

(Important Note: Submit this sheet to your tutor within your assignment minder final submission)

Criteria	7	6	5	4/3	2/1
1 Oral presentation	Independence from notes, clear audible voices, 3min/speaker, enthusiastic, logical flow. Quality ppt slides (clear, attractive, colourful, easily read).	Some reference to notes, clear audible voices, 3min/speaker, logical flow. Ppt slides clear, attractive, readable.	Read mostly from notes but clear audible voices, 3min/speaker, logical flow. Ppt slides clear, readable.	Read entirely from notes, voices halting but audible, 2-3min/ speaker, flow of logic just detectable. Ppt slides cluttered but readable.	Stilted, inaudible speakers, not all spoke, disorganised. No visual aids.
2 Artefact	Artefact complies 100% with brief, minimum use of resources, very simple clear presentation/ operation, elegant design/construction.	Artefact complies 90% with brief, low use of resources, simple & observable presentation/ operation, good design/ construction.	Artefact complies 80% with brief, low use of resources, design/ construction of reasonable quality.	Artefact complies 70% with brief, average use of resources, design/ construction of barely acceptable quality	Artefact complies <70% with brief, heavy use of resources, very complex, design/ construction sloppy & of low quality.
3 Report presentation and compliance with brief	Comprehensive, 100% compliance with brief. Excellent and outstanding presentation, no errors in spelling or grammar.	1 or 2 things missing. A minor loss of neatness, 1 or 2 errors in spelling, grammar, etc.	3 or 4 things missing. Generally neat, 3 or 4 errors in spelling, grammar, etc.	Complies with > half of the brief specifications. Neatness just acceptable, no more than 1 or 2 errors in spelling or grammar on each page.	Complies with < half the brief specifications. Low quality presentation, many errors in spelling or grammar throughout the report.
4 Graphics	Plan, elevation and 3D perspective. Accurately drawn, A3 sheet, to scale, very neat, all relevant main points in report presented.	Plan, elevation and 3D perspective. Accurately drawn, A3 sheet, to scale, a small loss of neatness, 1 or 2 relevant main points in report not presented.	Plan & elevation, or 3D perspective. Some errors in drawing, A3 sheet, to scale, generally neat, more than half relevant main points in report presented.	Plan & elevation, or 3D perspective. A3 sheet, to scale, neatness just acceptable.	Only plan or elevation present. Other than A3 sheet used, not to scale, level of neatness unacceptable.
5 Ethics and intercultural issues.	Shows a polished and imaginative approach to the topic and provides supporting argument using a wide range of multiple perspectives and information.	Carefully and logically organised discussion, supported by a range of multiple perspectives and information.	Shows organisation and coherence, with a supporting argument that uses a limited range of perspectives and information.	Shows some attempt to organise discussion in a logical manner, and provides limited supporting argument using a single perspective or source of information.	Little attempt at logical argument, vague discussion of issues, little or no supporting information or perspectives.
6 Evidence of Group Work.	Minutes of all 4 team meetings + team agreement, from TeamWorker. All entries meaningful. Detailed analysis of team's strong & weak points & how to improve	Minutes of all 4 team meetings, from TeamWorker. All entries meaningful. Detailed analysis of team's strong and weak points	Minutes of 3 team meetings + team agreement, from TeamWorker. All entries meaningful. Presented team's strong & weak points & some discussion.	Minutes of 3 team meetings from TeamWorker. 1 or 2 entries not meaningful. Presented team's strong and weak points.	Minutes of < 3 team meetings printed from TeamWorker. More than 2 entries not meaningful. Cursory presentation of some points about team function.

INDICATIVE GRADE: 7 ; 6 ; 5 ; 4 ; 2 ; 1
+ ; -

Signature of Tutor..... Date.....