

### 7.3 Euler's Theorem

Theorem 7.5 Euler. If  $n \geq 1$  and  $\gcd(a,n) = 1$ , then  $a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  where  $\phi(n)$  is Euler's

Phi-Function (Definition 7.1. For  $n \geq 1$ , let  $\phi(n)$  denote the number of positive integers not exceeding  $n$  that are relatively prime to  $n$ )

Ch 10 Introduction to Cryptography. From pg 204 of Elementary Number Theory, Sixth Ed. Burton

"The assumption that  $\gcd(M,n) = 1$  was made to use Euler's theorem. In the unlikely event that  $M$  and  $n$  are not relatively prime, a similar argument establishes that  $r^j \equiv M \pmod{p}$  and  $r^j \equiv M \pmod{q}$ ,

which then yields the desired congruence  $r^j \equiv M \pmod{n}$ . We omit the details."

Question:

Given  $M^k \equiv r \pmod{n}$  where  $M < n$ , and  $n = pq$  where  $p, q$  are distinct primes,  $kj \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$ , and  $\gcd(M,n) > 1$ , prove that  $r^j \equiv M \pmod{n}$

$M^k \equiv r \pmod{n}$ , thus  $M^{kj} \equiv r^j \pmod{n}$ .  $kj \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)} \rightarrow kj = 1 + \phi(n)L$  for some  $L \in \mathbb{Z}$

If  $L = 0$ , then  $kj = 1$ , thus  $M^{kj} = M \equiv r^j \pmod{n} \rightarrow r^j \equiv M \pmod{n}$ . So now let  $L > 0$ , then

$M^{kj} \equiv r^j \pmod{n} \rightarrow r^j \equiv M^{kj} \pmod{n} \equiv M^{1+\phi(n)L} \pmod{n} \equiv M(M^{\phi(n)L}) \pmod{n}$ . Thus we need to show that  $M(M^{\phi(n)L}) \equiv M \pmod{n}$ .  $\gcd(M,n) > 1$  and  $M < n$  implies that  $\gcd(M,n) = p$ , or  $\gcd(M,n) = q$ .

Note if  $\gcd(M,n) = M$ , then since  $M < n$  that  $M = p$  or  $M = q$  which is dealt with in the previous cases.

Now assume  $\gcd(M,n) = p$  so let  $M = pT$ , for some  $T \in \mathbb{Z}$  where  $T < q$  and  $\gcd(T,q) = 1$  since if  $T \geq q$ ,

then  $M = pT \geq pq = n$  which contradicts  $M < n$  and if  $\gcd(T,q) = d > 1$ , then since only  $1 \mid q$  and  $q \mid q$

thus  $d = q$  so  $q \mid T$  which contradicts  $T < q$ . Now  $\gcd(T,q) = 1 \rightarrow q$  doesn't divide  $T$  so by Theorem 5.1

(Fermat's Theorem)  $T^{q-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$  and  $p^{q-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$  which imply  $(pT)^{q-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ , thus since  $M = pT$  we

can write  $M^{q-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{q} \rightarrow M^{(q-1)(p-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ .  $\phi(n) = \phi(pq) = \phi(p)\phi(q) = (p-1)(q-1)$ , thus

$M^{(q-1)(p-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{q} \rightarrow M^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$  and  $M^{\phi(n)L} \equiv 1 \pmod{q} \rightarrow q \mid M^{\phi(n)L} - 1 \rightarrow q \mid (M^{\phi(n)L} - 1)M$

$\rightarrow q \mid M(M^{\phi(n)L}) - M$ .

$M = pT \rightarrow p \mid M \rightarrow p \mid M(M^{\phi(n)L} - 1) \rightarrow p \mid M(M^{\phi(n)L}) - M$ . Since  $p \mid M(M^{\phi(n)L}) - M$  and

$q \mid M(M^{\phi(n)L}) - M$  where  $\gcd(p,q) = 1$  then  $pq = n \mid M(M^{\phi(n)L}) - M$ , thus  $M(M^{\phi(n)L}) \equiv M \pmod{n}$

which was needed. By a similar argument if  $\gcd(M,n) = q$ , we can come to the same conclusion.

Therefore  $r^j \equiv M(M^{\phi(n)L}) \pmod{n} \equiv M \pmod{n}$

