

# Temple University Course and Teaching Evaluation - Spring 2004

CRN: 049094  
 INSTR. NAME: POHL, FLORIAN  
 DEPT. NAME: REL  
 COURSE #: W200  
 SECT. #: 001  
 CAMPUS: BROAD AND MONTGOMERY  
 COLLEGE: LIBERAL ARTS

TIMES TAUGHT: First Time  
 COURSE NAME: INTRO TO ISLAM  
 DEPT. CODE: 02425  
 INSTRUCTOR: 1 of 1

ENROLLMENT: 26  
 COMPLETED EVALUATIONS: 26

		Low = 1	Moderate = 2	High = 3	Not Answered	Mean	SD	Pct'l Rank
1.	Before enrolling, my level of interest in the subject matter of this course was	n=19 (2)	(7)	(10)	(7)			
	Section	11%	37%	50%		2.42	0.67	
	Department	13%	50%	36%		2.23	0.67	80
	College	19%	48%	33%		2.14	0.71	73
	Level	12%	43%	45%		2.33	0.68	54
	University	18%	46%	36%		2.18	0.71	66

		A = 4	B = 3	C = 2	D = 1	F = 0	Not Answered	Mean	SD	Pct'l Rank
2.	Expected grade in this course	n=19 (4)	(14)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(7)			
	Section	21%	74%	5%	0%	0%		3.16	0.49	
	Department	46%	46%	7%	0%	0%		3.38	0.64	16
	College	39%	50%	10%	0%	0%		3.28	0.67	30
	Level	46%	44%	9%	0%	0%		3.36	0.67	23
	University	45%	44%	10%	1%	0%		3.33	0.69	26

		Required = 1	Elective = 2	Not Answered	Mean	SD	Pct'l Rank
3.	Course was: Required or Elective	n=19 (12)	(7)	(7)			
	Section	63%	37%		1.37	0.48	
	Department	39%	61%		1.61	0.49	7
	College	62%	38%		1.38	0.48	49
	Level	68%	32%		1.32	0.47	58
	University	73%	27%		1.27	0.44	65

		Less than 1 = 1	1-3 = 2	4-6 = 3	7-9 = 4	More than 9 = 5	Not Answered	Mean	SD	Pct'l Rank
4.	Hours per week spent preparing for course	n=19 (1)	(4)	(11)	(2)	(1)	(7)			
	Section	5%	21%	58%	11%	5%		2.89	0.85	
	Department	15%	58%	23%	3%	1%		2.18	0.75	92
	College	11%	54%	29%	5%	2%		2.33	0.80	88
	Level	10%	47%	31%	8%	5%		2.53	0.96	73
	University	11%	48%	29%	7%	4%		2.46	0.94	78

# Temple University Course and Teaching Evaluation - Spring 2004

CRN: 049094  
 INSTR. NAME: POHL, FLORIAN  
 DEPT. NAME: REL  
 COURSE #: W200  
 SECT. #: 001  
 CAMPUS: BROAD AND MONTGOMERY  
 COLLEGE: LIBERAL ARTS

TIMES TAUGHT: First Time  
 COURSE NAME: INTRO TO ISLAM  
 DEPT. CODE: 02425  
 INSTRUCTOR: 1 of 1

ENROLLMENT: 26  
 COMPLETED EVALUATIONS: 26

		Strongly Agree = 5	Agree = 4	Neutral = 3	Disagree = 2	Strongly Disagree = 1	Not measured = 0	Mu	SD	Pct'l Rank
1. The instructor clearly explained the educational objectives of this course.	<b>n=19</b>	(14)	(5)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(7)			
	Section	74%	26%	0%	0%	0%		4.74	0.44	
	Department	44%	43%	8%	3%	1%		4.25	0.85	92
	College	44%	42%	9%	3%	1%		4.24	0.85	90
	Level	47%	40%	8%	3%	2%		4.26	0.88	87
	University	44%	41%	9%	4%	2%		4.22	0.89	87
2. The instructor was well organized and prepared for class.	<b>n=19</b>	(16)	(3)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(7)			
	Section	84%	16%	0%	0%	0%		4.84	0.36	
	Department	46%	40%	9%	3%	2%		4.26	0.87	94
	College	48%	39%	9%	3%	1%		4.29	0.85	93
	Level	50%	37%	8%	3%	1%		4.31	0.86	91
	University	48%	38%	9%	3%	2%		4.28	0.87	91
3. So far, the instructor has graded fairly.	<b>n=19</b>	(12)	(7)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(7)			
	Section	63%	37%	0%	0%	0%		4.63	0.48	
	Department	48%	39%	9%	3%	1%		4.28	0.86	79
	College	44%	39%	11%	4%	2%		4.19	0.92	82
	Level	45%	37%	11%	4%	2%		4.19	0.94	80
	University	44%	38%	11%	4%	2%		4.19	0.94	80
4. The instructor scheduled time and was available to students outside of class.	<b>n=17</b>	(10)	(7)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(9)			
	Section	59%	41%	0%	0%	0%		4.59	0.49	
	Department	40%	39%	18%	2%	1%		4.15	0.85	87
	College	41%	39%	17%	2%	1%		4.16	0.86	81
	Level	42%	37%	16%	3%	1%		4.16	0.89	81
	University	41%	38%	17%	3%	1%		4.13	0.90	82
5. The instructor provided prompt feedback about exams, projects, rehearsals, and performances.	<b>n=19</b>	(12)	(7)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(7)			
	Section	63%	37%	0%	0%	0%		4.63	0.48	
	Department	43%	40%	10%	4%	2%		4.17	0.94	83
	College	41%	41%	12%	5%	2%		4.13	0.94	83
	Level	44%	39%	11%	5%	2%		4.17	0.94	80
	University	42%	39%	12%	4%	2%		4.15	0.94	81
6. The instructor consistently started and ended class on time.	<b>n=19</b>	(15)	(4)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(7)			
	Section	79%	21%	0%	0%	0%		4.79	0.41	
	Department	47%	44%	6%	2%	1%		4.34	0.77	91
	College	46%	42%	7%	3%	1%		4.28	0.85	93
	Level	47%	41%	7%	3%	1%		4.29	0.85	91
	University	46%	41%	7%	3%	2%		4.28	0.86	92
7. The instructor promoted a classroom atmosphere in which I felt free to ask questions and express my opinions.	<b>n=19</b>	(16)	(3)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(7)			
	Section	84%	16%	0%	0%	0%		4.84	0.36	
	Department	54%	36%	8%	2%	1%		4.37	0.84	91
	College	51%	34%	9%	3%	2%		4.29	0.92	91
	Level	52%	34%	8%	3%	2%		4.31	0.91	89
	University	50%	34%	9%	3%	2%		4.27	0.93	89
8. The instructor taught this course well.	<b>n=19</b>	(16)	(3)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(7)			
	Section	84%	16%	0%	0%	0%		4.84	0.36	
	Department	48%	35%	10%	4%	3%		4.21	0.98	92
	College	47%	35%	11%	4%	3%		4.18	0.99	92
	Level	48%	33%	11%	5%	3%		4.19	1.01	91
	University	46%	34%	11%	5%	3%		4.15	1.02	91

Temple University Course and Teaching Evaluation - Spring 2004

CRN: 049094  
 INSTR. NAME: POHL, FLORIAN  
 DEPT. NAME: REL  
 COURSE #: W200  
 SECT. #: 001  
 CAMPUS: BROAD AND MONTGOMERY

COLLEGE: LIBERAL ARTS  
 TIMES TAUGHT: First Time  
 COURSE NAME: INTRO TO ISLAM  
 DEPT. CODE: 02425  
 INSTRUCTOR: 1 of 1

ENROLLMENT: 26  
 COMPLETED EVALUATIONS: 26

		Strongly Agree = 5	Agree = 4	Neutral = 3	Disagree = 2	Strongly Disagree = 1	Not Answered	Mean	SD	Pct Rank
1. The course materials (textbook, handouts, etc.) and course activities were useful and of high quality.	n=19	(10)	(9)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(7)			
	Section	53%	47%	0%	0%	0%		4.53	0.50	
	Department	31%	45%	17%	5%	2%		3.97	0.84	91
	College	32%	44%	16%	6%	2%		3.98	0.96	89
	Level	33%	42%	16%	6%	3%		3.95	1.01	88
	University	31%	43%	16%	6%	3%		3.93	1.00	89
2. I learned a great deal in this course.	n=19	(14)	(5)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(7)			
	Section	74%	26%	0%	0%	0%		4.74	0.44	
	Department	38%	43%	13%	4%	2%		4.11	0.92	95
	College	36%	42%	15%	5%	2%		4.04	0.96	94
	Level	40%	41%	13%	4%	2%		4.11	0.95	92
	University	36%	42%	15%	5%	3%		4.03	0.97	93
3. I increased my ability to analyze and critically evaluate ideas, arguments, and points of view.	n=19	(11)	(7)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(7)			
	Section	58%	37%	5%	0%	0%		4.53	0.60	
	Department	29%	39%	24%	5%	2%		3.87	0.97	92
	College	30%	40%	22%	6%	2%		3.91	0.97	90
	Level	33%	40%	20%	5%	2%		3.97	0.96	88
	University	30%	40%	21%	6%	2%		3.90	0.98	89
4. Information technology (Internet, e-mail, courseware, etc.) was used effectively in the course.	n=18	(12)	(6)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(6)			
	Section	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%		4.67	0.47	
	Department	28%	38%	25%	5%	3%		3.84	0.99	98
	College	30%	38%	23%	6%	2%		3.87	0.99	96
	Level	35%	38%	20%	5%	2%		3.98	0.98	93
	University	32%	39%	21%	6%	3%		3.92	0.99	94
5. I gained an interest in learning more about the material covered in this course.	n=19	(9)	(10)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(7)			
	Section	47%	53%	0%	0%	0%		4.47	0.50	
	Department	33%	40%	18%	6%	3%		3.94	1.01	87
	College	31%	37%	20%	9%	4%		3.82	1.09	87
	Level	36%	38%	16%	6%	3%		3.98	1.03	80
	University	32%	37%	19%	8%	4%		3.84	1.09	84
6. This course had value to me.	n=19	(11)	(7)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(7)			
	Section	58%	37%	5%	0%	0%		4.53	0.60	
	Department	35%	42%	15%	4%	3%		4.02	0.97	83
	College	33%	40%	17%	6%	4%		3.93	1.04	85
	Level	40%	40%	13%	4%	3%		4.11	0.97	76
	University	36%	40%	15%	5%	4%		3.99	1.03	80
7. The workload for this course was	n=19	(2)	(15)	(2)	(0)	(0)	(7)			
	Section	11%	79%	11%	0%	0%		4.00	0.45	
	Department	4%	18%	66%	12%	0%		3.14	0.68	97
	College	5%	26%	61%	7%	0%		3.27	0.69	95
	Level	7%	31%	55%	7%	1%		3.38	0.73	90
	University	6%	28%	57%	8%	1%		3.31	0.73	93

Too Heavy = 5  
 Heavy = 4  
 Average = 3  
 Light = 2  
 Too Light = 1  
 Not Answered