

# Pregnancy Prevention ? ? ? ? ?

This document is a publication of Life Research Institute, January 2000

"We find that when an abortion is easily obtainable, contraception is neither actively nor diligently used. . . there would be no reward for the woman who practices effective contraception. . . . Abortion on demand relieves the husband of all possible responsibility; he simply becomes a coital animal." Do you think a mainstream, pro-sex-ed liberal said this, or a Christian conservative? You will soon see.

## Introduction

Preventing unintended pregnancies is a laudable goal. However, there are great differences in opinion in what are reasonable ways to achieve this goal.

On one side of the several issues are those who profit financially from abortion and/or from selling birth-control paraphernalia. Planned Parenthood, American Civil Liberties Union, and abortionists, are on this side. When considering the opinions of such as these, we must remember that according to common sense, and the Bible too, "The lust for money is the root of all sorts of evil."<sup>1</sup> Planned Parenthood Federation of America, legally a non-profit, always shows a profit in their annual reports. One must also realize that individuals within Planned Parenthood make profits--people who have jobs have them to make profit. Furthermore, prestige, power, and status are important to the high executives of Planned Parenthood and others.

On the other side of the issues are those who do not profit from pregnancy prevention. These people strive to do the will of God as best they understand it. The Bible shows us what the will of God is: There shall be no sex outside marriage<sup>2</sup>, marriage shall be only between a man and a woman,<sup>3</sup> "You shall not murder"<sup>4</sup> (such as having an abortion), and "Love thy neighbor as thyself."<sup>5</sup> What neighbor is closer to a mother than her preborn baby? Killing that baby is not loving her as one loves herself.

The public opinions of those who profit from abortion and birth control are that abortion and birth control are very good to choose and that the best way to prevent pregnancy in teens is through sex education.

The opinions of the Christians are ●Abortion is murder. ●Most birth control works at least sometimes by abortion. ●Regardless of birth control method, sex outside of monogamy results in sexually transmitted diseases (STDs). ●There is an epidemic of sex outside of monogamous relationships. ●There is an epidemic of STDs. ●Sex outside of marriage is immoral. ●Teens will not just have sex anyway<sup>6</sup>. ●Most sex education programs do not decrease pregnancies, but increase them. The Christian identifies traditional sex education as promiscuity education. That is, it teaches children how to be promiscuous and to be promiscuous. (Endnote 6 shows a tremendous program where teens abstain. That is, they won't "just have sex anyway.")

The remainder of this paper will show: ●How birth control methods cause abortion ●Birth control's failure in preventing disease and the STD epidemic ●Birth control's failure in preventing pregnancy ●The invalid, unscientific basis of sex education ●Examples of what leading sex-education promoters have said about the value of sex education.

## Definitions of Pregnancy Prevention

According to abortion/sex-ed advocates, pregnancy prevention is: 1) Abstaining. 2) Having intercourse only during infertile times. 3) Using birth control successfully regardless of how the method functions. 4) Surgical abortion or RU-486 abortion--that is, the pregnancy is prevented from continuing!

## How birth control methods cause abortion

There are two, very different definitions of conception. One is fertilization, the other is implantation of the baby on the wall of the uterus or womb. Typically, seven to ten days elapse between fertilization and implantation.

The intrauterine device (IUD) always works by preventing implantation. Thus, the developing fertilized egg is killed. The Pill and other chemicals or chemical-dispensing methods work sometimes by preventing fertilization and sometimes by preventing implantation. When any birth control works by preventing implantation, it kills a human being who, if left alone would survive. In the genetic sense, this human is complete: Eggs have only 23 chromosomes, sperms have only 23 chromosomes, but the fertilized egg has all 46. To prevent birth of this complete human, instead of taking a positive act to prevent life from beginning (say, having sex when infertile), one must take a positive act to prevent it from continuing (abortion). That is, one must kill the human baby.

The following table shows estimated annual abortions due to birth control in the United States.<sup>7</sup>

Device or Chemical	No. of Users	Abortions	
		Low Estimate	High Estimate
Oral contraceptives	13,900,000	834,000	4,170,000
IUD	1,500,000	3,825,000	3,825,000
Depo-Provera	1,000,000	1,200,000	1,800,000
Norplant	1,500,000	2,250,000	2,925,000
Prostaglandin & Saline	50,000	50,000	100,000
Total	17,950,000	8,159,000	12,820,000

Traditionally, we speak of having 1,200,000 to 1,600,000 abortions per year in the United States. We see from the above that family planning as generally practiced, rather than reducing the abortion rate greatly increases it. This is even aside from the fact that family planning as generally practiced increases the intercourse rate and therefore the pregnancy rate and the surgical-abortion rate.

## Birth-control fails to prevent STDs

Some feel that creams, foams, and jellies have at least a slight effect on preventing STDs. This would be due to their being somewhat a barrier. Others say they have no effect. In preventing HIV transmission, condoms have some effectiveness, but the amount of effectiveness is in significant dispute. Planned Parenthood claims<sup>8</sup> 98 - 99% effectiveness of latex condoms in vaginal intercourse if used perfectly, but it also says<sup>9</sup> that in one study condoms slipped off or broke 14.6% of the time. Other resources give the failure rate at up to 55%.<sup>10</sup>

The most significant demonstration of the non-safety of condoms in preventing HIV transmission is this: "In a recent World Congress on Sexology in Helderberg Dr. Theresa Crenshaw addressed the delegates about AIDS epidemic. At one point in her presentation, she asked the assembled group of

sexologists, 'If you had available the partner of your dreams, and knew the person carried HIV, how many of you would have sex depending on a condom for protection?' None of the 800 sexologists raised their hands"<sup>11</sup>. Thus, those who would have them distributed at schools wouldn't trust them for themselves!

What does the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) say about medical protection for medical personnel against even allegedly disease-free bodily fluids? They say that medical personnel must wear a surgical hat, face shield, mask, outer protective garments, shoe covers, and double gloves,<sup>12</sup> not a very, very thin condom.

Let's see about other STDs. Condoms are ineffective in preventing the spread of human papillomavirus (HPV). HPV causes 90% of American's cancers of the cervix, vagina, vulva, and penis.<sup>13</sup> Cervical cancer is the number two cause of cancer deaths among U.S. women. HPV is probably the most common sexually transmitted disease in America.<sup>14</sup> The American Cancer Society estimated that in the U.S. in 1994 there would be 15,700 new cases of cervical cancer and 4,900 related deaths.<sup>15</sup>

The CDC reports that 56 million Americans--that's one in 5--have an incurable STD.<sup>16</sup> Except for the common cold and flu, STDs are now the most common diseases in North America.<sup>17</sup> The same U.S. agency reports that four of the top five notifiable diseases in the United States are STDs.<sup>18</sup>

The above table shows 17,950,000 users (not merely uses) of certain contraceptives annually. No one portrays that any of these have any anti-STD effect. With disease rates as just reported, can anyone legitimately claim that birth control--because it enables more sex--does anything but enable a great STD rate?

**Birth control/traditional sex ed has not been effective**

Do you believe Planned Parenthood and mistrust the Christians? Fine. Then believe them. The following are Planned Parenthood's claims: Fifty-eight percent of women surveyed said they had been using birth control during the month they got pregnant, and condoms were used in 56% of the birth control failures.<sup>19</sup> That is, Planned Parenthood, great seller of birth control and of family planning, says birth control has not been effective!

As the Alan Guttmacher Institute, the research arm of Planned Parenthood Federation of America, acknowledges, there are two million contraceptive failures in the United States each year. Nearly 60 percent of all abortions in the USA—more than 870,000 annually—are performed on women who, at the time they became pregnant, were using contraception.<sup>20</sup> Dr. Louise Tyrer, Medical Director of the Planned Parenthood Federation of America, confirmed that "More than three million unplanned pregnancies occur each year to American women; two-thirds of these are due to contraceptive failure."<sup>21</sup>

Let's see some additional evidence of sex education failure. As the years have progressed since sex education became more and more funded (starting in 1970), let's see what happened to the percent of Caucasian girls, at various ages, having their first intercourse. The following is from CDC.<sup>22</sup>

<b>Percent Having Had First Intercourse at Age Shown</b>					
<b>Year/Age</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>19</b>
1970	5	20	32	39	48
1975	10	19	37	49	64
1980	17	27	36	56	67
1985	20	30	42	53	71

1992	33	53	59	71	79
------	----	----	----	----	----

Can you conclude that throwing more money into school sex programs has been effective? Should society continue to do this?

Life Research Institute, the author of this paper, has compiled a document which contains 136 cited examples of the failure of sex-education programs in the United States. Also it has a document entitled "Promiscuity Education: Specific Examples of Promiscuous Content." It has 66 citations. This document has a warning message: "Keep out of the reach of children."

### **The invalid, unscientific basis of traditional sex education**

Today's sex education, both the heterosexual and the homosexual components, is based on the research of Alfred Kinsey. In fact, Sex Information and Education Council of the United States (SIECUS), the primary advocate of sex education as currently implemented, was founded at the Kinsey Institute in 1964. It was specifically created to teach and promote the sexual theories of Dr. Alfred C. Kinsey, author of *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male* and *Sexual Behavior in the Human Female*. SIECUS received funding from Hugh Hefner's *Playboy* magazine.<sup>23</sup>

Kinsey's findings have been thoroughly and scientifically discredited. He drew much of his study samples from prisons. Percentage-wise, there are many more homosexuals in prisons than in the rest of the population. Beyond the prison population, the sexually biased groups chosen by Dr. Kinsey included: The homosexual Mattachine Society, orphans, pedophiles, the occupants of homes for unwed mothers, prostitutes (both male and female), personal friends of individuals known to be sexually deviant, and patients in mental hospitals. Speaking of sexually deviant, Kinsey himself died from self-inflicted wounds to his penis.

Kinsey concluded: ●Children are sexually active and potentially orgasmic from birth. His researchers concluded this through molesting many, many children. ●Sex can and should be commonly shared with anyone and anything. ●Left to their own, people are naturally bisexual. ●All cultural and historic sexual taboos and laws are passé. ●All forms of sexual experimentation are beneficial. ●Adultery is natural, healthy, and acceptable. ●Adult-child sex or incest is one appropriate aspect of human sexuality. ●Homosexuality is natural, healthy, and acceptable.<sup>24</sup> Considering his study populations, it is no wonder he concluded this. Many of these findings are integrated into today's sex-education programs.

### **What leading sex-ed promoters have said about the value of sex education**

These sex-education promoters are on the liberal side of sex and abortion. These are the people whom liberals automatically believe when they say we need more money for abortion, family planning, and condoms. Thus, liberals reading the following certainly should believe what they read:

Pro-abortion former Medical Director of International Planned Parenthood Federation Malcolm Potts said: ". . .those who use contraception are more likely than those who do not to resort to induced abortion . . ." <sup>25</sup> He also said, "As people turn to contraception, there will be a rise, not a fall, in the abortion rate . . ." <sup>26</sup>

Pro-abortion researcher Alfred Kinsey said: ". . . we have found the highest frequency of induced [deliberate] abortion in the group which, in general, most frequently uses contraceptives."<sup>27</sup>

Pro-abortion former President of Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Dr. Alan Guttmacher: "We find that when an abortion is easily obtainable, contraception is neither actively nor diligently used. . . . there would be no reward for the woman who practices effective contraception. . . . Abortion on demand relieves the husband of all possible responsibility; he simply becomes a coital animal."<sup>28</sup>

Dr. Alan Guttmacher also said (immediately after the Roe v. Wade Decision that legalized abortion), "Then how can the Supreme Court Decision be absolutely secured? The answer to winning the battle for elective abortion once and for all is sex education."<sup>29</sup>

Essentially, these people the liberals love said that sex education, family planning, school-based clinics, and condom distribution are the cause, not the solution, of the astronomical pregnancy and abortion rates. Will we learn from them?

'Did you know that studies at Stanford University found that even though an effective contraceptive was prescribed to teenagers, 45% of them became pregnant six to 12 months later? Abortion was chosen by 64% of these girls.<sup>30</sup> Thus, 29% of the teenagers who relied on contraceptives to prevent pregnancy got abortions because of its failure.

We can also see that birth control often works by abortion and fails to prevent STD's and pregnancies. What more do we need to know?

Planned Parenthood, American Civil Liberties Union, National Organization for Women, and others which promote pregnancy "prevention" should not get any more taxpayer money.

Please don't forget to read Endnote 6. This describes only one of many, many programs which refuses to do things the Planned Parenthood way--and are very successful at preventing pregnancy!

## Endnotes

1. *Bible*, 1 Timothy 6:10.
2. *Bible*, 1 Corinthians 6:18 ("Flee fornication") and several other passages prohibit fornication (sex outside of marriage.)
3. *Bible*, 1 Corinthians 6:9 and several other passages prohibit homosexuality.
4. *Bible*, Exodus 20:13.
5. *Bible*, Mark 12:31.
6. The *True Love Waits* program seeks to get teens to pledge abstinence till marriage. Pledge cards are signed. The program held the "Through the Roof" event in Atlanta, Georgia, on February 11, 1996. The concept was to stack pledge cards through the roof of the Georgia Dome, but the Dome was too low. 340,000 pledge cards had been turned in.
7. Bogomir M. Kuhar, Pharm.D., Infant Homicides Through Contraceptives, 1995, back cover. For questions call Kuhar at 614 881-5520.
8. Kenneth E. Kogut telephone conversation with PP clinician at PP in Concord, CA, 14 January 1998.
9. *Family Planning Perspectives*, quoted in *National Stopp News*, May 1992, 2.
10. *Condom Sense: Is it Enough?*, seventh column, Medical Institute of Sexual Health, phone 800 892 9484 in Austin, TX.
11. "Condoms in schools should be reconsidered," *Voices for the Unborn*, July/August 1991, 8.
12. Focus on the Family, *Quick Facts on "Safe Sex,"* July 1994, 12.
13. *OB/GYN News*, 28:15, 1993, quoted in "Condoms Ineffective Against Human Papilloma Virus," *Sexual Health Update*, April 1994, 1.
14. "Condoms Ineffective Against Human Papilloma Virus," *Sexual Health Update*, April 1994, 1.
15. American Cancer Society, *1996 Cancer Facts and Figures*, 7.
16. Felicity Barringer, "Viral Sexual Diseases Are Found in One of Five in the U.S.," *New York Times*, 1 April 1993, A-1, quoted in Focus on the Family, *Quick Facts on "Safe Sex,"* July 1994, 3.

17. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), *Centers for Disease Control Division of STD/HIV Prevention 1991 Annual Report*, p. 3, quoted in Focus on the Family, *Quick Facts on "Safe Sex,"* July 1994, 3.
18. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, *Summary of Notifiable Diseases, United States, 1997*, 3.
19. Stanley K. Henshaw and Kathryn Kost, "Abortion Patients in 1994 - 1995: Characteristics and Contraceptive Use," *Family Planning Perspectives*, July/August 1996, 140-147.
20. Ibid.
21. Dr. Louise Tyrer, Medical Director of Planned Parenthood of America, letter to the editor, *Wall Street Journal*, April 26, 1991.
22. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, "Premarital Sexual Experience Among Adolescent Women-United States, 1970 - 1988."
23. Judith A. Reisman, Ph.D., "Combating the Threat to Children in an Eroticized American Society," 1995m #1 Draft Report, The Institute for Media Education, Arlington, VA.
24. Kinseyan findings as presented in Judith A. Reisman, Ph.D., *Kinsey-Hefner-Hay: the Indoctrination of Heterophobia in American Men, 1994*.
25. Malcolm Potts, *Abortion*, 491.
26. Malcolm Potts, *Cambridge Evening News*, 7 February 7 1973.
27. Alfred C. Kinsey quoted in Mary Calderone and Planned Parenthood Federation of America, *Abortion in the United States* (New York: Paul B. Hoeber, Harper & Brothers, 1958), 157.
28. Symposium, 27 March 1968, *Rutgers Law Review*, vol. 22, 415-43.
29. *Washington Star Times*, 3 May 1973.
30. I. F. Litt and L. Glader, "Follow-up of adolescents previously studied for contraceptive compliance," *J. Adolescent Health Care*, 1987; 8:349.