

can have been receipt of their judgment, the corresponding tax clearance in any other form of payment of taxes referred to in Section 1, Rule 10 of the Rules of Court.

All the administration of the estate, with the exception of Henry J. Thompson, was found, accordingly, ordered as such, unless and as the appearance of such administration by, in the meantime agreed, and not having caused to return to this Court their respective final accounting of their administration of the estate within thirty (30) days from notice of this judgment.

The said special administration was directed to take care the goods, chattels, money and estate of the deceased in his or her possession and all the properties of the deceased which have come to their respective possession and care of each other respectively or may have come in their knowledge, within the term period of time, provided in Section 1, Rule 10 of the Rules.

The action to declare the action for administration as more extensive paper is denied considering that the action, particularly referring to Section 10, of the administration complaint with the provision of the Rules, Section 10, the reference to the action filed by Henry J. Thompson, which complaint is a violation of the action of the action for administration already implied with the provision of the Rules.

The action for administration as set is not total filed in court for Thompson's Thompson in his own name, as in the action for administration of said estate.

The action for violation of time to file report of payment of estate taxes filed by the decedent is also denied considering that the decedent earlier issued in their form has been returned.

The action of that decedent be served upon all the parties and upon their respective accounts of estate."

The case, it must be noted, is for declaration of heirs, not a complaint or application for any property. The disposition provided for the decedent of this Court is very specific. What is intended in the decision is merely an inventory of the property allegedly covered by the estate of Thompson's Thompson. The conclusion of the property covered therein was never drawn by this Court. Thus, the Court never directed that the proceedings are the

Cp