

ABSTRACT**Physical Testing of Jack-Up Footings on Sand Subjected to Torsion**

Joseph Cheong

School of Civil and Resource Engineering

The University of Western Australia

November 2002

With approximately 100 terra cubic feet (TCF) of gas estimated to be available on the North-West Shelf and the large quantity of oil in that region, the use of self-elevating mobile units such as jack-ups will be in great demand. Because of their economic importance in developing these resources, their safe use and reliability is critical. Jack-ups perform drilling in water depths up to 120 m. At such depths, the magnitude of loads acting on the three legs is substantial and becomes a combined load on the foundation. These include vertical (V), horizontal (2 orthogonal H_1 and H_2), moment (2 orthogonal M_1 and M_2), and torsional (T) loads. To incorporate this, an adequate foundation model with six degrees of freedom needs to be investigated. The main aim of this thesis is to examine the vertical and combined torsion load displacement behaviour. The thesis concentrates on footings on sand in an attempt to verify an existing three-dimensional plane strain formulation (known as ‘Model C’ for six degrees of freedom) in the Vertical load V – Torsion T space.

This thesis presents the experimental results of various size circular footings (20, 30, 40, and 50 mm radius) when subjected to vertical and torsional loads on dense and loose silica sand. Further, other common test procedures performed to determine the sand properties, such as sieve analysis, minimum and maximum density tests, and direct shear box tests are described. The test results include pure vertical-loading tests, vertical-torsion sideswipe tests (V - T space), and a radial displacement test in the V : T plane. Based on the experimental test results, Model C for six degrees of freedom in the V - T space was experimentally verified. Various model parameters, such as yield surface size in the V : T plane, have been defined and modifications to the existing model suggested. Based on these suggestions, successful retrospective numerical simulation of the experiments was performed.

Joseph Cheong
Undergraduate Civil Engineer
21 Benton Way
WARWICK WA 6024

4 November 2002

The Associate Dean
Faculty of Engineering, Computing and Mathematics
The University of Western Australia
NEDLANDS WA 6009

Dear Sir

It is with great pleasure that I submit this thesis entitled “Physical Testing of Jack-Up Footings on Sand Subjected to Torsion” as a partial requirement for the double degree of Bachelor of Science and Bachelor of Engineering (Civil).

Yours sincerely

Joseph Cheong

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First I would like to convey my heartfelt thanks to my supervisor, Mark Cassidy for his encouragement, support, and assistance throughout what has been a demanding but enjoyable year of learning. His enthusiasm and exuberance, whether it be in times of solid project-related discussions or casual talk over lunch (with dim sum at Northbridge at the start of the year being most memorable, with PhD student, George having his first taste of jellyfish) made this research project under Mark's supervision a great joy. His thoroughness in proof reading this thesis and other pieces of work, as though it was his own, is very much appreciated. I would also like to express my appreciation for George and laboratory staff Don, Gary, and Claire for their technical assistance with the experimental apparatus used in the lab. Special thanks also goes to Wayne, with his many years of programming experience, for the modification made to the LabView software, since without his efforts, data logging at a fast enough rate of 100 Hz would not have been possible (since peak torsion is reached within a few degrees of twist, fast data logging is required to capture sufficient number of data points).

I am also most grateful to my parents, sisters and friends for their extra support and prayers throughout my final year of undergraduate study. My parents, especially have sacrificed so much in nurturing, guiding, advising, and supporting me not only through the challenging years of university but all of life thus far, and for this I cannot thank them enough.

Finally, I like to thank my Creator, Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ who has not only given me eternal life but has been my ultimate source of hope, support and strength, my ever-present help in times of need, throughout this year of research and in the years to come with whatever plans He has in store for me. You too, can experience His grace and goodness, starting by accepting God's free gift of eternal life just as promised in the Bible:

“For God so loved the world that he gave his one and only Son, that whoever believes in him shall not perish but have eternal life.” (John 3:16)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	i
Letter of Transmittal	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Table of Contents	iv
Nomenclature	viii
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of Jack-Up Drilling Rigs and Sign Conventions Used	1
1.1.1 Jack-Up Installation.....	2
1.2 The Need for Further Research and Project Objectives.....	2
1.3 Thesis Structure.....	5
2 LITERATURE SURVEY	6
2.1 Background Theory.....	6
2.1.1 Classical Bearing Capacity Theory – Pure Vertical Load.....	6
2.1.2 Classical Bearing Capacity Theory – Combined Loads.....	8
2.1.3 Plasticity Theory – Combined Loads	9
2.2 Past Research into the Analysis of Jack-Up Footings.....	10
2.2.1 Model C for Three Degrees of Freedom	12
2.2.1.1 Yield Surface	12
2.2.1.1.1 Further Yield Surface Modification.....	14
2.2.1.1.2 Brief Discussion of the Development of the Yield Surface	15
2.2.1.2 Elastic Behaviour.....	16
2.2.1.3 Strain Hardening.....	17
2.2.1.4 Flow Rule.....	18
2.2.2 Model C for Six Degrees of Freedom Incorporating Torsion	20
2.2.2.1 Yield Surface	21
2.2.2.2 Elastic Behaviour.....	22
2.2.2.3 Strain Hardening.....	23
2.2.2.4 Flow Rule.....	23
2.3 Application of Model C to Structural Analysis of Jack-Ups	24
2.4 Summary	24

3	SOIL CHARACTERISATION TESTS	26
3.1	Soil Type – Super Fine (SF) Silica Sand	26
3.2	Minimum and Maximum Density Tests	26
3.2.1	Minimum Dry Density Test (to obtain maximum void ratio, e_{max})	26
3.2.2	Maximum Dry Density Test (to obtain minimum void ratio, e_{min})	27
3.3	Sample Preparation – Dense and Loose Sand	28
3.3.1	Dense Sand Preparation	28
3.3.2	Loose Sand Preparation	29
3.4	Friction Angle via Direct Shear Box Test	30
3.4.1	Peak Friction Angle Discussion	31
3.5	Short Discussion	32
4	EXPERIMENTAL EQUIPMENT AND PROCEDURES	33
4.1	Combined Loading Apparatus and Computer Set-Up	33
4.2	Calibration of Loading Leg	34
4.2.1	J Load Calibration	34
4.2.2	Axial Gauge Calibration	35
4.2.3	Moment Gauge Calibration	35
4.2.4	Torsion Gauge Calibration	36
4.2.4.1	Pure Torsion Calibration	36
4.2.4.2	Combined Torsion Calibration with Axial Load	36
4.2.5	Torsional (Rotational) Displacement Calibration	37
4.2.6	Horizontal and Vertical Displacement Calibration	38
4.2.7	Summary of Calibration Factors	38
4.3	Testing Programme	38
4.3.1	Pure Vertical Loading Tests	39
4.3.2	Vertical-Torsion Sideswipe Tests	39
4.3.3	Radial Displacement Test in the Vertical – Torsion Loading Space	39
5	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	40
5.1	Pure Vertical Loading Tests (Vertical Loading Space)	40
5.1.1	Pure Vertical Loading Tests on Dense Silica Sand	40
5.1.1.1	Procedure for the Evaluation of Vertical Plastic Displacement	41
5.1.1.2	Adjusting for Vertical Plastic Displacements	42
5.1.1.3	Elastic Behaviour Within the Yield Surface	42
5.1.1.4	Verification of Strain-Hardening Law	42

5.1.1.5	Stress Levels for Different Size Footings	43
5.1.2	Pure Vertical Loading Tests on Loose Silica Sand	44
5.2	Vertical-Torsion Sideswipe Tests (Vertical – Torsion Loading Space)	46
5.2.1	Swipe Tests on Dense Silica Sand.....	46
5.2.1.1	Vertical-Torsion Swipes at $V_0 = 1000$ N	47
5.2.1.2	Vertical-Torsion Swipes at Various V_0	48
5.2.1.3	Vertical-Torsion Swipes for Various Size Footings	49
5.2.1.4	More Vertical-Torsion Swipes (together with those presented so far).....	49
5.2.1.5	Proposed Yield Surface Equation in V - T Space	50
5.2.1.6	Vertical-Torsion Swipes at Various Fraction of V_0 (after unloading) ..	52
5.2.1.7	Various Combinations of Vertical-Torsion Swipe Tests.....	52
5.2.2	Swipe Tests on Loose Silica Sand.....	53
5.2.3	Summary of Vertical-Torsion Swipes on Dense and Loose Sands.....	55
5.2.4	Comparison of Vertical-Torsion Swipe With Vertical-Horizontal Swipe ..	55
5.3	Radial Displacement Test (Vertical – Torsion Loading Space).....	57
5.3.1	Adjusting Plastic Potential by curvature factors (β_3 and β_4).....	59
5.3.2	Why Only One Radial Displacement Test?.....	61
5.3.3	Adjusting Plastic Potential by Association Factor (α_i).....	61
6	NUMERICAL MODELLING.....	64
6.1	Implication for Numerical Modelling.....	64
6.2	Results of Numerical Modelling.....	64
6.2.1	Pure Vertical Loading Tests.....	64
6.2.2	Vertical-Torsion Sideswipe Tests.....	65
6.2.2.1	Retrospective Simulation of Test rc0034.....	66
6.2.2.2	Retrospective Simulation of Test rc0022.....	68
6.2.3	Radial Displacement Tests in V - T plane	68
7	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	70
7.1	Conclusions of Present Work.....	70
7.2	Recommendations for Future Work.....	71
7.3	Conclusion	72

REFERENCES

TABLES

FIGURES

- APPENDIX A1: Brief Note on the Proper Attachment of a Footing to the Loading Leg
- APPENDIX B1: Spreadsheet: Minimum and Maximum Density Tests Calculations
- APPENDIX B2: Spreadsheet: Density Index Calculations of a Sand Sample Box
- APPENDIX B3: Spreadsheet: Comparison of Density Index With Different e_{min} Values
- APPENDIX C1: Summary Table of Results A, B, C, and D in Chronological Order
- APPENDIX C2: Summary Table of Results Rearranged According to Test Type
- APPENDIX C3: Graphs: Vertical Loading Tests – Dense
- APPENDIX C4: Graphs: Vertical Loading Tests – Loose
- APPENDIX C5: Graphs: Vertical-Torsion Sideswipe Tests – Dense
- APPENDIX C6: Graphs: Vertical-Torsion Sideswipe Tests – Loose
- APPENDIX C7: Graph: Radial Displacement Test – Dense
- APPENDIX D1: N_γ Values for “Combined” Strain Hardening Law
- APPENDIX E1: Derivation of Critical State Line Equation in $T:V$ Plane – Triangular Stress Distribution
- APPENDIX E2: Derivation of Critical State Line Equation in $T:V$ Plane – Various Stress Distributions
- APPENDIX E3: Evaluation of Partial Directional Derivatives, $\partial f/\partial V$ and $\partial f/\partial(T/2R)$

NOMENCLATURE

Notation used in thesis. Symbols have been placed under chapter headings.

Chapter 1:

H	horizontal load
H_1, H_2	orthogonal horizontal loads
M	moment load
M_1, M_2	orthogonal moment loads
R	radius of a circular footing
T	torsional load
u	horizontal footing displacement
u_1, u_2	orthogonal horizontal footing displacements
V	vertical load
w	vertical footing displacement
θ	rotational footing displacement
θ_1, θ_2	orthogonal rotational footing displacements
θ_t	torsional (rotational) footing displacement

Chapter 2:

a	eccentricity of yield surface
A	surface area of the footing
b_c, b_γ, b_q	base inclination factors
B	footing width or diameter
c	cohesion (also shear strength, s_u)
d	(as prefix) increment in value
d_c, d_γ, d_q	depth factors
f	yield function
f_p	factor determining limiting magnitude of vertical load as $w_p \rightarrow \infty$
g	plastic potential function
g	dimensionless shear modulus factor
g_c, g_γ, g_q	ground inclination factors
G	representative elastic shear modulus
h_0	dimension of yield surface (horizontal)
$h_{0\ peak}$	horizontal dimension for the yield surface at peak bearing capacity
H	horizontal load
H_0	maximum horizontal load
H_1, H_2	orthogonal horizontal loads
i_c, i_γ, i_q	load inclination factors
k	initial plastic stiffness
k^e	elastic vertical stiffness
k'	rate of change in association factors
k_c	dimensionless elastic stiffness factor (horizontal/moment coupling)

k_h	dimensionless elastic stiffness factor (horizontal)
k_m	dimensionless elastic stiffness factor (moment)
k_t	dimensionless elastic stiffness factor (torsion)
k_v	dimensionless elastic stiffness factor (vertical)
m_0	dimension of yield surface (moment)
M	moment load
M_1, M_2	orthogonal moment loads
N_c, N_γ, N_q	bearing capacity factors
p_a	atmospheric pressure
q	overburden (surcharge pressure = γz)
q_{ult}	ultimate bearing capacity
Q	general deviator force
r	radius at the surface of a partially penetrated conical footing
R	radius of a circular footing
s_c, s_γ, s_q	shape factors
s_{fp}	dimensionless scaling factor in the “combined” strain hardening law
t_0	dimensionless variable that determines the size of the yield surface in the $T/2R$ plane and is the value of $T/(2RV_0)$ at the maximum T
$t_{0\ peak}$	torsional dimension for the yield surface at peak bearing capacity
T	torsional load (torque)
u	horizontal footing displacement
u_1, u_2	orthogonal horizontal footing displacements
V	vertical load
V_0	maximum vertical load capacity when all other loads are not present (eg $H = 0, M = 0, T = 0$)
V_0'	maximum vertical load for the current plastic potential shape
V_{peak}	maximum vertical load bearing capacity, i.e. peak value of V_0 in strain hardening law
V_{ult}	ultimate vertical load ($= q_{ult}A$)
w	vertical footing displacement
w_p	plastic component of the vertical penetration (also w^p)
w_{pm}	value of the plastic component of the vertical penetration at the peak value of V_0 (i.e. at V_{peak})
z	depth of a foundation below the lowest adjacent surface
α	footing roughness
α_h, α_m	horizontal and moment association factor respectively
$\alpha_{h\ \infty}, \alpha_{m\ \infty}$	association factor as horizontal displacement or rotation tend to infinity respectively
β	cone apex angle
β_1	curvature factor for yield surface (low stress)
β_2	curvature factor for yield surface (high stress)
β_3	curvature factor for plastic potential (low stress)
β_4	curvature factor for plastic potential (high stress)
ϕ	friction angle
γ	effective unit weight
λ	multiplication factor determining the magnitude of plastic displacement increments
ν	Poisson ratio

θ	rotational footing displacement
θ_1, θ_2	orthogonal rotational footing displacements
θ_t	torsional (rotational) footing displacement
σ_f	failure stress
σ_g	yield stress
σ_y	first yield stress

Superscripts:

e	elastic
p	plastic

Chapter 3:

d_{50}	maximum particle size of the smallest 50 per cent of the sample
e	void ratio
e_{max}	maximum void ratio
e_{min}	minimum void ratio
G_s	specific gravity
I_d	density index (also called relative density)
I_R	relative dilatancy index
p'	mean effective stress

ϕ	friction angle
ϕ'_{cv}	critical state friction angle
ϕ'_{peak}	peak friction angle
ρ_d	dry density
σ'_n	constant normal stress
σ'_v	effective vertical stress
τ	shear stress (= horizontal load / area)
τ / σ'_n	shear stress ratio

Chapter 4:

Y	horizontal displacement
Z	vertical displacement

Chapter 5:

d	(as prefix) increment in value
d_{50}	maximum particle size of the smallest 50 per cent of the sample
f	yield function
f_p	factor determining limiting magnitude of vertical load as $w_p \rightarrow \infty$
g	plastic potential function
G	representative elastic shear modulus

h_0	dimension of yield surface (horizontal)
H	horizontal load
I_d	density index (also called relative density)
k	initial plastic stiffness
k^e	elastic vertical stiffness
k_v	dimensionless elastic stiffness factor (vertical)
M	moment load
N_γ	bearing capacity factor (self-weight)
q	overburden (surcharge pressure = γz)
Q	general deviator force
r	auxiliary parameter
R	radius of a circular footing
t_0	dimensionless variable that determines the size of the yield surface in the $T/2R$ plane and is the value of $T/(2RV_0)$ at the maximum T
$t_{0\ peak}$	torsional dimension for the yield surface at peak bearing capacity
T	torsional load
T_m	maximum value of T
V	vertical load
V_0	maximum vertical load capacity when all other loads are not present
V_0'	maximum vertical load for the current plastic potential shape
V_{peak}	maximum vertical load bearing capacity, i.e. peak value of V_0 in strain hardening law
w	vertical footing displacement
w_p	plastic component of the vertical penetration (also w^p)
w_{pm}	value of the plastic component of the vertical penetration at the peak value of V_0 (i.e. at V_{peak})
z	depth of a foundation below the lowest adjacent surface
α	footing roughness
α	angle of "theoretical" plastic displacement direction vector
α_h, α_m	horizontal and moment association factor respectively
α_t	torsion association factor
β_1	curvature factor for yield surface (low stress)
β_2	curvature factor for yield surface (high stress)
β_3	curvature factor for plastic potential (low stress)
β_4	curvature factor for plastic potential (high stress)
ϕ	internal friction angle
ϕ'_{cv}	critical state friction angle
ϕ'_{peak}	peak friction angle
γ	effective unit weight
η	angle of "experimental" plastic displacement ratio (Eqn 5.21)
λ	multiplication factor determining the magnitude of plastic displacement increments
θ_t	torsional (rotational) footing displacement
θ_t^p	plastic component of torsional (rotational) displacement
σ	vertical stress
σ_{max}	maximum vertical stress
τ	shear stress

Superscripts:

e	elastic
p	plastic
t	total

Chapter 6:

f_p	factor determining limiting magnitude of vertical load as $w_p \rightarrow \infty$
g	dimensionless shear modulus factor
G	representative elastic shear modulus
k	initial plastic stiffness
k'_t	rate of change of association factor α_t
k_t	dimensionless elastic stiffness factor (torsion)
k_v	dimensionless elastic stiffness factor (vertical)
N_γ	bearing capacity factor (self-weight)
p_a	atmospheric pressure
R	radius of a circular footing
t_0	dimensionless variable that determines the size of the yield surface in the $T/2R$ plane and is the value of $T/(2RV_0)$ at the maximum T
T	torsional load
V	vertical load
V_0	maximum vertical load capacity when all other loads are not present
V_{peak}	maximum vertical load bearing capacity, i.e. peak value of V_0 in strain hardening law
w	vertical footing displacement
w_p	plastic component of the vertical penetration (also w^p)
w_{pm}	value of the plastic component of the vertical penetration at the peak value of V_0 (i.e. at V_{peak})
α_m	moment association factor
α_t	torsion association factor
$\alpha_{t\infty}$	association factor as torsional (rotational) displacement tend to infinity
β_1	curvature factor for yield surface (low stress)
β_2	curvature factor for yield surface (high stress)
β_3	curvature factor for plastic potential (low stress)
β_4	curvature factor for plastic potential (high stress)
γ	effective unit weight
η	angle of “experimental” plastic displacement ratio (Eqn 5.21)
θ_t	torsional (rotational) footing displacement
θ_t^p	plastic component of torsional (rotational) displacement

Chapter 7:

H	horizontal load
M	moment load

R	radius of a circular footing
t_0	dimensionless variable that determines the size of the yield surface in the $T/2R$ plane and is the value of $T/(2RV_0)$ at the maximum T
T	torsional load
V	vertical load
V_0	maximum vertical load capacity when all other loads are not present
α_t	torsion association factor
β_3	curvature factor for plastic potential (low stress)
β_4	curvature factor for plastic potential (high stress)

REFERENCES

- Atkinson, J.H. and Bransby, P.L. (1978). "The Mechanics of Soils: An Introduction to Critical State Soil Mechanics", McGraw-Hill Book Company (UK) Limited.
- Australian Standards (1998). "AS 1289.5.5.1 – Soil Compaction and Density Tests – Determination of the Minimum and Maximum Dry Density of a Cohesionless Material – Standard Method", Standards Australia.
- Bell, R.W. (1991). "The Analysis of Offshore Foundations Subjected to Combined Loading", *M.Sc. Thesis*, University of Oxford.
- Bienen, B. (2001). "Three-Dimensional Numerical Analysis of Jack-up Structures", *Diploma Thesis*, Aachen University of Technology, Germany.
- Blinco, J. (2000). "Pipeline Modelling in Silica Sand", *Final Year Thesis*, Department of Civil and Resource Engineering, The University of Western Australia.
- Bolton, M.D. (1986). "The Strength and Dilatancy of Sands", *Géotechnique*, Vol. 36, No. 1, pp 65-78.
- Bolton, M.D. (1987). "The Strength and Dilatancy of Sands" Discussion, *Géotechnique*, Vol. 37, No. 2, pp 219-226.
- Butterfield, R., Houlsby, G.T. and Gottardi, G. (1997). "Standardized Sign Conventions and Notations for Generally Loaded Foundations", *Géotechnique*, Vol. 47, No. 5, pp 1051-1054.
- Byrne, B. and Cassidy, M.J. (2002). "Investigating the Response of Offshore Foundations in Soft Clay Soils", *Report No. C:1626*, Centre for Offshore Foundation Systems, The University of Western Australia.
- Byrne, B.W. and Houlsby, G.T. (1999). "Drained Behaviour of Suction Caisson Foundations on Very Dense Sand", *Offshore Technology Conference*, Houston, OTC 10994.
- Byrne, B.W. and Houlsby, G.T. (2001). "Observations of Footing Behaviour on Loose Carbonate Sands", *Géotechnique*, Vol. 51, No. 5, pp 463-466.
- Cassidy, M.J. (1999). "Non-Linear Analysis of Jack-Up Structures Subjected to Random Waves", *D.Phil Thesis*, University of Oxford. United Kingdom.
- Cassidy, M.J. and Bienen, B. (2002). "Three-Dimensional Numerical Analysis of Jack-up Structures on Sand", Submitted to *Proc. 12th Int. Offshore and Polar Eng. Conf.*, Kitakyushu, Japan.
- Cassidy, M.J. and Houlsby, G.T. (1999). "On the Modelling of Foundations for Jack-Up Units on Sand", *Offshore Technology Conference*, Houston, OTC 10995.

- Cassidy, M.J. and Houlsby, G.T. (2002). "Vertical Bearing Capacity Factors for Conical Footings on Sand", *Report No. C:1673*, Centre for Offshore Foundation Systems, The University of Western Australia.
- Cheong, J. (2002). "Physical Testing of Circular Footings on Dense Silica Sand", *Dec 2001-Feb 2002 Report*, Centre for Offshore Foundation Systems, The University of Western Australia.
- Dufty, B. (2001). "Capacity of Circular Foundations Under Combined Loading", *Final Year Thesis*, Department of Civil and Resource Engineering, The University of Western Australia.
- Gottardi, G. and Houlsby, G.T. (1995). "Model Tests of Circular Footings on Sand Subjected to Combined Loads", *Report No. 2071/95*, Oxford University Engineering Laboratory.
- Gottardi, G. and Houlsby, G.T. and Butterfield, R. (1999). "The Plastic Response of Circular Footings on Sand Under General Planar Loading", *Géotechnique*, Vol. 49, No. 4, pp 453-470.
- Hansen, J.B. (1970). "A Revised and Extended Formula for Bearing Capacity", *Bulletin of our Danish Geotechnical Society*, Vol. 28, pp 5-11.
- Houlsby, G.T. and Cassidy, M.J. (2001). "A Plasticity Model for the Behaviour of Footings on Sand Under Combined Loading", *Report No. C:1569*, Centre for Offshore Foundation Systems, The University of Western Australia.
- Martin, C.M. (1994). "Physical and Numerical Modelling of Offshore Foundations Under Combined Loads", *D.Phil Thesis*, University of Oxford, United Kingdom.
- Martin, C.M. and Houlsby, G.T. (1994). "Combined Loading Tests of Scale Model Spudcan Footings on Soft Clay: Experimental Data", *Report No. 2029/94*, Oxford University Engineering Laboratory.
- Ngo-Tran, C.L. (1996). "The Analysis of Offshore Foundations Subjected to Combined Loading", *D.Phil. Thesis*, University of Oxford.
- Platt, R. (1998). "The Behaviour of Sand When Subjected to Combined Vertical and Torsional Loading", *Final Year Report*, Oxford University.
- Poulos, H.G. and Davis, E.H. (1974). "Elastic Solutions for Soil and Rock Mechanics", *John Wiley*, New York.
- Tan, F.S.C. (1990). "Centrifuge and Numerical Modelling of Conical Footings on Sand", *PhD Thesis*, University of Cambridge.
- Vesic, A.S. (1975). "Bearing capacity of shallow foundations", *Foundation Engineering Handbook* (edited by Winterkorn, H.F. and Fang, H.Y.), Van Nostrand, New York, pp. 121-147.

Vlahos, G., Martin C.M. and Cassidy, M.J. (2001). "Experimental Investigation of a Model Jack-Up Unit on Clay", *The International Society of Offshore and Polar Engineers*, The University of Western Australia.

Whitlow, R. (1995). "Basic Soil Mechanics", Third Edition, Addison Wesley Longman Limited.

Young, A.G., Remmes, B.D. and Meyer, B.J. (1982). "Foundation Performance of Offshore Jack-up Drilling Rigs", *ASCE of Geotechnical Engineering*, 110, No. 7, pp. 841-859.