2%		2.33	0.80	67
	Level	14%	52%	27%	5%	2%		2.29	0.85	70
	University	11%	48%	29%	7%	5%		2.46	0.94	57

Temple University Course and Teaching Evaluation - Fall 2003

CRN: 033766
 INSTR. NAME: POHL, FLORIAN
 DEPT. NAME: REL
 COURSE #: C051
 SECT. #: 001
 CAMPUS: BROAD AND MONTGOMERY
 COLLEGE: LIBERAL ARTS

TIMES TAUGHT: 2 - 4
 COURSE NAME: INTRO TO WESTERN REL
 DEPT. CODE: 02425
 INSTRUCTOR: 1 of 1

ENROLLMENT: 45
 COMPLETED EVALUATIONS: 26

		Strongly Agree = 5	Agree = 4	Neutral = 3	Disagree = 2	Strongly Disagree = 1	Not answered = 0	Mean	SD	Pct'l Rank
1. The instructor clearly explained the educational objectives of this course.	n=26	(11)	(15)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)			
	Section	42%	58%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.42	0.49	
	Department	43%	44%	9%	3%	2%		4.23	0.86	62
	College	44%	42%	9%	3%	1%		4.24	0.85	60
	Level	42%	42%	10%	4%	2%		4.18	0.91	64
	University	44%	41%	9%	4%	2%		4.22	0.90	57
2. The instructor was well organized and prepared for class.	n=26	(18)	(8)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)			
	Section	69%	31%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.89	0.46	
	Department	46%	39%	9%	4%	2%		4.23	0.90	83
	College	48%	39%	9%	3%	1%		4.29	0.85	81
	Level	46%	40%	9%	3%	2%		4.25	0.87	84
	University	48%	38%	9%	3%	2%		4.26	0.87	79
3. So far, the instructor has graded fairly.	n=26	(18)	(8)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)			
	Section	69%	31%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.69	0.46	
	Department	48%	39%	9%	3%	1%		4.29	0.86	85
	College	44%	39%	11%	4%	2%		4.19	0.93	87
	Level	43%	39%	12%	4%	2%		4.17	0.94	88
	University	44%	38%	11%	4%	2%		4.18	0.94	85
4. The instructor scheduled time and was available to students outside of class.	n=25	(15)	(8)	(2)	(0)	(0)	(1)			
	Section	60%	32%	8%	0%	0%	0%	4.52	0.64	
	Department	39%	39%	18%	3%	1%		4.14	0.85	80
	College	41%	39%	17%	2%	1%		4.16	0.86	75
	Level	38%	38%	19%	3%	2%		4.07	0.92	81
	University	40%	38%	18%	3%	1%		4.12	0.90	77
5. The instructor provided prompt feedback about exams, projects, rehearsals, and performances.	n=26	(17)	(8)	(0)	(1)	(0)	(0)			
	Section	65%	31%	0%	4%	0%	0%	4.58	0.59	
	Department	43%	41%	10%	4%	3%		4.16	0.95	79
	College	41%	41%	12%	5%	2%		4.13	0.93	79
	Level	39%	41%	13%	5%	2%		4.11	0.94	81
	University	42%	39%	12%	5%	2%		4.14	0.95	76
6. The instructor consistently started and ended class on time.	n=26	(18)	(7)	(0)	(1)	(0)	(0)			
	Section	69%	27%	0%	4%	0%	0%	4.62	0.68	
	Department	47%	44%	6%	2%	1%		4.33	0.78	79
	College	46%	42%	7%	3%	1%		4.28	0.89	78
	Level	45%	42%	8%	3%	2%		4.26	0.86	81
	University	46%	41%	7%	3%	2%		4.27	0.87	77
7. The instructor promoted a classroom atmosphere in which I felt free to ask questions and express my opinions.	n=26	(15)	(10)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)			
	Section	58%	38%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4.54	0.57	
	Department	53%	35%	7%	3%	2%		4.36	0.85	59
	College	51%	34%	8%	3%	2%		4.30	0.91	61
	Level	48%	35%	11%	4%	2%		4.22	0.96	67
	University	50%	34%	10%	3%	2%		4.27	0.93	60
8. The instructor taught this course well.	n=26	(18)	(6)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)			
	Section	73%	23%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4.69	0.54	
	Department	47%	35%	11%	5%	3%		4.18	1.00	83
	College	47%	35%	11%	4%	3%		4.19	0.99	81
	Level	44%	35%	12%	5%	4%		4.10	1.05	84
	University	46%	34%	11%	5%	3%		4.15	1.03	80

Temple University Course and Teaching Evaluation - Fall 2003

CRN: **033766**
 INSTR. NAME: **POHL, FLORIAN**
 DEPT. NAME: **REL**
 COURSE #: **C051**
 SECT. #: **001**
 CAMPUS: **BROAD AND MONTGOMERY**

COLLEGE: **LIBERAL ARTS**
 TIMES TAUGHT: **2 - 4**
 COURSE NAME: **INTRO TO WESTERN REL**
 DEPT. CODE: **02425**
 INSTRUCTOR: **1 of 1**

ENROLLMENT: **45**
 COMPLETED EVALUATIONS: **26**

		Strongly Agree = 5	Agree = 4	Neutral = 3	Disagree = 2	Strongly Disagree = 1	Not Answered	Mean	SD	Pct'l Rank
1. The course materials (textbook, handouts, etc.) and course activities were useful and of high quality.	n=26	(8)	(14)	(3)	(1)	(0)	(0)			
Section		31%	54%	12%	4%	0%		4.12	0.75	
Department		31%	45%	17%	6%	2%		3.97	0.94	57
College		32%	44%	16%	6%	2%		3.98	0.96	55
Level		28%	44%	18%	7%	3%		3.87	1.00	67
University		31%	43%	16%	6%	3%		3.92	1.00	59
2. I learned a great deal in this course.	n=26	(10)	(15)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)			
Section		38%	58%	4%	0%	0%		4.35	0.55	
Department		38%	42%	13%	4%	2%		4.09	0.94	64
College		36%	42%	15%	5%	2%		4.04	0.95	69
Level		31%	42%	18%	6%	3%		3.92	1.00	79
University		36%	42%	15%	5%	3%		4.03	0.97	67
3. I increased my ability to analyze and critically evaluate ideas, arguments, and points of view.	n=26	(5)	(14)	(7)	(0)	(0)	(0)			
Section		19%	54%	27%	0%	0%		3.92	0.67	
Department		28%	39%	25%	6%	3%		3.85	0.98	49
College		30%	40%	22%	6%	2%		3.90	0.96	44
Level		25%	39%	26%	7%	3%		3.77	1.00	57
University		30%	40%	22%	6%	2%		3.89	0.98	45
4. Information technology (Internet, e-mail, courseware, etc.) was used effectively in the course.	n=25	(12)	(12)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(1)			
Section		48%	48%	4%	0%	0%		4.44	0.57	
Department		28%	38%	26%	5%	3%		3.82	0.99	87
College		30%	38%	24%	6%	3%		3.87	0.99	89
Level		28%	38%	24%	7%	3%		3.81	1.02	90
University		32%	39%	21%	6%	3%		3.91	1.00	84
5. I gained an interest in learning more about the material covered in this course.	n=26	(6)	(14)	(5)	(1)	(0)	(0)			
Section		23%	54%	19%	4%	0%		3.96	0.76	
Department		32%	41%	17%	7%	3%		3.93	1.02	48
College		30%	37%	20%	9%	4%		3.80	1.09	54
Level		25%	35%	23%	11%	6%		3.62	1.15	67
University		31%	37%	19%	8%	4%		3.83	1.09	50
6. This course had value to me.	n=26	(7)	(17)	(2)	(0)	(0)	(0)			
Section		27%	65%	8%	0%	0%		4.19	0.56	
Department		34%	42%	16%	5%	3%		4.00	0.98	56
College		33%	40%	17%	6%	4%		3.92	1.04	62
Level		28%	40%	20%	7%	5%		3.79	1.08	73
University		35%	40%	15%	5%	4%		3.98	1.03	55
7. The workload for this course was	n=26	(1)	(7)	(18)	(0)	(0)	(0)			
Section		4%	27%	69%	0%	0%		3.35	0.55	
Department		4%	18%	65%	12%	1%		3.13	0.69	76
College		5%	26%	61%	8%	0%		3.27	0.69	58
Level		5%	24%	60%	10%	1%		3.22	0.72	62
University		6%	28%	57%	8%	1%		3.31	0.73	55