









# Golf Committee Opinion Survey about the Golf Course Master Plan

1. Your name (Optional)		
		Response Count
		251
<i>answered question</i>		<b>251</b>
<i>skipped question</i>		<b>88</b>

2. Your E-mail address (Optional)		
		Response Count
		174
<i>answered question</i>		<b>174</b>
<i>skipped question</i>		<b>165</b>

3. Please indicate your club affiliation.			
		Response Percent	Response Count
Ladies' 9 Hole Club		15.3%	52
Ladies' 18 Hole Club		16.5%	56
Men's 9 Hole Club		10.6%	36
<b>Men's 18 Hole Club</b>		<b>51.6%</b>	<b>175</b>
Dual Member of Men's Clubs		1.8%	6
None of the above		4.1%	14
<i>answered question</i>			<b>339</b>
<i>skipped question</i>			<b>0</b>






4. What is your 18 hole handicap? (If you are a niner, please double your 9-hole handicap.)					
			Response Average	Response Total	Response Count
Handicap			27.18	9214	339
			<i>answered question</i>		339
			<i>skipped question</i>		0

5. Do you primarily play 9 hole rounds or 18 hole rounds?					
			Response Percent	Response Count	
9			25.4%	86	
18			74.6%	252	
			<i>answered question</i>		338
			<i>skipped question</i>		1

6. Approximately how many rounds do you play in a year?					
			Response Average	Response Total	Response Count
Enter a number			74.66	25309	339
			<i>answered question</i>		339
			<i>skipped question</i>		0

7. What is your general opinion of the condition of the Lake Wildwood course for your use as a golfer?							
	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor	Rating Average	Response Count
Your opinion	16.2% (55)	56.6% (192)	25.1% (85)	2.1% (7)	0.0% (0)	0.91	339
						Comment:	84
						<i>answered question</i>	339
						<i>skipped question</i>	0

**8. Please indicate your age range.**

		Response Percent	Response Count
80 or more		8.8%	30
70-79		38.9%	132
<b>60-69</b>		<b>40.1%</b>	136
50-59		10.0%	34
49 or younger		2.1%	7
		<b>answered question</b>	<b>339</b>
		<b>skipped question</b>	<b>0</b>

**9. We would like to understand your level of familiarity with the contents of the Golf Course Master Plan.**

	Very familiar	Somewhat familiar	I know very little about it	Rating Average	Response Count	
Your familiarity with the GCMP	24.3% (82)	<b>59.2% (200)</b>	16.6% (56)	1.08	338	
					<b>answered question</b>	<b>338</b>
					<b>skipped question</b>	<b>1</b>






**10. This project recommends constructing 10 forward tees on holes 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12, 13, 14, & 15. Many golf courses today have from 4 to 6 tees to better accommodate golfers of varied abilities. Traditionally, Lake Wildwood had 3 tees, blue, white and red. A few years ago forward tee locations were defined for all of our holes with the placement of black tee markers on each hole. Nine of these are actually located in what is now the main fairway. This project is to construct proper elevated forward tees on those nine holes and one more on Hole #3.**

	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count	
Construction 10 forward tees	29.5% (100)	<b>38.1% (129)</b>	9.7% (33)	11.8% (40)	10.9% (37)	0.45	339	
							Comment:	80
							<b>answered question</b>	<b>339</b>
							<b>skipped question</b>	<b>0</b>

11. "Greens are the heart and soul of a golf course. ... The existing greens are the originals which opened for play in 1973. Generally speaking, properly constructed USGA greens have up to a 30 year life expectancy. Ongoing maintenance practices have assisted in overcoming any deficiencies that the greens possess, but the substructure of the drainage system and the seedbed mixture is the lifeblood of greens and they are increasingly showing signs of age that can only be corrected by reconstruction. The greens on holes #2 and #3 have been particularly troublesome in recent years and should be at the top of the list in consideration for replacement. The recommendation is to reconstruct all of the greens to complete USGA standards."

	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Rebuild 18 greens	19.8% (67)	<b>38.9% (132)</b>	7.1% (24)	20.1% (68)	14.2% (48)	0.24	339
Comment:							98
<i>answered question</i>							<b>339</b>
<i>skipped question</i>							<b>0</b>

12. If and when all greens were to be rebuilt, there would be many factors affecting the timing, the most significant of which would probably be funding. However, there are other factors. One is that the playability of the course would be impacted if there were a long period of time when some greens were old, and playing as such, and some were new, and playing as such. Also, remember that holes would not be lost during greens reconstruction but would be played with temporary greens. The question is, in an ideal world if all greens were to be reconstructed over time, how many should be done at one time?

		Response Percent	Response Count
1 at a time		23.3%	79
<b>3 at a time</b>		<b>37.5%</b>	127
6 at a time		8.3%	28
9 at a time		13.9%	47
Other (please specify)		17.1%	58
<i>answered question</i>			<b>339</b>
<i>skipped question</i>			<b>0</b>

13. If we only replace greens when they have failed or are on the verge of failing, we have the architect's statement that Green #2 "... has been a particularly poor performer in recent years and is in need of replacement." What is your opinion of rebuilding Green #2 when funds were available?

	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Rebuilding the #2 Green	30.1% (102)	<b>46.0% (156)</b>	6.5% (22)	11.5% (39)	5.9% (20)	0.59	339
Comment?							44
<i>answered question</i>							<b>339</b>
<i>skipped question</i>							<b>0</b>

14. The architect had a double recommendation for Green #3. He said that Green #3 "... has been a particularly poor performer in recent years and is in need of replacement. Proposed to be relocated back to add some 10 yards to the hole thus creating some variance in length with the par 3 holes on the course."

	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Rebuilding/Relocating #3 Green	23.0% (78)	<b>40.7% (138)</b>	11.5% (39)	14.7% (50)	10.0% (34)	0.39	339
Comment?							58
<i>answered question</i>							<b>339</b>
<i>skipped question</i>							<b>0</b>

15. Green #7 - It was suggested to move this green 20 yards farther back. This, plus proposed bunker changes, should add "visual appeal and strategic challenge to the hole."

	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Relocate #7 Green	9.4% (32)	19.8% (67)	14.7% (50)	27.4% (93)	<b>28.6% (97)</b>	-0.27	339
Comment:							65
<i>answered question</i>							<b>339</b>
<i>skipped question</i>							<b>0</b>

16. Green #16 - Proposed "expansion of the green back right to create a usable and very interesting pin position."							
	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Expansion of #16 Green	8.8% (30)	24.8% (84)	20.6% (70)	<b>25.1% (85)</b>	20.6% (70)	-0.12	339
Comment:							44
<b>answered question</b>							<b>339</b>
<b>skipped question</b>							<b>0</b>

17. 17 bunkers are proposed to be added on Holes #4, #5, #7, #8, #11, #13, #15, & #17.							
	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Addition of 17 bunkers	8.3% (28)	20.9% (71)	22.7% (77)	23.3% (79)	<b>24.8% (84)</b>	-0.19	339
Comment:							56
<b>answered question</b>							<b>339</b>
<b>skipped question</b>							<b>0</b>

18. 25 bunkers are proposed to be removed from holes #1, #4, #7, #8, #10, #12, #13, #14, #15 & #17.							
	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Removal of 25 bunkers	11.2% (38)	<b>26.5% (90)</b>	24.5% (83)	18.6% (63)	19.2% (65)	0.00	339
Comment:							45
<b>answered question</b>							<b>339</b>
<b>skipped question</b>							<b>0</b>

19. 25 bunkers are proposed for reconstruction on Holes #1, #4, #5, #6, #7, #9, #10, #12, #13, #14, #15, #16, #17 & #18.

	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Reconstruct 25 bunkers	13.6% (46)	<b>34.2% (116)</b>	21.8% (74)	14.5% (49)	15.9% (54)	0.17	339
Comment:							44
<i>answered question</i>							<b>339</b>
<i>skipped question</i>							<b>0</b>

20. There are eleven holes (1, 4, 6, 7, 8, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17 & 18) on which the architect recommended adding mounds. The purpose of these mounds is to add visual definition to the holes, to screen cart paths and roads and to "... deflect errant shots from finding a worse fate."

	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Addition of mounds	12.4% (42)	<b>35.8% (121)</b>	17.2% (58)	14.2% (48)	20.4% (69)	0.14	338
Comment:							42
<i>answered question</i>							<b>338</b>
<i>skipped question</i>							<b>1</b>

21. For Hole #1, expand "... the two back tees to increase teeing space and improve alignment to the landing area."

	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Enlarge Tee #1	9.8% (33)	<b>29.3% (99)</b>	25.4% (86)	18.3% (62)	17.2% (58)	0.04	338
Comment:							35
<i>answered question</i>							<b>338</b>
<i>skipped question</i>							<b>1</b>

**22. For Hole #4, two recommendations. 1. "Addition of a new tee in front of the current back tee to provide an option for the same hitting out of the 'chute' feel to the tee shot that occurs from the back tee." 2. "Expansion of the current middle tee to increase teeing options as well as reducing wear to this heavily used tee."**

	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Add a new back tee on Hole #4	7.1% (24)	20.1% (68)	<b>25.4% (86)</b>	24.6% (83)	22.8% (77)	-0.20	338
Expand Middle Tee on Hole #4	9.8% (33)	<b>40.8% (138)</b>	19.8% (67)	15.7% (53)	13.9% (47)	0.21	338
Comment:							45
<b>answered question</b>							<b>338</b>
<b>skipped question</b>							<b>1</b>

**23. For Hole #8 - "Extension of the back tee to gain some additional yardage."**

	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Enlarge Tee #8	3.0% (10)	16.6% (56)	28.4% (96)	<b>29.0% (98)</b>	23.1% (78)	-0.33	338
Comment:							33
<b>answered question</b>							<b>338</b>
<b>skipped question</b>							<b>1</b>

**24. For Tee #9, "Removal of the railroad tie wall and combining of the current back and forward tees into one large teeing space at the same level to increase teeing area on this par 3."**

	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Enlarge Tee #9	8.9% (30)	<b>36.1% (122)</b>	21.0% (71)	18.3% (62)	15.7% (53)	0.11	338
Comment:							28
<b>answered question</b>							<b>338</b>
<b>skipped question</b>							<b>1</b>

25. For Tee #11, "Expansion of the back tee to create additional teeing area on this par 3."							
	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Enlarge Tee #11	5.3% (18)	25.4% (86)	<b>28.7% (97)</b>	22.8% (77)	17.8% (60)	-0.10	338
Comment:							26
<i>answered question</i>							<b>338</b>
<i>skipped question</i>							<b>1</b>

26. For Tee #14, the architect recommended, "Addition of a new back tee in the slope behind the cartpath. Adds a unique teeing option as well as some 40 yards of additional length."							
	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Enlarge Tee #14	2.4% (8)	11.8% (40)	27.8% (94)	28.7% (97)	<b>29.3% (99)</b>	-0.44	338
Comment:							39
<i>answered question</i>							<b>338</b>
<i>skipped question</i>							<b>1</b>

27. Finally, for Tee #15, we could "extend [the] back tee back to provide additional teeing options on this par 5."							
	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Enlarge Tee #15	3.6% (12)	14.8% (50)	<b>29.3% (99)</b>	28.4% (96)	24.0% (81)	-0.34	338
Comment:							33
<i>answered question</i>							<b>338</b>
<i>skipped question</i>							<b>1</b>

28. This goes beyond simple tee enlargement. Here is the architect's statement. "Reconstruction of the entire tee complex to create a series of four tees that stair-step down the hillside to open up views of the fairway from each tee and eliminate the current blind tee shot situation on the closing hole of the golf course. Current cartpath would remain in place with the forward tees cut down below path level as they move forward."

	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Rebuilding Tee #18	11.8% (40)	<b>36.4%</b> <b>(123)</b>	11.5% (39)	18.9% (64)	21.3% (72)	0.08	338
Comment:							37
<b>answered question</b>							<b>338</b>
<b>skipped question</b>							<b>1</b>

29. Please enter your opinion of improving the drainage on the following holes.

	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Hole #2	18.3% (62)	<b>43.5%</b> <b>(147)</b>	20.4% (69)	8.9% (30)	8.9% (30)	0.44	338
Hole #5	15.1% (51)	<b>36.1%</b> <b>(122)</b>	27.5% (93)	11.2% (38)	10.1% (34)	0.30	338
Hole #6	14.2% (48)	<b>33.4%</b> <b>(113)</b>	28.1% (95)	14.2% (48)	10.1% (34)	0.23	338
Hole #7	13.3% (45)	<b>36.1%</b> <b>(122)</b>	26.9% (91)	13.6% (46)	10.1% (34)	0.26	338
Hole #10	27.2% (92)	<b>38.5%</b> <b>(130)</b>	17.5% (59)	7.1% (24)	9.8% (33)	0.49	338
Hole #12	17.2% (58)	<b>43.8%</b> <b>(148)</b>	20.7% (70)	8.3% (28)	10.1% (34)	0.43	338
Hole #13	13.0% (44)	<b>31.7%</b> <b>(107)</b>	31.4% (106)	12.7% (43)	11.2% (38)	0.21	338
Hole #17	17.8% (60)	<b>39.1%</b> <b>(132)</b>	23.7% (80)	9.5% (32)	10.1% (34)	0.37	338
Comment:							60
<b>answered question</b>							<b>338</b>
<b>skipped question</b>							<b>1</b>

30. The native Oak landscape is the dominant theme in Lake Wildwood as a whole. Over the years, a number of non-native trees have been introduced to the golf course landscape with Italian Cypress and Mulberry trees being the prevalent varieties that stand out in contrast to the landscape. The Italian Cypress were originally planted as 150 yard markers on either side of the fairway, a common practice in those days. Apparently a number of Italian Cypress trees must have been left over and many were planted directly behind greens. The golf course architect felt that their appearance distracted from the landscape rather than enhancing it. It is his opinion that removing these trees would "... have more bearing on enhancing the aesthetics of the golf experience than virtually anything else that is outlined." Mulberry trees were another introduced species that are inappropriate in this setting. They are fast growing, shallow rooted, low branching, high maintenance and short lived trees. Over time, as they deteriorate, they should be removed and replaced by approximately 100 Oak trees. The architects proposal "... over time is to return to the original roots of Lake Wildwood Golf Club that are still the highlights of the club today, with a significant number of native Oak trees reintroduced, especially on sight lines where hillside backdrops are prevalent, in order to return to the golf course landscape blending into its surroundings." At the recommendation of the Golf Committee, removal of the Cypress trees was begun by Golf Operations in 2007 on a time-available basis. Replacement of some of them with alternative 150 yard markers is in process at this time. Please enter your opinion.

	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Removing other non-native trees	15.5% (52)	25.9% (87)	14.9% (50)	15.5% (52)	<b>28.3%</b> <b>(95)</b>	-0.02	336
Adding Oak trees	22.3% (75)	<b>36.9%</b> <b>(124)</b>	20.8% (70)	7.4% (25)	12.5% (42)	0.39	336
Comment:							76
<i>answered question</i>							<b>336</b>
<i>skipped question</i>							<b>3</b>

31. It is proposed to add a 5-6 foot hedge between the road and the back tee of Hole #2 to create separation from the road and privacy for the golfers on the tee.

	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Hedge on Hole #2	19.6% (66)	<b>35.4%</b> <b>(119)</b>	16.4% (55)	14.3% (48)	14.3% (48)	0.26	336
Comment:							37
<i>answered question</i>							<b>336</b>
<i>skipped question</i>							<b>3</b>

32. It is proposed to remove the "overgrown" hedge behind the back tee on Hole #3 and replace it with appropriate shrubs that can be maintained at a 5-6 foot height to create separation from the road and privacy for the golfers on the tee.

	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Hedge on Hole #3	10.4% (35)	<b>30.1%</b> <b>(101)</b>	15.2% (51)	23.2% (78)	21.1% (71)	-0.04	336
Comment:							55
<i>answered question</i>							<b>336</b>
<i>skipped question</i>							<b>3</b>

33. It is proposed to add a 5-6 foot hedge between the road and the back tee on Hole 9 to create separation and privacy for golfers. It is also proposed to extend this hedge down the left side of the tee to create screening and separation from the driveway of the adjoining home.

	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Hedge on Hole #9	21.1% (71)	<b>36.0%</b> <b>(121)</b>	15.5% (52)	14.0% (47)	13.4% (45)	0.30	336
Comment:							36
<i>answered question</i>							<b>336</b>
<i>skipped question</i>							<b>3</b>

34. Hole #1 parallels the left side of the range and Hole #2 adjoins the far end with the result that errant shots more easily travel off line into the adjoining golf holes. "One solution that can add tremendous visual definition to the range and assist in the separation of uses would be the planting of dense groves of Redwood trees down the entire left side of the range and across the end to provide, in essence, a "living fence" of some 81 trees between the range and the adjoining golf holes."

	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Creation of a "fence" of Redwood trees on the Driving Range.	21.4% (72)	<b>35.7%</b> <b>(120)</b>	15.2% (51)	13.7% (46)	14.0% (47)	0.29	336
Comment:							46
<i>answered question</i>							<b>336</b>
<i>skipped question</i>							<b>3</b>

35. A total of 12 acres has been identified which are out of play and could be converted to native grass areas. Much of this is now mowed and then becomes barren in the dry season. By converting to native grasses they could be allowed to grow to full height providing texture and color contrast to the adjoining maintained turf. Converting these areas removes them from active maintenance, thus reducing maintenance costs.

	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Create native grass areas	24.1% (81)	<b>43.2%</b> <b>(145)</b>	16.1% (54)	11.0% (37)	5.7% (19)	0.51	336
Comment:							34
<b>answered question</b>							<b>336</b>
<b>skipped question</b>							<b>3</b>

36. Removal of the existing clumps of ornamental grasses and installation of a stacked rock wall across the entire green side of the lake from the dam to the bridge to stabilize the slope and create visual definition of the water hazard.

	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Construction of rock wall by hole 12 green	27.4% (92)	<b>44.0%</b> <b>(148)</b>	11.6% (39)	9.2% (31)	7.7% (26)	0.54	336
Comment:							42
<b>answered question</b>							<b>336</b>
<b>skipped question</b>							<b>3</b>

37. Holes #13 and #14 are the only ones on the course to still have asphalt cart paths. The paths have deteriorated and are in need of replacement with concrete. The architect recommended that, when this is done, a section of the path on the left side of Hole #13 would be moved uphill. The fairway would also be moved left. See the master plan drawing for details.

	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Replace the asphalt cart paths on Holes #13 & #14	36.0% (121)	<b>47.3%</b> <b>(159)</b>	11.9% (40)	1.8% (6)	3.0% (10)	0.79	336
Relocate the cart path on Holes #13	19.6% (66)	<b>31.0%</b> <b>(104)</b>	25.6% (86)	11.3% (38)	12.5% (42)	0.27	336
Comment:							36
<b>answered question</b>							<b>336</b>
<b>skipped question</b>							<b>3</b>

**38. On Hole #12, the architect suggested, the "addition of [a] concrete cart path from the existing path over to the new forward tee to provide access to both the tee and the irrigation pump station."**

	<b>Strongly in favor</b>	<b>In favor</b>	<b>No opinion</b>	<b>Somewhat opposed</b>	<b>Strongly opposed</b>	<b>Rating Average</b>	<b>Response Count</b>
Addition of path on #12	8.6% (29)	<b>44.9% (151)</b>	28.9% (97)	9.8% (33)	7.7% (26)	0.36	336
Comment:							24
<b>answered question</b>							<b>336</b>
<b>skipped question</b>							<b>3</b>

**39. On Hole #8, the architect recommended "re-grading of the fairway as it transitions down to the creek crossing to make it receptive to holding either long tee shots or lay-up shots."**

	<b>Strongly in favor</b>	<b>In favor</b>	<b>No opinion</b>	<b>Somewhat opposed</b>	<b>Strongly opposed</b>	<b>Rating Average</b>	<b>Response Count</b>
Regrade Hole #8	13.1% (44)	<b>38.4% (129)</b>	17.3% (58)	17.9% (60)	13.4% (45)	0.20	336
Comment:							25
<b>answered question</b>							<b>336</b>
<b>skipped question</b>							<b>3</b>

**40. On Hole #15, the architect recommended "lowering of the raised area in the fairway to enhance visibility into the second landing area and green."**

	<b>Strongly in favor</b>	<b>In favor</b>	<b>No opinion</b>	<b>Somewhat opposed</b>	<b>Strongly opposed</b>	<b>Rating Average</b>	<b>Response Count</b>
Regrading Hole #15	6.0% (20)	17.9% (60)	<b>27.7% (93)</b>	26.2% (88)	22.3% (75)	-0.25	336
Comment:							30
<b>answered question</b>							<b>336</b>
<b>skipped question</b>							<b>3</b>

41. Expansion of the creek towards the green with sporadically placed boulders in the far slope to accentuate this dramatic feature that contains the natural waterfall to the left. This would bring the creek into view from the landing area.

	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Creek expansion on Hole #8	9.8% (33)	<b>37.5%</b> <b>(126)</b>	20.2% (68)	17.6% (59)	14.9% (50)	0.15	336
Comment:							28
<b>answered question</b>							<b>336</b>
<b>skipped question</b>							<b>3</b>








42. The architect recommended relocating the bridge on Hole #14 to the left "out of the line of play." Note: This project is not about rebuilding the bridge in its current location, but moving it upstream.

	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Relocation of the #14 bridge	14.9% (50)	<b>32.4%</b> <b>(109)</b>	14.0% (47)	19.3% (65)	19.3% (65)	0.09	336
Comment:							39
<b>answered question</b>							<b>336</b>
<b>skipped question</b>							<b>3</b>







43. This hole has been played as a very short par 5 and is listed as 471 yards from the back tee while in reality it measures 455 yards from the back tee - a solid par 4 length. Changes have been made over the years to address the lack of length. The architect's proposal is to convert this hole to a par 4, which is appropriate for its length, and make modifications from tee to green in accordance. An alternative would be to convert the hole into a true par 5 (short but true) by moving the tee box to the rear 20 yards or so.

	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Convert hole to long par 4	4.2% (14)	12.2% (41)	24.1% (81)	23.8% (80)	<b>35.7%</b> <b>(120)</b>	-0.43	336
Convert the hole to a short par 5	14.3% (48)	<b>37.8%</b> <b>(127)</b>	25.0% (84)	9.5% (32)	13.4% (45)	0.29	336
Comment:							27
<b>answered question</b>							<b>336</b>
<b>skipped question</b>							<b>3</b>







**44. Please indicate your willingness to pay for some Golf Course Master Plan projects as a surcharge on a per round (18 holes) basis.**

		Response Percent	Response Count
\$5 per round		10.5%	34
\$4 per round		2.8%	9
\$3 per round		8.6%	28
\$2 per round		20.4%	66
\$1 per round		18.5%	60
<b>\$0 per round</b>		<b>36.1%</b>	117
Other (please specify)		3.1%	10
		<b>answered question</b>	<b>324</b>
		<b>skipped question</b>	<b>15</b>






**45. Please indicate your willingness to pay for some Golf Course Master Plan projects as an annual surcharge.**

		Response Percent	Response Count
\$100		23.8%	78
\$75		4.0%	13
\$50		22.0%	72
\$25		11.9%	39
<b>\$0</b>		<b>34.8%</b>	114
Other (please specify)		3.7%	12
		<b>answered question</b>	<b>328</b>
		<b>skipped question</b>	<b>11</b>

46. Perhaps a more equitable approach would require all golfers to pay a one time surcharge and then have a per round surcharge in addition. That way all golfers would make a contribution at one level but, in addition, those who play the most, and would therefore benefit the most, would pay the most. Please indicate your choice of a surcharge per round which would be combined with an annual surcharge.

		Response Percent	Response Count
\$5		9.2%	31
\$4		2.1%	7
\$3		10.4%	35
\$2		19.0%	64
\$1		24.7%	83
Other (please specify)		34.5%	116
		<i>answered question</i>	<b>336</b>
		<i>skipped question</i>	<b>3</b>

47. Please enter your choice for an annual surcharge to be combined with a surcharge per round.

		Response Percent	Response Count
\$100		20.5%	69
\$75		3.3%	11
\$50		20.8%	70
\$25		17.3%	58
Other (please specify)		38.1%	128
		<i>answered question</i>	<b>336</b>
		<i>skipped question</i>	<b>3</b>

48. Some golfers in our community have expressed a willingness to make a voluntary personal donation to fund golf improvement projects. If you would be willing to do so, please enter what you feel would be an appropriate amount. If not, please proceed to the next page.

		Response Average	Response Total	Response Count
Donation Amount in Dollars		129.10	6326	49
<i>answered question</i>				49
<i>skipped question</i>				290

49. This is your opportunity to express your opinion about the survey process itself, either the online process or the paper process.

	Very good	Good	No opinion	Unsatisfactory	Rating Average	Response Count
Your opinion.	39.0% (131)	<b>46.4% (156)</b>	11.9% (40)	2.7% (9)	1.22	336
Comment:						32
<i>answered question</i>						336
<i>skipped question</i>						3

50. Obviously, it can only be used when people have E-mail capability, but, where the capability exists, what is your opinion of using E-mail for general Association communication?

	Strongly in favor	In favor	No opinion	Somewhat opposed	Strongly opposed	Rating Average	Response Count
Your opinion	<b>44.3% (149)</b>	36.6% (123)	11.9% (40)	3.9% (13)	3.3% (11)	0.74	336
Comment:							23
<i>answered question</i>							336
<i>skipped question</i>							3

51. The survey is now complete. But, before you go, if you have any general comments, you may enter them in the box below.

		Response Count
		168
	<i>answered question</i>	168
	<i>skipped question</i>	171