FINITE WORD LENGTH EFFECTS IN DSP

PREPARED BY

GUIDED BY

Snehal Gor

Dr. Srikanth T.

ABSTRACT

We know that computers store numbers not with infinite precision but rather in some approximation that can be packed into a fixed number of bits or bytes, because of which we are loosing some information. Our aim is to study the effect of loosing this information on the response of digital filters. This effect we called Finite word length effect.

There are number of effects of finite word length like overflow error in addition, round off error in multiplication, effects of coefficient quantization, limit cycle, etc. This paper talks about effects on response of IIR filters for the case of coefficient quantization.

Section 1 gives brief introduction to number system and shows why finite word length effect occurs. Section 2 studies same phenomena from the view point of filters it also includes results we have obtained.

We have studied effect of finite word length on the response of Butterworth low pass IIR filter. Also we have studied effect of finite word length on the response of 4th order IIR filter for direct form and parallel form realization. On the basis of results we have concluded well known result that parallel form realization is better than direct form realization.

1. INTRODUCTION

Computers store numbers not with infinite precision but rather in some approximation that can be packed into a fixed number of bits or bytes. Almost all computers allow the programmer a choice among several different such representations or data types. Data types can differ in the number of bits utilized, but also in the more fundamental respect of whether the stored number is represented in *fixed-point* or *floating-point* format.

1.1 Fixed point representation

A number in fixed point representation is exact. Arithmetic between numbers in fixed point representation is also exact, with the conditions that (i) the answer is not outside the range of integers that can be represented, and (ii) that division is interpreted as producing an integer result, throwing away any integer remainder. There are many formats to represent fixed point numbers like, *Sign-magnitude, One's compliment and Two's compliment, etc.*

A Real number can be represented with infinite precision in *two's complement* form as

$$x = X_m(-b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i z^{-i})$$

Where, X_m is an arbitrary scale factor and b_i 's are either 0 or 1. The quantity b_0 is referred to as sign bit. If $b_0 = 0$, then $0 \le x \le Xm$ and if $b_0 = 1$, then $X_m \le x < 0$.

An arbitrary real number x would require an infinite number of bits for its exact binary representation. If we use only a finite number of bits (B+1), then the representation of above equation must be modified to

$$\hat{x} = Q_B[x] = X_m(-b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^B b_i z^{-i}) = X_m \hat{x}_B$$

The resulting binary representation is quantized, so that the smallest difference between numbers is

$$\varDelta = X_m 2^{-B}$$

The operation of quantizing number to (B + 1) bits can be implemented by *rounding* or by *truncation*, but in either case quantization is a nonlinear memory less operation. Figure 1.1 shows input - output relation for two's complement rounding and truncation, respectively, for the case of B = 2.



Figure 1.1 Nonlinear Relationship representing two's complement (a) rounding and (b) truncation for $B = 2^{[1]}$

In considering the effects of quantization, we often define quantization error as $e=Q_B[x] - x$. For the case of two's complement rounding, $-\Delta/2 < e \le \Delta/2$, and for two's complement truncation, $-\Delta < e \le 0$ (Figure 1.2).



Figure 1.2 probability density function for quantization errors (a) Rounding (b) Truncation.^[1]

If a number is larger than X_m , a situation called *overflow* occurs. Figure 1.3 (a) shows two's complement quantizer, including the effect of regular two's complement arithmetic overflow. An alternative, which is called saturation overflow or clipping, is shown in figure 1.3 (b). This method of handling overflow is generally implemented for A/D conversion, and it sometimes is implemented in specialized DSP microprocessor for addition of two's complement numbers. With saturation overflow, the size of the error does not increases abruptly when overflow occurs; however disadvantage of such methods is that it voids the property of two's complement-arithmetic that 'If several two's-complement numbers whose sum would not overflow are added, then the result of two's-complement accumulation of these numbers is correct even though intermediate sums might overflow".



Figure 1.3 Two's complement rounding (a) Natural Overflow (b) Saturation.^[1]

1.2 Floating point representation

In floating-point representation (IEEE 754 standard), a number is represented internally by a sign bit s, an exact integer exponent E, and an exact positive integer mantissa M. Taken together these represent the number

$$x = -1^{s} * 2^{E-127} * 1.f$$

where E is eight bit exponent (0 < E < 255), s is sign bit (0 for positive and 1 for negative) and f is 23 bit fraction ($0 < f < \frac{2^{23} - 1}{2^{23}}$). Floating point representations provide a convenient means for maintaining wide dynamic range.

2. FINITE WORD LENGTH EFFECTS

Numerical quantization affects the implementation of linear time-invariant discrete time system in several ways. Below we have given brief overview of some of them.

• Parameter quantization in digital filters

In the realization of FIR and IIR filters hardware or in software on a general purpose computer, the accuracy with which filter coefficients can be specified is limited by word length of the computer. Since the coefficients used in implementing a given filter are not exact, the poles and zeros of system function will be different from desired poles and zeros. Consequently, we obtain a filter having a frequency response that is different from the frequency response of the filter with unquantized coefficients. Also it sometimes affects stability of filter.

• Round off noise in multiplication

As already explained when a signal is sampled or a calculation in the computer is performed, the results must be placed in a register or memory location of fixed bit length. Rounding the value to the required size introduces an error in the sampling or calculation equal to the value of the lost bits, creating a nonlinear effect. Round off error is a characteristic of computer hardware.

• Sampling/Digitization Error

There is another, different, kind of error that is a characteristic of the program or algorithm used, independent of the hardware on which the program is executed. Many numerical algorithms compute "discrete" approximations to some desired "continuous" quantity. For example, an integral is evaluated numerically by computing a function at a discrete set of points, rather than at "every" point. Or, a function may be evaluated by summing a finite number of leading terms in its infinite series, rather than all infinity terms. In cases like this, there is an adjustable parameter, e.g., the number of points or of terms, such that the "true" answer is obtained only when that parameter goes to infinity. Any practical calculation is done with a finite, but sufficiently large, choice of that parameter. The difference between the true answer and the answer obtained in a practical calculation is called the truncation error. Truncation error would persist even on a hypothetical, "perfect" computer that had an infinitely accurate representation and no round off error.

• Overflow in addition

Overflow in addition of two or more binary numbers occurs when the sum exceeds the word size available in the digital implementation of the system.

• Limit cycles

Since quantization inherent in the finite precision arithmetic operations render the system nonlinear, in recursive system these nonlinearities often cause periodic oscillation to occur in the output, even when input sequence is zero or some nonzero value. Such an oscillation in recursive systems are called limit cycles.

As explained in above paragraphs finite word length affects LTI system in many ways. We have concentrated on effects due to coefficient quantization on filter response and in that also on IIR filters. Later we have given brief overview of effects of coefficient quantization in FIR system for the sack of completeness.

2.1 Effects of coefficient quantization in IIR system

When the parameters of a rational system function or corresponding difference equation are quantized, the poles and zeros of the system move to the new position in the z-plane, equivalently, the frequency response is perturbed from the original value. The system function representation corresponding to both direct forms is

$$H(z) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{M} b_k z^{-k}}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_k z^{-k}}$$

The sets of coefficients $\{a_k\}$ and $\{b_k\}$ are ideal infinite-precision coefficients. If we quantize these coefficients, we obtain the system function

$$\hat{H}(z) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{M} \hat{b}_{k} z^{-k}}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{N} \hat{a}_{k} z^{-k}}$$

where $\hat{a}_k = a_k + \Delta a_k$ and $b_k = b_k + \Delta b_k$ are the quantized coefficients that differ from original coefficients by quantization by quantization error Δa_k and Δb_k .

Kaiser showed that if poles (or zeros) are tightly clustered it is possible that small error in denominator (numerator) coefficient can cause large shifts of the poles and (zeros) for direct form structure. Thus, if the poles (zeros) are tightly clustered, corresponding narrow band pass filter or narrow-bandwidth low pass filter, then we can express poles of the direct-form structure to be quite sensitive to quantization error in the coefficients. Kaiser analysis also showed that the larger the number of clustered poles (zeros), the greater is the sensitivity to quantization error.

The cascade and parallel form system function is consists of second order directform systems. However, in both cases each pair of complex conjugate poles pair is realized independently of all other poles. Thus, the error in a particular pole pair is independent of its distance from the other poles of system function.

For the cascade form same arguments holds for the zeros, since they are realized as independent second order factors. Thus cascade form is generally much less sensitive to coefficient quantization than the equivalent direct-form realization.

$$H(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{(N+1)/2} \frac{b_{0k} + b_{1k}z^{-1} + b_{2k}z^{-2}}{1 - a_{1k}z^{-1} - a_{2k}z^{-2}}$$

The zeros of the parallel form structure are realized implicitly through combining the quantized second order sections. Thus, particular zero is affected by quantization error in the numerator and denominator coefficients of all the second order sections. However for most practical filter the parallel form is also found to be much less sensitive to coefficient quantization than the equivalent direct-form realization.

$$H(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{M-N} C_k z^{-k} + \sum_{k=1}^{(N+1)/2} \frac{e_{0k} + e_{1k} z^{-1}}{1 - a_{1k} z^{-1} - a_{2k} z^{-2}}$$

In summery, because of the sensitivity to finite word length effect, the direct forms are rarely used for implementing anything other than second - order structures. Cascade and parallel structures are more often used.

2.1.1 What we did

Before jumping on to designing of filters and seeing finite word length effect let us explain what we have did. Here we are not going to explain designing of filters or any other filter designing fundamentals, one can refer any good book available for same^{[1][2]}. But one should ask how we did quantization, so let us explain how we did quantization and give some examples which show capability and limitation of our routine.

Our quantization routine is very simple and it basically performs following steps:

- 1) Take 32 bit floating point number between ranges 0 to 2.
- 2) Multiply it with $2^{31} 1$ (if your numbers are between 0 to 1 then multiply it with $2^{32} 1$) to get equivalent integer number stores it in 32 bit format
- Shift above number required number of bits as per requirement to obtain N bits representation of corresponding number (In a way make zero least significant 32 - N bits. So we have number which is still in 32 bits but least significant bits removed).
- 4) Convert above number back into corresponding floating point number.

Quantization routine and examples

Below we have given out C program routine which takes as input floating point number which we want to quantize and desire bit representation and gives as output corresponding floating point number in desire bit representation.

```
float quant(float cof, int n)
/*_____
Routine that generates decimal equivalent of the binary representation
of a decimal number with p bits for magnitude part obtained by rounding
cof - floating point number in IEEE 754 standard ( No between 0 - 1 )
n - Bit representation I want....any number between 0 - 32
_____*
{
  unsigned long int icof=0,m;
  int sign = 1;
  float fract, quan;
  if(cof<0)
                         //loop that stores sign of number
  {
     sign = -1;
     cof = -1 * cof; //if number is negative make it positive
  }
  icof = ceil((pow(2,31) - 1) * cof); // Convert floating point no.
                               // between 0 - 1 into corresponding
                                // 32 bit integer representation
                                // - kind of scaling
                                // ceil() is a function in C which
                                // rounds of the numbers.
  m = 32 - n;
                               // m is the number position by
                               // which I need shift number to get
                               // n bit representation
  icof = icof >> m;
  icof = icof << m;</pre>
  fract = (float)icof/(pow(2,31) - 1); // Convert integer number
                                     // back into floating point
  quan = sign * fract;
                              // put back sign
  return(quan);
}
```

Examples:

Before starting let's see how much 1 bit represents (**Note:** below examples are considering numbers between range 0 to 1). :

Input floating point number	Number of bit representation	Obtained floating point number	Comment
	32 bits	-1.0000000000	
	30 bits	-1.000000000	
-0.99999999999	24 bits	-0.9999999404	
	16 bits	-0.9999847412	
	8 bits	-0.9960937500	
	32 bits	0.4919821918	We are not using full dynamic range
	30 bits	0.4919821918	
0.4919822006	24 bits	0.4919821620	
	16 bits	0.4919738770	
	8 bits	0.4882812500	
0.0000000001	32 bits	0.000000002	Here it fails

$$\frac{1}{2^{32} - 1} = 2.3283064370807973754314699618685e - 10$$

2.1.2 Designing of Butterworth low pass filter using bilinear transformation

Let us start with fundamental steps needed to design Butterworth low pass filter using bilinear transformation. Description is very brief just to give basic idea:

1) Determination of the analog filter's edge frequencies. Use below equation

$$\Omega = \frac{2}{T} \tan \frac{\omega}{2}$$

where Ω is Analog frequency, T is sampling time period and ω is digital frequency.

2) Determination of order of the filter

$$N = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\log \left(\frac{1/\delta_2^2 - 1}{1/\delta_1^2 - 1}\right)}{\log \left(\frac{\Omega_2}{\Omega_1}\right)}$$

Where N is filter order, δ_1 and δ_2 is Pass band and Stop band ripple respectively. Ω_1 and Ω_2 are filter edge frequencies.

3) Determination of -3 dB cutoff frequency

$$\Omega_c = \frac{\Omega_1}{\left[\frac{1}{\delta_1^2} - 1\right]^{\frac{1}{2N}}}$$

 The transfer function of Butterworth filter is usually written in the factored as given below

$$H(s) = \prod_{k=1}^{N/2} \frac{B_k \Omega_c^2}{s^2 + b_k \Omega_c s + c_k \Omega_c^2} \quad N = 2, 4, 6, \dots$$

Or

$$H(s) = \frac{B_0 \Omega_c}{s + c_0 \Omega_c} \prod_{k=1}^{(N-1)/2} \frac{B_k \Omega_c^2}{s^2 + b_k \Omega_c s + c_k \Omega_c^2} \quad N = 3, 5, 7, \dots$$

Where b_k and c_k are given by

$$b_k = 2\sin\left[\frac{(2k-1)\pi}{2N}\right]$$
 and $c_k = 1$

The parameter B_k can be obtained from

$$A = \prod_{k=1}^{N/2} B_k$$
, for even N

And

$$A = \prod_{k=1}^{(N-1)/2} B_k$$
, for odd N

5) Determination of H(z)

$$H(z) = H(s) |_{s = \frac{2(z-1)}{T(z+1)}}$$

Filter parameters:

Pass band ripple:0.99Stop band ripple:0.001Pass band frequency:1.2566Stop band frequency:1.885

Filter Order: 14 (so total seven 2nd order filters are there)

Cutoff Frequency: 1.672363

Filter coefficient:

Numerator coefficients are BB1-7 = $B_k \Omega_c^2$

Coefficie nt	Original Value	Quantized value – 24 bits	Quantized value – 16 bits	Quantized value – 12 bits	Quantized value – 8 bits	Quantized value – 5 bits
BB1-7	2.7967977483	2.7967977483	2.7966968725	2.7951660156	2.7951660156	2.6406250000
bb1	0.3744903875	0.3744902380	0.3744477993	0.3738861084	0.3657226563	0.3046875000
cc1-7	2.7967977483	2.7967977483	2.7966968725	2.7951660156	2.7951660156	2.6406250000
bb2	1.1046926835	1.1046926835	1.1046643881	1.1036987305	1.0971679688	1.0156250000
bb3	1.7795010259	1.7795010259	1.7794563742	1.7788162231	1.7763671875	1.7265625000
bb4	2.3650778886	2.3650778886	2.3649871908	2.3641357422	2.3641357422	2.2343750000
bb5	2.8320599592	2.8320599592	2.8319624346	2.8310852051	2.8212890625	2.7421875000
bb6	3.1570306704	3.1570306704	3.1569567900	3.1559906006	3.1478271484	3.0468750000
bb7	3.3236948360	3.3236948360	3.3235878469	3.3225250244	3.3176269531	3.1484375000

Denominator coefficients are bb1-7 = $b_k \Omega_c$ and cc1-7 = $c_k \Omega_c^2$

<u>Note</u>: In above table don't get confused by values of coefficients. It may seem they are going beyond range 0-2 but actually it's because of multiplication with Ω_c term. See the equation of H(s)

NOTE: In below figures red line is quantized response.



Fig 2.1 Response when coefficient quantized to 32 bits



Fig 2.2 Response when coefficient quantized to 24 bits



Fig 2.3 Response when coefficient quantized to 16 bits



Fig 2.4 Response when coefficient quantized to 12 bits



Fig 2.5 Response when coefficient quantized to 8 bits



Fig 2.6 Response when coefficient quantized to 5 bits

2.1.3 Designing of 4th order low pass filter and to show response of filter while direct realization and parallel form realization

 \rightarrow Direct form realization

$$H(z) = \frac{0.323z^3 + 0.4218z^2 + 0.04278}{z^4 - 0.5172z^3 + 0.40619z^2 - 0.1233z + 0.016533}$$

 \rightarrow Parallel form realization

		$-1.4509z^2 + 0.2321z$	$1.4509z^2 + 0.1848z$
H(z)	=	+	·
		$z^2 - 0.1310z + 0.3006$	$z^2 - 0.3862z + 0.055$

Filter Coefficient Direct Form Realization:

Coeffi cients	Original Value	Quantized value - 24 bits	Quantized value - 12 bits	Quantized value – 8 bits	Quantized value – 6 bits	Quantized value - 4 bits
b0	0.04278	0.0427799225	0.0424804688	0.0390625000	0.0312500000	0.0000000000
b1	0.4218	0.4217998981	0.4213867188	0.4140625000	0.4062500000	0.3750000000
b2	0.323	0.3229999542	0.3227539063	0.3203125000	0.3125000000	0.2500000000
a0	0.016533	0.0165328979	0.0161132813	0.0156250000	0.0000000000	0.0000000000
al	-0.1233	-0.1232999563	-0.1230468750	-0.1171875000	-0.0937500000	0.0000000000
a2	0.40619	0.4061899185	0.4057617188	0.3984375000	0.3750000000	0.3750000000
a3	0.5172	-0.5171999931	-0.5170898438	-0.5156250000	-0.5000000000	-0.5000000000
a4	1.0	1.0000000000	1.0000000000	1.0000000000	1.0000000000	1.0000000000

Filter Coefficient parallel Form Realization:

Coeffici ents	Original Value	Quantized value – 24 bits	Quantized value - 12 bits	Quantized value – 8 bits	Quantized value - 6 bits	Quantized value - 4 bits
b10	-0.2321	-0.2320998907	-0.2319335938	-0.2265625000	-0.2187500000	-0.1250000000
b11	-1.4509	-1.4508999586	-1.4506835938	-1.4453125000	-1.4375000000	-1.3750000000
b20	0.1848	0.1847999096	0.1845703125	0.1796875000	0.1562500000	0.1250000000
b21	1.4509	1.4508999586	1.4506835938	1.4453125000	1.4375000000	1.3750000000
a10	0.3006	0.3005999327	0.3002929688	0.2968750000	0.2812500000	0.2500000000
a11	-0.1310	-0.1232999563	-0.1308593750	-0.1250000000	-0.1250000000	-0.1250000000
a12	1.0	1.0000000000	1.0000000000	1.0000000000	1.0000000000	1.0000000000
a20	0.055	0.0549999475	0.0546875000	0.0546875000	0.0312500000	0.0000000000
a21	-0.3862	-0.3861999512	-0.3857421875	-0.3828125000	-0.3750000000	-0.3750000000
a22	1.0	1.0000000000	1.0000000000	1.0000000000	1.0000000000	1.0000000000



Fig 2.7 Response when coefficient quantized to 24 bits (Direct form)



Fig 2.8 Response when coefficient quantized to 24 bits (Parallel form)



Fig 2.9 Response when coefficient quantized to 12 bits (Direct form)



Fig 2.10 Response when coefficient quantized to 12 bits (Parallel form)



Fig 2.11 Response when coefficient quantized to 8 bits (Direct form)



Fig 2.12 Response when coefficient quantized to 8 bits (Parallel form)



Fig 2.13 Response when coefficient quantized to 6 bits (Direct form)



Fig 2.14 Response when coefficient quantized to 6 bits (Parallel form)



Fig 2.15 Response when coefficient quantized to 4 bits (Direct form)



Fig 2.16 Response when coefficient quantized to 4 bits (Parallel form)

2.2 Effects of coefficient quantization in FIR system

For FIR system, we have to concerned with locations of zeros only, since for causal FIR system all poles are at z = 0. Although we have just seen that direct form structure should be avoided for high order IIR system, it turns out that direct form structure is commonly used for FIR systems. To understand why this is so, we express the system function for a direct form FIR system in the form

$$H(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{M} h[n] z^{-n}$$

Now suppose that the coefficients $\{h[n]\}\$ are quantized, resulting in a new set of coefficients $\{\hat{h}[n] = h[n] + \Delta h[n]\}$. The system function for quantized system is then

$$\hat{H}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{M} h[n] z^{-n} = H(z) + \Delta H(z)$$

Where

$$\Delta H(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{M} \Delta h[n] z^{-n}$$

Thus, system function of the quantized system is linearly related to the quantization errors in the impulse response coefficients.

If the zeros of H (z) are tightly clustered, then their locations will be highly sensitive to quantization errors in the impulse response coefficients. The reason that direct form FIR system is widely used is that for most linear phase FIR filters, the zeros are more or less uniformly spread in the z-plane.

Designing of FIR low pass filter using Parks-McClellan design technique

Pass band ripple:	0.99
Stop band ripple:	0.001
Pass band frequency:	1.2566
Stop band frequency:	1.885



Fig 2.17 FIR quantization example (a) Log magnitude for unquantized case; Approximation error for (b) unquantized case (c) 16 bit quantization^[1]



Fig 2.17 (continued) Approximation error for (d) 14 bit quantization (e) 13 bit quantization (f) 8 bit quantization ^[1]

CONCLUSION

Finite word length is inherent problem which occur due to finite bit representation of number in digital representation. Effect of finite word lengths are *Overflow in addition, Limit cycles and Round off noise in multiplication*. We have seen effect of coefficient quantization on filter response. Also we have conclude that coupled form and parallel form structure of filter realization are more secure against finite word length effect as compare to direct form realization.

Although due to advanced in technology we have now available machine with 64 bit representation (which is almost infinite precision), but it's still needs to be consider due to rise of embedded technology and competitive market which needs low cost product.

REFERENCES

- Discrete Time Signal Processing, Oppenheim A. V and Schafer R. W., Prentice-Hall.
- Digital Signal Processing, John G. Proakis and Dimitris G. Manolakis, Prentice-Hall.
- Numerical Recipes in C, The Art of Scientific Computing William H. Press, Saul A. Teukolsky, William T. Vetterling, P. Flannery, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press..
- Digital Signal Processing, A Computer-Based Approach, *Sanjit K. Mitra, McGraw Hill, Second Edition.*
- <u>http://cnx.rice.edu/content/coll10259/latest/</u>
- <u>http://embedded.com/97/feat9707.html</u>
- <u>http://spectrumdi.com/ch4.pdf</u>