# Business 2019

# Assignment 2

# Solutions

- Consider the balance sheets and income statements for Sunrise, Inc. depicted in Table 1 and Table 2.
  - (a) For year 2000, calculate Sunrise's cash flow from assets, the cash flow to creditors and the cash flow to shareholders.

Answer: Sunrise's operating cash flow (OCF) is given by

OCF = Net income + Interest + Depreciation  
= 
$$$1,105 + $500 + $350$$
  
=  $$1,955.$ 

Net capital spending (NCS) is given by

NCS = NFA<sub>2000</sub> - NFA<sub>1999</sub> + Depreciation  
= 
$$$10,230 - $10,007 + $350$$
  
=  $$573,$ 

where  $NFA_x$  denotes Net fixed assets at the end of year x. Change in net working capital,  $\Delta NWC$ , is given by

$$\Delta NWC = NWC_{2000} - NWC_{1999}$$
  
= (\$6,228 - \$1,337) - (\$5,502 - \$1,290)  
= \$679.

Hence cash flow from assets, CF(A), is

$$CF(A) = OCF - NCS - \Delta NWC = \$1,955 - \$573 - \$679 = \$703.$$

Cash flow to shareholders, CF(S), is

$$CF(S) = Dividends + Net shares repurchases$$
  
=  $\$474 + (-\$300)$   
=  $\$174.$ 

Cash flow to bondholders, CF(B), is

$$CF(B) = Interest + Net debt redeemed$$
  
=  $$500 + $29$   
=  $$529.$ 

To check if these answers are right,

$$CF(S) + CF(B) = $174 + $529 = $703 = CF(A).$$

(b) Prepare Sunrise's 2000 statement of change in financial position. What were the main uses of cash in 2000?

**Answer:** The statement of change in financial position is depicted in Table 3. The main uses of cash in 2000 were, in descending order, the increase in inventory (\$1,330), net capital spending (\$573), dividends paid (\$474) and the increase in accounts receivable (\$420).

(c) Prepare the common-size balance sheets for Sunrise in 1999 and 2000. What observations can you make from these balance sheets?

**Answer:** Refer to Table 4 for the common-size balance sheets. Form these statements, we see a substantial decline in cash as a fraction of total assets and a substantial increase in inventory.

- (d) Prepare the common-size income statements for Sunrise in 1999 and 2000. What observations can you make from these income statements?
  Answer: Refer to Table 5 for the common-size income statements. There is no significant change from 1999 to 2000.
- (e) Calculate, for both 1999 and 2000, Sunrise's (i) current ratio, (ii) quick ratio and (iii) cash ratio. What can you say from these ratios? **Answer:** The current ratio was  $\frac{5,502}{1,290} = 4.27$  in 1999 and  $\frac{6,228}{1,337} = 4.67$  in 2000. The quick ratio was  $\frac{5,502-2,200}{1,290} = 2.56$  in 1999 and  $\frac{6,228-3,530}{1,337} = 2.02$  in 2000. The cash ratio was  $\frac{2,099}{1,290} = 1.63$  in 1999 and  $\frac{1,075}{1,337} = 0.80$  in 2000. Even though the current ratio is higher in 2000 than in 1999, Sunrise's short-term solvency seems to be declining.
- (f) Calculate, for both 1999 and 2000, Sunrise's (i) total debt ratio, (ii) debt/equity ratio, (iii) equity multiplier and (iv) long-term debt ratio. What can you say from these ratios?

**Answer:** The total debt ratio was  $\frac{1,290+5,118}{15,509} = 0.41$  in 1999 and  $\frac{1,337+5,089}{16,458} = 0.39$  in 2000. The debt/equity ratio was  $\frac{1,290+5,118}{9,109} = 0.70$  in 1999 and  $\frac{1,337+5,089}{10,032} = 0.64$  in 2000. The equity multiplier was  $\frac{15,509}{9,109} = 1.70$  in 1999 and  $\frac{16,458}{10,032} = 1.64$  in 2000. The long-term debt ratio was  $\frac{5,118}{5,118+9,109} = 0.36$  in 1999 and  $\frac{5,089}{5,089+10,032} = 0.34$  in 2000. These ratios are not significantly different between the two years.

(g) Calculate, for both 1999 and 2000, Sunrise's (i) inventory turnover ratio, (ii) days' sales in inventory and (iii) asset turnover ratio. What can you say from these ratios?

**Answer:** Inventory turnover ratio was  $\frac{3,600}{2,200} = 1.64$  times in 1999 and  $\frac{3,900}{3,530} = 1.10$  times in 2000. Hence the days' sales in inventory was  $\frac{365}{1.64} = 222.56$  days in 1999 and  $\frac{365}{1.10} = 331.82$  days in 2000. Total asset turnover ratio was  $\frac{7,000}{15,509} = 0.45$  times in 1999 and  $\frac{7,700}{16,458} = 0.47$  times in 2000. We observe a significant increase in in days' sales in inventory.

(h) Calculate, for both 1999 and 2000, Sunrise's (i) profit margin, (ii) return on assets

and (iii) return on equity. Derive the Du Pont identity for both years.

**Answer:** The profit margin was  $\frac{1,040}{7,000} = 14.9\%$  in 1999 and  $\frac{1,105}{7,500} = 14.6\%$  in 2000. The return on assets was  $\frac{1,040}{15,509} = 6.7\%$  in 1999 and  $\frac{1,105}{16,458} = 6.7\%$  in 2000. The return on equity was  $\frac{1,040}{9,101} = 11.4\%$  in 1999 and  $\frac{1,105}{10,032} = 11.0\%$  in 2000. The Du Pont identity is

ROE = Profit margin × Total asset turnover × Equity multiplien  
= 
$$14.9\% \times 45\% \times 1.7$$
 in 1999  
=  $14.6\% \times 47\% \times 1.64$  in 2000.

Hence the decline in the return on equity from 1999 to 2000 is attributable to the decline in profit margin and the decline in the equity multiplier.

- 2. The most recent financial statements for Rosegarten Corporation are shown in Table 6 and Table 7. Sales for 2001 are projected to grow by 25 percent. The tax rate and the dividend payout rate will remain constant. Costs, current assets and accounts payable increase in proportions with sales.
  - (a) If the firm is operating at full capacity and no new debt or equity is issued, what is the external financing needed to support the 25 percent growth rate?

Answer: When sales grow at the rate g, the increase in total assets (A) is Ag. On the right-hand side of the balance sheet, retained earnings increase by (1+g)pSR, where p denotes the profit margin, S denotes total sales and R denotes the retention ratio. Also, accounts payable (A/P) increase in proportions with S, i.e. the increase in A/P is (A/P)g. Hence if no new debt or equity is issued, the external financing needed to support a growth rate g is given by

$$EFN = Ag - (1+g)pSR - (A/P)g.$$

Here we have  $A = 3,000, p = \frac{264}{2000} = 13.2\%, S = 2,000, R = \frac{176}{264} = \frac{2}{3}$  and

A/P = 300. This gives us

EFN = 
$$3,000g - (1+g) \times .132 \times 2,000 \times \frac{2}{3} - 300g$$
  
=  $3,000g - (1+g)176 - 300g$   
=  $-176 + 2,524g$ .

Hence if g = 25%, EFN is equal to \$455.

(b) Suppose now that the firm was operating at only 80 percent capacity in 2000. What is EFN now?

Answer: If the firm was operating at 80 percent of capacity in 2000, \$1,800 of net fixed assets can support up to  $\frac{2,000}{.8} = $2,500$  of sales. Hence there is no need for additional fixed assets if sales grow by 25%. Current assets will nevertheless grow with sales, and thus the increase in total assets is  $1,200 \times 25\% = 300$ . The changes in the right-hand side of the balance sheet are as in (a), i.e. it increases by \$295. Hence the external financing needed in this case is 300 - 295 = \$5.

(c) Assume the firm is operating at full capacity. If it wishes to keep a current ratio of at least 3 and a total debt ratio of at most 0.4, what is a possible financing plan?

**Answer:** Projected current assets are \$1,500 and projected accounts payable are \$375. Maintaining a current ratio of at least 3 means that

$$\frac{1,500}{375 + A/P} \ge 3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad A/P \le 125.$$

That is, notes payable can increase by at most \$25.

Suppose projected current liabilities are then \$500. To maintain a total debt ratio of at most 0.4 when projected total assets are \$3,750 means that

$$\frac{500 + \text{LTD}}{3,750} \leq 0.4 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{LTD} \leq \$1,000.$$

Therefore, LTD can increase by at most \$200.

So far, we have found \$25 + \$200 = \$225, but we need \$455. The amount missing, which is 455 - 225 = \$230, will be obtained by raising equity. Hence a possible financing plan is

- $\cdot$  Increase notes payable by \$25
- Increase long-term debt by \$200
- Raise \$230 by issuing new equity
- (d) Find Rosegarten's internal growth rate.

**Answer:** Two answers were accepted here. Either you use the formula  $\frac{\text{ROA} \times R}{1-\text{ROA} \times R}$ , which assumes that accounts payable do not vary with sales, or you take into account the increase in accounts payable. To find Rosegarten's internal growth rate in the latter case, we need to use the equation derived in (a). The growth rate that does not require any external financing,  $g_i$  is such that

EFN = 
$$-176 + 2,524g_i = 0 \implies g_i = \frac{176}{2,524} = 6.97\%.$$

If you use the equation in the text, the internal growth rate obtained is

$$\frac{\text{ROA} \times R}{1 - \text{ROA} \times R} = \frac{.088 \times \frac{2}{3}}{1 - .088 \times \frac{2}{3}} = 6.23\%,$$

which is lower than 6.97% since the increase in accounts payable allows to finance more growth than if these were independent of sales.

(e) Find Rosegarten's sustainable growth rate.

**Answer:** In this case, we can use the equation in the text since it allows debt to increase in proportions with total equity, which will include the increase in accounts payable. Hence Rosegarten's sustainable growth rate is

$$g_s = \frac{\text{ROE} \times R}{1 - \text{ROE} \times R} = \frac{(264/1, 800) \times \frac{2}{3}}{1 - (264/1, 800) \times \frac{2}{3}} = 10.84\%$$

To show that the increase in accounts payable does not create any problem, note that retained earnings increase by  $1.1084 \times 176 = 195.0784$ , and thus total equity increases by  $\frac{195.0784}{1,800} = 10.84\%$ . Hence total debt can also increase by 10.84%,

which means that a total of  $.1084 \times 1,200 = $130.08$  can be borrowed, which is more than the \$75 increase in accounts payable.

- 3. The most recent financial statements for AWOL Tours, Inc., are shown in Table 8 and Table 9. Sales for 2001 are projected to grow by 20 percent. Interest expense will remain constant; the tax rate and the dividend payout rate will also remain constant. Costs, other expenses, current assets and accounts payable increase in proportions with sales.
  - (a) If the firm is operating at full capacity and no new debt or equity is issued, what is the external financing needed to support the 20 percent growth rate?

Answer: Since interest expense remains constant, the profit margin varies when sales grow. Hence the equation for EFN will differ from what we have used so far. First note that net income is equal to  $(1 - t) \times (\text{EBIT} - I)$ , where t is the tax rate, EBIT denotes earnings before interest and taxes and I is the interest expense. Since EBIT grows in proportions with sales, a sales growth rate of g implies a projected net income of  $(1 - t) \times ((1 + g)\text{EBIT} - I)$ . As above, accounts payable increase in proportions with sales, and thus the external financing needed to support a growth rate of g is

$$EFN = Ag - (1-t) \times ((1+g)EBIT - I) \times R - (A/P) \times g,$$

where A is total assets, A/P denotes accounts payable and R is the retention ratio. This gives us (all numbers in 000's)

$$EFN = 390g - .65((1+g)140 - 17).6 - 50g = -47.97 + 285.4g$$

If g = 20%, this gives us

$$EFN = -47.97 + 285.4 \times .2 = 9.11.$$

that is \$9,110 is the external financing needed.

(b) Suppose that the firm was operating at only 90 percent capacity in 2000. What is EFN now?

**Answer:** The actual net fixed assets can support  $\frac{\$700,000}{.9} = \$777,777.78$  is sales. At full capacity, net fixed assets represent  $\frac{275,000}{777,777.78} = 35.35\%$  of sales. Hence  $1.2 \times \$700,000 = \$840,000$  of sales can be achieved with  $.3535714 \times 840,000 =$  \$296,940 of fixed assets used at full capacity. The increase in total assets is then

$$.2 \times \underbrace{115,000}_{\text{current assets}} + (296,940 - 275,000) = \$44,940.$$

The changes on the right-hand side of the balance sheet are as in (a), that is

$$(1-t) \times ((1+g) \text{EBIT} - I) \times R + (A/P) \times g = \$68,890.$$

Hence external financing needed in this case is

$$EFN = 44,940 - 68,890 = -\$23,950$$
.

(c) Assume the firm is operating at full capacity, and suppose it wishes to keep its debt/equity ratio constant. What is EFN now?

**Answer:** Keeping the debt/equity ratio constant means that total debt can increase by

$$\frac{(1-t)((1+g)\text{EBIT}-I)R}{\text{total equity}} \times \text{total debt} = \frac{58,890}{215,000} \times 175,000 = \$47,933.72$$

Note that this is greater than the increase in accounts payable so we don't need to worry about this one. External financing needed is then

$$Ag - (1-t)((1+g)\text{EBIT} - I)R - 47,933.72$$
  
= 78,000 - 58,890 - 47,933.72  
= -\$28,823.72.

(d) Redo problem (a) using sales growth rates of 25 and 30 percent. Illustrate graphically the relationship between EFN and the growth rate, and use this graph to determine the relationship between them. At what growth rate is the EFN equal to zero? Why is this internal growth rate different from that found by using the equation in the text.

**Answer:** All we need to do here is use the equation found in (a), i.e.

$$EFN = -47.97 + 285.4g$$

So EFN is  $-47,970 + 285,400 \times .25 = $23,380$  when the growth rate is 25%, and EFN is  $-47,970 + 285,400 \times .3 = $37,650$  when the growth rate is 30%.

The internal growth rate,  $g_i$ , is such that

 ${\rm EFN} \ = \ - \ 47.97 \ + \ 285.4 g_i \ = \ 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad 16.8\% \ .$ 

#### Sunrise Inc.

Assets			Liabilities and Owners' Equity		
	2000	1999		2000	1999
Current assets			Current liabilities		
Cash	\$1,075	\$2,099	Accounts payable	\$1,129	\$1,095
Accounts receivable	$1,\!623$	1,203	Notes payable	208	195
Inventory	3,530	2,200	Total	\$1,337	\$1,290
Total	\$6,228	\$5,502			
			Long-term debt	\$5,089	\$5,118
Fixed assets			Owners' equity		
Net fixed assets	\$10,230	\$10,007	Common stock	\$5,100	\$4,800
			Retained earnings	4,932	4,301
			Total equity	\$10,032	\$9,101
Total assets	\$16,458	\$15,509	Total liabilities and equity	\$16,458	\$15,509

#### 1999 and 2000 Balance Sheets

Table 1: Balance sheets for Sunrise Inc.

	2	000	1	999
Sales		\$7,550		\$7,000
Cost of goods sold		(3,900)		(3,600)
Selling expenses		(1,100)		(1,050)
Depreciation		(350)		(315)
Earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT)		\$2,200		\$2,035
Interest		(500)		(435)
Taxable income		\$1,700		\$1,600
Taxes $(35\%)$		(595)		(560)
Net income		\$1,105		\$1,040
Dividends	\$474		\$434	
Addition to retained earnings	\$631		\$606	

Sunrise Inc.

Table 2: Income statements for Sunrise Inc.

## Sunrise Inc.

2000 Statement of Change in Financial Position

Operating activities	
Net Income	\$1,105
Depreciation	350
Increase in A/P	34
Increase in A/R	-420
Increase in inventory	-1,330
Net cash from operating activities	-\$261
Investment activities	
Fixed asset acquisitions	-\$573
Net cash from investment activities	-\$573
Financing activities	
Increase in notes payable	\$13
Decrease in long-term debt	-29
Dividends paid	-474
Increase in common stock	300
Net cash from financing activities	-\$190
Net change in cash	= $$1,024$

Table 3: Sunrise Inc's statement of change in financial position.

Assets			Liabilities and Owners' Equity		
	2000	1999		2000	1999
Current assets			Current liabilities		
Cash	6.5%	13.5%	Accounts payable	6.8%	7.1%
Accounts receivable	9.9%	7.8%	Notes payable	1.3%	$_{-1.3\%}$
Inventory	21.4%	14.2%	Total	8.1%	8.4%
Total	37.8%	35.5%			
			Long-term debt	30.9%	33.0%
Fixed assets			Owners' equity		
Net fixed assets	62.2%	64.5%	Common stock	31.0%	30.9%
			Retained earnings	30.0%	27.7%
			Total equity	61.0%	58.6%
Total assets	100%	100%	Total liabilities and equity	100%	_100%

# Sunrise Inc.

### 1999 and 2000 Common-Size Balance Sheets

Table 4: Common-size balance sheets for Sunrise Inc.

#### Sunrise Inc.

	20	000	19	999
Sales		100.0%		100.0%
Cost of goods sold		51.7%		51.4%
Selling expenses		14.6%		15.0%
Depreciation		$_{-4.6\%}$		4.5%
Earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT)		29.1%		29.1%
Interest		6.6%		6.2%
Taxable income		22.5%		22.9%
Taxes $(35\%)$		-7.9%		8.0%
Net income		14.6%		14.9%
Dividends	6.3%		6.2%	
Addition to retained earnings	8.3%		8.7%	

#### Common-Size Income Statements for 1999 and 2000

\_

Table 5: Common-size income statements for Sunrise Inc.

#### **Rosegarten Corporation**

2000 Income Statement

Sales		\$2,000
Costs		(1,600)
Taxable income		\$400
Taxes $(34\%)$		(136)
Net income		\$264
Dividends	\$88	
Addition to retained earnings	\$176	

Table 6: Income statement for Rosegarten Corporation.

Assets		Liabilities and Owners' Equity		
Current assets		Current liabilities		
Cash	\$160	Accounts payable	\$300	
Accounts receivable	440	Notes payable	100	
Inventory	600	Total	\$400	
Total	\$1,200			
		Long-term debt	\$800	
Fixed assets		Owners' equity		
Net fixed assets	\$1,800	Common stock	\$800	
		Retained earnings	1,000	
		Total equity	\$1,800	
Total assets	\$3,000	Total liabilities and equity	\$3,000	

### **Rosegarten Corporation**

Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2000

Table 7: Balance sheet for Rosegarten Corporation.

AWOL	Tours,	Inc.
------	--------	------

2000 Income Statement					
Sales		\$700,000			
Costs		(550,000)			
Other expenses		(10,000)			
Earnings before interest and taxes		\$140,000			
Interest paid		(17,000)			
Taxable income		\$123,000			
Taxes $(35\%)$		(43,050)			
Net income		\$79,950			
Dividends	\$31,980				
Addition to retained earnings	\$47,970				

Table 8: Income statement for AWOL Tours, Inc..

Assets		Liabilities and Owners' Equity		
Current assets		Current liabilities		
Cash	\$20,000	Accounts payable	\$50,000	
Accounts receivable	$35,\!000$	Notes payable	5,000	
Inventory	60,000	Total	\$55,000	
Total	\$115,000			
		Long-term debt	\$120,000	
Fixed assets		Owners' equity		
Net fixed assets	\$275,000	Common stock	\$15,000	
		Retained earnings	200,000	
		Total equity	\$215,000	
Total assets	\$390,000	Total liabilities and equity	\$390,000	

# AWOL Tours, Inc.

Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2000

Table 9: Balance sheet for AWOL Tours, Inc..