Positive electric charges reside in the atomic orbits.

Of ferman: Fernando Mancebo Rodriguez

--- Planetary model--- 1975-

The magnetic fields, when rotating, create stationary orbits good for attracting and fixing neutrinos, electrons; planets, moons, etc. The atomic nuclei are amorphous matter without charged particles.

The destruction of the atomic nuclei gives us infinite particles of all type of size.

Principles, proofs and conclusions

Total correspondence between atoms and stars: Atoms structure = stars structure For example, bi-atomic molecule = binary star



"Atoms and stars are two consecutive matter units inside the Fourth dimension". Fourth dimension: Parallel Universes (intro-extra or little-big) ... sub-atoms, atoms, |us| stars, supra-stars ... The quantity of planets (or electrons) depends on the quantity of nuclear matter.

As in the stars, the atomic nuclei are amorphous matter without well-differentiated neither charged particles.



Orbits are empty places that need to be stuffed with material particles.

General principle: magnetic force's birth.

Any great matter accumulation (as particles, atomic nuclei, leptons; stars, planets, etc.) creates magnetic fields and magnetic orbits (when rotating *) those which are the ones that interact among them organizing and situating the particles in the corresponding places and orbits as for the size and field potential of each one of them.

The big accumulations create big fields and orbits, on which, other minor particles are captured and forced to rotate around these big ones.

(*) For instance, Venus doesn't have orbits and scarce bi-polar direction due to its minimum spin.

Say, the magnetic fields and their orbits represent the force and places of interaction and distribution of particles (also Astros) among them.

Logical deduction:

If the negatively charged electrons go and return; jump and go back; change and regain, etc., always from and toward the orbits, it must to be due to orbits are the ones that are charged positively, but not the nuclei.

Say, if electrons move and interact uniquely among orbits, this is due to the orbits contain the power (positive charge +) of attraction and managing of these electrons.

So, it seems to be unjustified and inacceptable the postulation that assures us that the nuclei contain the positive electric charges when uniquely the orbits are the ones that interact and maintain electrons.

Thus, and as conclusive proof, we can say the (-) electrons' motions are infinite and constant in the Universe, and always moving through the adequate paths and roads built and prepared for them: the positive (+) atomic orbits.

The positive charges (+) CONSTRUCT and RESIDE in the atomic orbits, but not in the nuclei. This can be demonstrated with all the chemical reactions, where electrons always return to the same orbit, place and distance from the nucleus from where they were situated before. Mainly and more clearly is seen in the hydrogen atoms when having alone an electron that always returns to the same place where it was situated, that is, its (+) atomic orbit.

This circumstance make impossible the probability that being the nuclei those which hold the positive charges, the electrons stop on the orbits and last there indefinitely.

Also we can see literally these atomic orbits when we observe the swarfs or chips of iron aligned and describing the atomic orbits in magnets.



When being aligned in magnets, the atoms sum their magnetic orbital lines that can be seen as whole.

On the other hand, when residing the positive charges on the orbits of atoms this simplifies exponentially the atomic theories becoming in easy and simple explanations, and also being a unification theory of forces and physical elements, and having the same behavior atoms and stars.

Atomic model of ferman

* The vain deduction as for particles could be maintained indefinitely around central points of attraction without to be captured by the ones is inacceptable and demonstrated to be wrong in the reality of things.

For instance in planets, where to get that any rocket is maintained around it sometime, this rocket have to be launched with the exactly angle of space, with the exactly speed, etc., but not being sufficient all these parameters because at the end the rocket end up falling on the planet. To be certain and correct the anterior vain conclusion, our planet and the other ones (also moons) will have thousands of asteroid rotating around them.

But not, all these asteroids escape toward the exterior or fall and collide on the planets.

Gravity Vs. Magnetic force

Gravity is a force toward the cohesion and accumulations of matter.

Contrarily the Magnetic force (Antagonist to Gravity) tends to redistribute the matter and energy through space to get the same density and quantity of energy in all places of space.

This can be seen in atoms (and particles) where the Magnetic force creates positive orbits, on which, the electrons are attracted, situated and redistributed through the surrounding space. This mechanism of balance of forces is seen clearer in galaxies where gravity coheres and tries to unite stars, and the magnetic force repels and redistributes these stars making rotating around the central nuclei of galaxies.

In all other particles this mechanism of balance of forces acts in the same sense: Gravity with matter cohesion in each particle, and magnetic force with distribution and organization of the different accompanying particles.

This way that balance of antagonist forces, Gravity-Magnetic Force, it is the one that builds atoms and organize particles:

Gravity with attraction-cohesion and Magnetic Force with surrounding situation-rotation.

In the same sense, when Gravity produces such as enormous matter accumulations that surpass the allowed balance of density in the Cosmos, the expansion power of the Magnetic force proceeds to the destruction of this matter accumulation, as this occurs in big-bangs, supernovas, big atoms as uranium, etc.



Proximity proof

Many are de observations y reasoning for considering the situation of the positive charges in the orbits of atoms.

And the easiest one could be the proximity proof that it supported by the physical rule that speak us about the square of the distance in the attraction among charges.

This case, the interior layers with electrons will form negative layers that impede to capture other electrons on the exterior atomic layers.

This way, the helium atoms couldn't be formed due to the interior layer with one electron must to create a negative screen that impedes the attraction on the second electron.

Alone this second electron can be attracted, captured and maintained if it is the second orbit the one that is charged.

The electrons jumping proof among orbits

The jump of electrons among orbits is another proof that orbits are the ones that contain the positive charges in atoms.

When an atom acquires more energy and surpasses the allowed level of density, then the magnetic forces of the atom impel the last electrons toward a superior or exterior positive (+) orbit for increasing the atomic volume, and so, the density of energy decreases to the allowed limit.

So, the electrons jump among orbits is a clear proof that the positive charges reside in the orbits and they are the ones that can capture and maintain fixed to electrons.

The non-stability proof of the neutron

If really the atomic charges reside in the nuclei, the free neutron should be the most stable possible particle because it contains a positive charge united to a negative one (stuck together), circumstance that make it indestructible.

But not, the neutron is a lot of unstable, and much more, it ends up emitting rapidly nuclear matter to form the electron that its powerful positive orbit demands.

Say, what it is positive is the orbit, but not the neutron nucleus.

The hydrogen's fusion proof

In this sense, the hydrogen fusion to be converted into helium shows us clearly in that reconstruction and chaos of nuclei and electrons that these electrons always look for, find and end up situated in the orbits, but never they go toward the nuclei.

So, the positive charges that attract them must to be situated in the orbits.

The energetic level proof in atoms

Besides, all the observations in the interchange and acquisition of energy in atoms shows us that the contained energy in atoms is the one that determines and gives power to the orbits to be able capture electrons.

When an atom goes acquiring more energy, this energy gives power (+) the exterior orbits for capturing electrons.

But, how an electron is captured and maintained? Because with positive electric potential (+).

Rule of the electromagnetic motion.

The main, clear and unquestionable proof is the following logical rule:

(a) Electrons uniquely move and they can be attracted by positive charges due to they have negative charges.

(b) Electrons always are moved, attracted and maintained by the atomic orbits.

(a,b) So, the atomic orbits must to be or contain the mentioned positive charges.

Nature of the atomic nuclei

"The atomic nuclei are matter and only matter, without positive charges neither differentiated particles."

The atomic nuclei are composed, the same that stars (both are consecutive units of the fourth dimension), by matter united and cohered by the gravity force.

Like any great matter accumulation, the atomic nuclei produce magnetic fields that when rotating they define and build the positive atomic orbits, on which, electrons are captured and maintained.

In the same way, any other important matter accumulation (e. g. big sub-atomic particles) creates also magnetic fields and orbits that are good for the interrelation and situation with the other particles.

When being alone cohered (by gravity) amorphous matter, the atomic nuclei when they are destroyed give us infinite particles of any type and size, including minor atomic nuclei. These resultant particles also create their corresponding field and magnetic orbits, those which are good to interact, situate and align with the other particles.



Fundamental Cosmic Mechanics:

1.- The union of the space and time (universal motion) produce the cosmic energy in all the Cosmos places, which is manifested as rectilinear motion in all places of space and toward all directions.

2.- This way, the Cosmos is completely stuffed of cosmic energy (dark energy, classical aether) that alone can act, to be manifested and summed as gravitation (concentric forces toward any of the space points, due to in the other directions the cosmic energy is annulled itself.) So at the beginning, the gravitation is the unique form in that the cosmic energy can act, to be composed and summed to form matter, particles, atoms, etc.(say, condensation of cosmic energy to matter)



Matter is the accumulation of cosmic energy when this acts as gravitational energy

The quantity of matter represent the quantity of cosmic energy (also gravitation) that is accumulated in any place of space

The quantity and value of mass is measured by the attaction forces of gravitation among the matter particles *ferman*

3.- The cosmic gravitation produces the accumulation of points of cosmic energy forming matter particles.

4.- Any great accumulation of matter or particles (due to its excessive accumulation and density of energy in that point of the space) creates magnetic fields to its surrounding that tend to the redistribution of matter and energy through the Cosmos.

5.- The magnetic fields, when spinning, create stationary orbits to be occupied by minor particles. These stationary orbits consist and act as positive charges for the electrons (and planets).

The reason is to get a great system (nucleus-orbital ones) with the adequate volume corresponding to the total mass that the system has, and getting this way the half density of energy that the Cosmos allows.

6.- This way, the magnetic fields and their orbits act as forces and places for the distribution and organization of all type of free particles and Astros in the Cosmos.



matter accumulations create magnetic fields, and magnetic fields create positve charges

Particles

Here we have a general distribution of the sub-atomic particles as for their mass and structure.



Motion characteristic of the cosmic energy

The cosmic energy works as rectilinear motion.

When this motion is performed in one-dimensional form, say, in straight line, the result can be the motion and displacement of an object.

When the motion is performed in three-dimensional way, such as in the composition of matter, the result is the three-dimensional performance of matter that is manifested as transformation, growth, aging, erosion, etc., of the material elements.

But, we must to take in mind that energy and its motion are always present in all places and level of the Universe.

And for finishing a question-reflection:

- Should the physicists believe and accept that electrons are situated and move in the flamboyant and ridicule positions that the Quantum Mechanics proposes us?