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#### Abstract

We study the existence and uniqueness of Reflected Backward Stochastic Differential Equation (RBSDE for short) with both monotone and locally monotone coefficient and squared integrable terminal data. This is done with a polynomial growth condition on the coefficient. An application to the homogenization of multivalued Partial Differential Equations (PDEs for short) is given.


## 1 Introduction

Let $\left(W_{t}\right)_{0} \leq t \leq T$ be a $r$-dimensional Wiener process defined on a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. Let $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ denote the natural filtration of $\left(W_{t}\right)$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ contains all P-null sets of $\mathcal{F}$, and $\xi$ be an $\mathcal{F}_{T}$-measurable $d$-dimensional random variable. Let $f$ be an $\mathbb{R}^{d}$-valued process defined on $[0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}$ such that for all $(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}$, the map $(t, \omega) \longrightarrow f(t, \omega, y, z)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t^{-}}$ progressively measurable. The BSDE we consider here is of the following type

$$
Y_{t}=\xi+\int_{t}^{T} f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) d s-\int_{t}^{T} Z_{s} d W_{s}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T
$$

Such equations are a relatively recent subject of research, having leapt onto the stage only 12 years ago with the publication of Pardoux and Peng's paper [27]. Originally motivated by questions arising in stochastic control theory, they have since found applications in both mathematical finance theory (e.g. [13]), and in the vast subject of partial differential equations (e.g. [28, 30]). The connection between Brownian motion and diffusions with partial differential equations has been a subject of intensive research for over half a century, only limited to the types of PDEs one can consider (usually linear elliptic type PDEs). Backwards SDEs allows the treatment of a heretofore non treatable type of PDE, by probabilistic methods, and is therefore intrinsically interesting.

In [27], Pardoux and Peng have proved the existence and uniqueness of a solution under globally Lipschitz coefficient by using the Itô's martingale representation theorem and a suitable Picard approximation procedure. Afterwards, many efforts have been done in relaxing the Lipschitz conditions and the growth of the generator function, (see $[17,18,12,23,24,2,9]$ ).

The existence and uniqueness of reflected backward stochastic differential equation in a convex domain, via penalization method, have been proved by Gegout-Petit and Pardoux [16] under Lipschitz hypothesis on the coefficient. In the case where the solution is forced to remain above an obstacle, El Karoui et al. [14] have derived an existence result for one dimensional reflected BSDE with Lipschitz

[^0]conditions by using two methods: one uses Picard iteration, the other uses penalization argument (see also $[4,20])$. In this case, the solution is a triple $(Y, Z, K)$, where $K$ is an increasing process, satisfying
$$
Y_{t}=\xi+\int_{t}^{T} f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) d s-\int_{t}^{T} Z_{s} d W_{s}+K_{T}-K_{t}
$$

Those equations are also discussed in the case of globally lipschitz in z, globally monotone in y and the generator $f$ has a linear growth [29].

However, in many examples of semilinear PDEs, the nonlinearity is not of linear growth but instead, it is of polynomial growth, see for instance the linear heat equation analyzed by Escobedo et al. [15] or the Allen-Cahn equation (see Barles et al. [6]). If one attempts to extend those equations to the case of multivalued PDE's, then the problem of solving RBSDE with polynomial growth coefficient comes up naturally.

The subject of the first part of this paper consists to solve this last problem by using the Yosida approximation which is a penalization method. Our result gives, in particular, a probabilistic interpretation of the multivalued PDE $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+\Delta u-u^{3} \in \partial \phi$, where $\phi$ is a lower semicontinuous proper and convex function. Noticing that, since we allow the presence of obstacles, our result is also important for applications to finance.

In the second part, we merely assume that the monotonic condition on the variable $y$ as well as the Lipschitz condition on the variable $z$ are satisfied locally in $y$ and $z$. We then show that if the the monotonic constant $\mu_{N}$ and the Lipschitz constant $L_{N}$, of the coefficient $f$ in the ball $B(0, N)$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}$, are such that $\mu_{N}^{+}+L_{N}^{2}=\mathcal{O}(\log N)$, then the corresponding RBSDE has a unique solution. This is done with an unbounded terminal data. The proof is mainly based on the result of the first part and a suitable approximation of the generator $f$ by a sequence $f_{n}$ of Lipschitz functions. The idea consists to use the result of the first part inside a fixed ball $B(0, N)$ then to find a good control of the solutions in $\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times r} \backslash B(0, N)$.

Finally, in the third part, we apply our result to homogenization of multivalued semilinear PDEs.
The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we study the existence and uniqueness of RBSDE with monotone generator. The existence and uniqueness of one solution to RBSDE with locally monotone coefficient is proved in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to the study of an homogenization property for multivalued PDE under locally monotone condition.

## 2 RBSDE with Monotone Coefficient and polynomial growth

### 2.1 Formulation of the problem

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a complete probability space and $\left(W_{t}, t \in[0,1]\right)$ be a n-dimensional Wiener process defined on it. Let $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}, t \in[0,1]\right)$ denote the natural filtration of $\left(W_{t}\right)$ augmented with the $\mathbb{P}$-null sets of $\mathcal{F}$. We define the following three objects:
(A.1) A process $f$ defined on $\Omega \times[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$ with value in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ which satisfies the following assumptions:
There exist constants $\gamma \geq 0, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, C \geq 0$ and $p \geq 1$ such that $\mathbb{P}$ - a.s., we have
(i) $\forall(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}:(\omega, t) \longrightarrow f(\omega, t, y, z)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-progressively measurable
(ii) $\forall t, \forall y, \forall\left(z, z^{\prime}\right), \quad\left|f(t, y, z)-f\left(t, y, z^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \gamma\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|$
(iii) $\forall t, \forall z, \forall\left(y, y^{\prime}\right), \quad\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\left(f(t, y, z)-f\left(t, y^{\prime}, z\right)\right) \leq \mu\left|y-y^{\prime}\right|^{2}$
(iv) $\forall t, \forall y, \forall z, \quad|f(t, y, z)| \leq|f(t, 0, z)|+K\left(1+|y|^{p}\right)$
$(v) \forall t, \forall z, \quad y \longrightarrow f(t, y, z)$ is continuous.
(A.2) A terminal value $\xi$ which is $\mathcal{F}_{1}$-measurable such that

$$
\mathbb{E}|\xi|^{2 p}+\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{1}|f(s, 0,0)|^{2} d s\right)^{p}<+\infty
$$

Define

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{Dom}(\phi) & =\left\{u \in \mathbb{R}^{d}: \phi(u)<+\infty\right\} \\
\partial \phi(u) & =\left\{u^{*} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}:<u^{*}, v-u>+\phi(u) \leq \phi(v), \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\right\} \\
\operatorname{Dom}(\partial(\phi)) & =\left\{u \in \mathbb{R}^{d}: \partial(\phi) \neq \emptyset\right\} \\
\operatorname{Gr}(\partial \phi) & =\left\{\left(u, u^{*}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}: u \in \operatorname{Dom}(\partial(\phi)) \text { and } u^{*} \in \partial \phi(u)\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

(A.3) A proper lower semicontinuous convex function $\left.\left.\phi: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow\right]-\infty,+\infty\right]$.

We also assume that $\xi \in \overline{\operatorname{Dom}(\phi)}$ and $\mathbb{E}(\phi(\xi))<+\infty$.
Before stating our result, we recall some properties of a Yosida approximation of subdifferential operator. For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, we put

$$
\phi_{n}(x)=\min _{y}\left(\frac{n}{2}|x-y|^{2}+\phi(y)\right)
$$

Let $J_{n}(x)$ be the unique solution of the diffrential inclusion $x \in J_{n}(x)+\frac{1}{n} \partial \phi\left(J_{n}(x)\right)$ (see Barbu, Precupanu [5]). Note that $\phi_{n}$ and $J_{n}$ satisfiy the following:
j) $\phi_{n}: \mathbb{R}^{d} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex and $C^{1}$ class function with with Lipschitz derivative.
jj) For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \nabla \phi_{n}(x)=n\left(x-J_{n}(x)\right):=A_{n}(x)$.
jjj) For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \inf _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} \phi(y) \leq \phi\left(J_{n}(x)\right) \leq \phi_{n}(x) \leq \phi(x)$.
$\mathrm{jV})$ There exist $a \in \operatorname{interior}(\operatorname{Dom}(\phi))$ and positive numbers $R, C$ such that for every $z \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\nabla \phi_{n}(z)^{*}, z-a\right\rangle \geq R\left|A_{n}(z)\right|-C|z|-C \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^{*} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

v) For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} A_{n}(x) \in A\left(J_{n}(x)\right)$.

The map $J_{n}$ is called the resolvent of the monotone operator $A=\partial \phi$. The operator $A_{n}$ is called the Yosida approximation of $\partial \phi$. More details can be found in Cépa [10].

Let us introduce our RBSDE. The solution is a triplet $\left(Y_{t}, Z_{t}, K_{t}\right), 0 \leq t \leq 1$ of progressively measurable processes taking values in $\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times n} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and satisfying:
(1) $Z$ is a predictable process and $\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left\|Z_{t}\right\|^{2} d t<+\infty$
(2) $Y_{t}=\xi+\int_{t}^{1} f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) d s-\int_{t}^{1} Z_{s} d W_{s}+K_{1}-K_{t}, 0 \leq t \leq 1$
(3) the process $Y$ is continuous
(4) $K$ is absolutely continuous, $K_{0}=0$, and for every progressively measurable and continuous processes $(\alpha, \beta)$ such that $\left(\alpha_{t}, \beta_{t}\right) \in G r(\partial \phi)$, we have

$$
\int_{0}\left(Y_{t}-\alpha_{t}\right)\left(d K_{t}+\beta_{t} d t\right) \leq 0
$$

(5) $Y_{t} \in \overline{\operatorname{Dom}(\phi)}, 0 \leq t \leq 1$ a.s.

Our goal in this section is to study the $\operatorname{RBSDE}(1)-(5)$ when the generator $f$ satisfies the above assumptions.

Consider the following sequence of backward stochastic differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{t}^{n}=\xi+\int_{t}^{1}\left(f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}\right)-A_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)\right) d s-\int_{t}^{1} Z_{s}^{n} d W_{s} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi, f$ satisfy the assumptions stated above and $\left(A_{n}\right)_{n}$ is the Yosida approximation of the operator $A=\partial \phi$. It is known, since $A_{n}$ is Lipschitz and $f$ is monotone, that the equation (2.2) has one and only one solution. We set

$$
K_{t}^{n}:=-\int_{0}^{t} A_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right) d s \quad \text { for } t \in[0,1]
$$

### 2.2 Existence and uniqueness of solutions

The main result in this section is the following
Theorem 2.1. Assume that (A.1), (A.2), (A.3) hold. Then the RBSDE (1)-(5) has a unique solution $\left\{\left(Y_{t}, Z_{t}, K_{t}\right) ; 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{n \longrightarrow+\infty} \mathbb{E} \sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|Y_{t}^{n}-Y_{t}\right|^{2}=0 \\
& \lim _{n \xrightarrow{2}+\infty} \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|Z_{t}^{n}-Z_{t}\right|^{2} d s=0 \\
& \lim _{n \longrightarrow+\infty} \mathbb{E} \sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|K_{t}^{n}-K_{t}\right|^{2}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(Y^{n}, Z^{n}\right)$ be the solution of equation 2.2.
In order to prove Theorem 2.1 we need the following lemmas.
Lemma 2.1. Let assumptions of Theorem 2.1 hold. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}} \mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|Y_{t}^{n}\right|^{2}+\int_{0}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s+\int_{0}^{1}\left|A_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)\right| d s\right)<+\infty \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof . By Itô's formula we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|Y_{t}^{n}-a\right|^{2}+\int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s & =|\xi-a|^{2}+2 \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-a\right)^{*} f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}\right) d s \\
& -2 \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-a\right)^{*} Z_{s}^{n} d W_{s}-2 \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-a\right)^{*} A_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right) d s \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

We Take expectation and use (2.1) to obtain,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t}^{n}-a\right|^{2}+\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s & \leq \mathbb{E}|\xi-a|^{2}+2 \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-a\right)^{*} f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}\right) d s \\
& -2 R \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|A_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)\right| d s+2 C \int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}\right| d s+2 C,
\end{aligned}
$$

this implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t}^{n}-a\right|^{2}+\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s+2 R \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|A_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)\right| d s \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}|\xi-a|^{2}+2 C \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}\right| d s+2 C \\
& +2 \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-a\right)^{*}\left(f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}\right)-f\left(s, a, Z_{s}^{n}\right)\right) d s+2 \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-a\right) f\left(s, a, Z_{s}^{n}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Using assumptions (A.1)(i) $-(i i i)$, we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left(\left|Y_{t}^{n}-a\right|^{2}+\int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s+2 R \int_{t}^{1}\left|A_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)\right| d s\right) \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}|\xi-a|^{2}+2 \mu \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-a\right|^{2} d s+2 \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-a\right|\left(\gamma\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|+K\left(1+|a|^{p}\right)\right) d s \\
& +\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-a\right|^{2} d s+\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}|f(s, 0,0)|^{2} d s+C
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is a constant which can change from line to line.
Since $2 a b \leq \beta^{2} a^{2}+\frac{1}{\beta^{2}} b^{2}$ for each $a, b \geq 0$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left(\left|Y_{t}^{n}-a\right|^{2}+\int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s+2 R \int_{t}^{1}\left|A_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)\right| d s\right) \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}|\xi-a|^{2}+\left(2|\mu|+\beta^{2}+1\right) \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{t}^{n}-a\right|^{2} d s+\frac{2 \gamma^{2}}{\beta^{2}} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s+C .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we take $\frac{2 \gamma^{2}}{\beta^{2}}=\frac{1}{2}$, we obtain

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t}^{n}-a\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s \leq C\left(1+\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-a\right|^{2} d s\right)
$$

Hence by Gronwall's lemma we have,

$$
\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1} \mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t}^{n}-a\right|^{2} \leq C, \forall n
$$

So that

$$
\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1} \mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t}^{n}\right|^{2} \leq C, \forall n
$$

Now, it is not difficult to show that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s+\int_{0}^{1}\left|A_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)\right| d s\right)<+\infty \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use equation (2.4) and Bulkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality to get,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}} \mathbb{E} \sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|Y_{t}^{n}\right|^{2} \leq C \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1 is proved.
We state the following lemma which is essential for the convergence of the sequence $\left(Y^{n}, Z^{n}\right)_{n \in I N^{*}}$.
Lemma 2.2. Let assumptions of Theorem 2.1 hold. Then
a) $\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1} \mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t}^{n}\right|^{2 p}<+\infty, \quad \forall n$.
b) $\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}} \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|A_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)\right|^{2} d s<+\infty$.

Proof . a) Itô's formula gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|Y_{t}^{n}-a\right|^{2}+\int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s & =|\xi-a|^{2}+2 \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-a\right)^{*} f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}, U_{s}^{n}\right) d s \\
& -2 \int_{t}^{1} Y_{s}^{n} Z_{s}^{n} d W_{s}-2 \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-a\right)^{*} A_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

By assumptions (A.1)(i) - (iii), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|Y_{t}^{n}-a\right|^{2}+\int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s+2 R \int_{t}^{1}\left|A_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)\right| d s \\
& \leq|\xi-a|^{2}+2 \mu \int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-a\right|^{2} d s+2 \int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-a\right|\left(\gamma\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|+K\left(1+|a|^{p}\right)\right) d s \\
& +\int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-a\right|^{2} d s+\int_{t}^{1}|f(s, 0,0)|^{2} d s+C-\int_{t}^{1} Y_{s}^{n} Z_{s}^{n} d W_{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the conditional expectation with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{t}$ of both sides, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|Y_{t}^{n}-a\right|^{2} \leq & \mathbb{E}\left[|\xi-a|^{2} / \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]+\left(2|\mu|+4 \gamma^{2}+1\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-a\right|^{2} d s / \mathcal{F}_{t}\right] \\
& +\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1}|f(s, 0,0)|^{2} d s / \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]+2 C \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left(1+|a|^{p}\right) d s+C
\end{aligned}
$$

Jensen's inequality shows that for every $p>1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t}^{n}-a\right|^{2 p} \leq & C_{p}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[|\xi-a|^{2 p}\right]+\left(2|\mu|+4 \gamma^{2}+1\right)^{p} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-a\right|^{2 p} d s\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{1}|f(s, 0,0)|^{2} d s\right)^{p}+1\right] \\
\leq & C_{p}\left(1+\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-a\right|^{2 p} d s\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Gronwall's lemma implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1} \mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t}^{n}\right|^{2 p}<+\infty, \quad \forall n \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assertion a) is proved.
b) We assume without loss of generality that $\phi$ is positive and $\phi(0)=0^{1}$. Note that $\phi_{n}$ is a convex $C^{1}$-function with a lipschitz derivative, and put $\psi_{n}=\frac{\phi_{n}}{n}$.
By convolution of $\psi_{n}$ with a smooth function, the convexity of $\psi_{n}$ and Itô's formula, one can show that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{n}\left(Y_{t}^{n}\right) & \leq \psi_{n}(\xi)+\int_{t}^{1} \nabla \psi_{n}\left(Y_{r}^{n}\right)\left(f\left(r, Y_{r}^{n}, Z_{r}^{n}\right)-A_{n}\left(Y_{r}^{n}\right)\right) d r \\
& -\int_{t}^{1} \nabla \psi_{n}\left(Y_{r}^{n}\right) Z_{r}^{n} d W_{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \psi_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right) & \leq \mathbb{E} \psi_{n}(\xi)+\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1} \nabla \psi_{n}\left(Y_{r}^{n}\right)\left(f\left(r, Y_{r}^{n}, Z_{r}^{n}\right)-A_{n}\left(Y_{r}^{n}\right)\right) d r \\
& =\mathbb{E} \psi_{n}(\xi)+\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1} \nabla \psi_{n}\left(Y_{r}^{n}\right) f\left(r, Y_{r}^{n}, Z_{r}^{n}\right) d r-\frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|A_{n}\left(Y_{r}^{n}\right)\right|^{2} d r
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, using the inequality $2 a b \leq n a^{2}+\frac{1}{n} b^{2}$ we deduce,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \psi_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)+\frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|A_{n}\left(Y_{r}^{n}\right)\right|^{2} d r & \leq \mathbb{E} \psi_{n}(\xi)+\frac{1}{2 n} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|A_{n}\left(Y_{r}^{n}\right)\right|^{2} d r \\
& +\frac{1}{2 n} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}\right)\right|^{2} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

We use assumptions (A.1)(iv), (ii), to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \psi_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right) & +\frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|A_{n}\left(Y_{r}^{n}\right)\right|^{2} d r \\
& \leq \mathbb{E} \psi_{n}(\xi)+\frac{1}{2 n} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|A_{n}\left(Y_{r}^{n}\right)\right|^{2} d r+\frac{2 \gamma^{2}}{n} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s \\
& +\frac{2}{n} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}|f(s, 0,0)|^{2} d s+\frac{2 K^{2}}{n} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left(1+\left|Y_{s}^{n}\right|^{2 p}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

[^1]The relations (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7) allowed us to prove that

$$
\mathbb{E} \psi_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)+\frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|A_{n}\left(Y_{r}^{n}\right)\right|^{2} d r \leq \frac{C}{n}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n} \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|A_{n}\left(Y_{r}^{n}\right)\right|^{2} d r<+\infty \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2 is proved
Lemma 2.3. Let assumptions of Theorem 2.1 hold. Then

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|Y_{t}^{n}-Y_{t}^{m}\right|^{2}+\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} d s \leq C\left(\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{m}\right)
$$

Proof . Using Itô's formula, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|Y_{t}^{n}-Y_{t}^{m}\right|^{2}+\int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} d s \\
& =2 \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right)^{*}\left[f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}^{m}, Z_{s}^{m}\right)\right] d s \\
& +2 \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right)^{*}\left(Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}^{m}\right) d W_{s} \\
& -2 \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right)^{*} A_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right) d s+2 \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right)^{*} A_{m}\left(Y_{s}^{m}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

and then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|Y_{t}^{n}-Y_{t}^{m}\right|^{2}+\int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} d s \\
& =2 \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right)^{*}\left[f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}^{m}, Z_{s}^{n}\right)\right] d s \\
& +2 \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right)^{*}\left[f\left(s, Y_{s}^{m}, Z_{s}^{n}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}^{m}, Z_{s}^{m}\right)\right] d s \\
& +2 \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right)^{*}\left(Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}^{m}\right) d W_{s} \\
& -2 \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right)^{*} A_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right) d s+2 \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right)^{*} A_{m}\left(Y_{s}^{m}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t}^{n}-Y_{t}^{m}\right|^{2}+\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} d s \\
& \leq 2 \mu \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} d s+2 \gamma \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right|\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}^{m}\right| d s \\
& -2 \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right)^{*}\left(A_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)-A_{m}\left(Y_{s}^{m}\right)\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Since, $I d=J_{n}+\frac{1}{n} A_{n}=J_{m}+\frac{1}{m} A_{m},\left(A_{m}\left(Y_{s}^{m}\right), A_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)\right) \in A\left(J_{m}\left(Y_{s}^{m}\right)\right) \times A\left(J_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)\right)$ and $x y \leq$ $\frac{1}{4} x^{2}+y^{2}, \forall x \geq 0 \forall y \geq 0$, we can show that

$$
-\left\langle Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}, A_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)-A_{m}\left(Y_{s}^{m}\right)\right\rangle \leq \frac{1}{4 m}\left|A_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 n}\left|A_{m}\left(Y_{s}^{m}\right)\right|^{2}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t}^{n}-Y_{t}^{m}\right|^{2}+\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} d s \\
& \leq\left(2|\mu|+\beta^{2}\right) \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} d s+\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\beta^{2}} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} d s \\
& +\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left(\frac{1}{4 m}\left|A_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4 n}\left|A_{m}\left(Y_{s}^{m}\right)\right|^{2}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

If we choose $\beta$ such that $\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\beta^{2}}<\frac{1}{2}$, we obtain

$$
\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1} \mathbb{E}\left|Y_{t}^{n}-Y_{t}^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} d s \leq C\left(\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{m}\right)
$$

Using the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, we get

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|Y_{t}^{n}-Y_{t}^{m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} d s \leq C\left(\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{m}\right)
$$

Lemma 2.3 is proved.
Lemma 2.4. (see Saisho [31]) Let $\left(k^{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of continuous and bounded variation functions from $[0,1]$ to $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, such that :
(i) $\sup _{n} \operatorname{Var}\left(k^{n}\right) \leq C<+\infty$.
(ii) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k^{n}=k$ uniformly on $[0,1]$.
(iii) Let $\left(f^{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of càdlàg functions from- $[0,1]$ to $R^{d}$, such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f^{n}=f$ uniformly on $[0,1]$.
Then for every $t \in[0,1]$ we have:

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle f^{n}(s), d k^{n}(s)\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{t}\langle f(s), d k(s)\rangle
$$

## Proof of Theorem 2.1

Existence. By Lemma 2.3, $\left(Y^{n}, Z^{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space of progressively measurable processes $\mathbb{L}$ defined by,

$$
\left.\mathbb{L}=\left\{(Y, Z) / \mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|Y_{t}\right|^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|Z_{s}\right|^{2} d s\right)<\infty\right\}
$$

Let $(Y, Z)$ be the limit of $\left(Y^{n}, Z^{n}\right)$ in $\mathbb{L}$.
Coming back to the equation satisfied by $\left(Y^{n}, Z^{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, we can show that $\left(K^{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ to the process $K_{.}=\lim _{n \longrightarrow+\infty} \int_{0} A_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right) d s$, that is

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|K_{t}^{n}-K_{t}\right|^{2}=0
$$

The relation (2.8) can be written in the form

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}} \mathbb{E}\left\|K_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(0,1 ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2}<+\infty
$$

where $H^{1}\left(0,1 ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is the classical Sobolev space consisting of all absolutely continuous functions with derivative in $L^{2}(0,1)$. Hence the sequence $\left(K^{n}\right)$ is strongly bounded in the Hilbert space $L^{2}\left(\Omega ; H^{1}\left(0,1 ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)$, and there exists then a subsequence of $\left(K^{n}\right)$ which converges weakly. The limiting process $K$ belongs to $L^{2}\left(\Omega ; H^{1}\left(0,1 ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)$ and for a.s. $\omega K .(\omega) \in H^{1}\left(0,1 ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Hence $K$ is absolutely continuous and
$\frac{d K_{t}}{d t}=V_{t}$, where $-V_{t} \in \partial \phi\left(Y_{t}\right)$.
We shall prove that $(Y, Z, K)$ is the unique solution to our equation. Taking a subsequence, if necessary, we can suppose that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{t \in[0,1]}\left|K_{t}^{n}-K_{t}\right| \longrightarrow 0, \text { a.s. } \\
& \sup _{t \in[0,1]}\left|Y_{t}^{n}-Y_{t}\right| \longrightarrow 0, \text { a.s. }
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $K_{t}$ and $Y_{t}$ are continuous. Let $(\alpha, \beta)$ be a continuous processes with values in $G r(\partial \phi)$. It holds that

$$
\left\langle J_{n}\left(Y_{t}^{n}\right)-\alpha(t), d K_{t}^{n}+\beta_{t} d t\right\rangle \leq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{n}\left(Y_{t}^{n}\right)$ converge to $\mathbf{p r}\left(Y_{t}\right)$, where $\mathbf{p r}$ denotes the projection on $\overline{\operatorname{Dom}(\phi)}$, then we use Lemma 2.4 to show that $\left\langle\mathbf{p r}\left(Y_{t}\right)-\alpha(t), d K(t)+\beta_{t} d t\right\rangle \leq 0$.
Since the process $\left(Y_{t}, 0 \leq t \leq 1\right)$ is continuous, the proof of existence will complete if we show that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left\{Y_{t} \in \overline{\operatorname{Dom}(\phi)}\right\}=1 \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

Assume that there exist $0<t_{0}<\infty$ and $B_{0} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathbb{P}\left(B_{0}\right)>0$ and $Y_{t_{0}}(\omega) \notin \overline{\operatorname{Dom}(\phi)} \forall$ $\omega \in B_{0}$. By the continuity, there exist $\delta>0, B_{1} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathbb{P}\left(B_{1}\right)>0, Y_{t}(\omega) \notin \overline{\operatorname{Dom}(\phi)}$ for every $(\omega, t) \in B_{1} \times\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+\delta\right]$. Using the fact that $\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}} \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|A_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)\right| d s<+\infty$, and Fatou's lemma, we obtain

$$
\int_{B_{1}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+\delta} \liminf _{n \longrightarrow+\infty}\left|A_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)\right| d s d \mathbb{P}<+\infty
$$

which contradict the fact that $\liminf _{n \longrightarrow+\infty}\left|A_{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)\right|=+\infty$ on the set $B_{1} \times\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+\delta\right]$. This complete the existence proof.

Uniqueness. Let $\left\{\left(Y_{t}, Z_{t}, K_{t}\right) ; 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}$ and $\left\{\left(Y_{t}^{\prime}, Z_{t}^{\prime}, K_{t}^{\prime}\right) ; 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}$ denote two solutions of our BSDE. Define

$$
\left\{\left(\Delta Y_{t}, \Delta Z_{t}, \Delta K_{t}\right) ; 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}=\left\{\left(Y_{t}-Y_{t}^{\prime}, Z_{t}-Z_{t}^{\prime}, K_{t}-K_{t}^{\prime}\right) ; 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}
$$

It follows from Itô's formula that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\Delta Y_{t}\right|^{2}+\int_{t}^{1}\left|\Delta Z_{s}\right|^{2} d s\right]=2 \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left\langle\Delta Y_{s}, f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}^{\prime}, Z_{s}\right)\right\rangle d s \\
& +2 \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left\langle\Delta Y_{s}, f\left(s, Y_{s}^{\prime}, Z_{s}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}^{\prime}, Z_{s}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle d s+2 \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left\langle\Delta Y_{s}, d \Delta K_{s}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

By assumptions (A.1)(ii) $-(i i i)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\Delta Y_{t}\right|^{2}+\int_{t}^{1}\left|\Delta Z_{s}\right|^{2} d s\right] \\
& =\left(2 \mu+\beta^{2}\right) \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|\Delta Y_{s}\right|^{2} d s+\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\beta^{2}} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|\Delta Z_{s}\right|^{2} d s+2 \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left\langle Y_{s}, d \Delta K_{s}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\partial \phi$ is a monotone operator and $\frac{-d K_{t}}{d t} \in \partial \phi\left(Y_{t}\right), \frac{-d K_{t}^{\prime}}{d t} \in \partial \phi\left(Y_{t}^{\prime}\right)$, then

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left\langle\Delta Y_{s}, d \Delta K_{s}\right\rangle \leq 0
$$

Hence, taking $\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\beta^{2}}=\frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\Delta Y_{t}\right|^{2}+\int_{t}^{1}\left|\Delta Z_{s}\right|^{2} d s\right] \leq C \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|\Delta Y_{s}\right|^{2} d s+\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|\Delta Z_{s}\right|^{2} d s
$$

The result follows from Gronwall's lemma.

## 3 Reflected Backward Stochastic Differential Equation with Locally monotone Coefficient

The aim of this section is to extend the previous results to the case where the generator $f$ is locally monotone on the $y$-variable and locally lipschitz on the $z$-variable. Similar result on existence and uniqueness (without reflection) has been proved in Pardoux [26] for BSDE in the case where the generator $f$ is globally monotone w.r.t. the variable y and Lipschitz w.r.t. the variable z, and more recently in Bahlali et al. [2] for BSDE with reflection and jumps in the case where the generator is locally Lipschitz w.r.t. the variables $y$ and $z$. Our result is, in particular, an extension of these two works.
Consider the following assumptions:
(i) $f$ is continuous in $(y, z)$ for almost all $(t, \omega)$,
(ii) There exist $M>0$ and $0 \leq \alpha<1$ such that $|f(t, \omega, y, z)| \leq M\left(1+|y|^{\alpha}+|z|^{\alpha}\right)$.
(iii) There exists $\mu_{N}$ such that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle y-y^{\prime}, f(t, y, z)-f\left(t, y^{\prime}, z\right)\right\rangle \leq \mu_{N}\left|y-y^{\prime}\right|^{2} ; \mathbb{P}-\text { a.s., a.e.t } \in[0,1] \text { and } \\
& \forall y, z \text { such that }|y| \leq N,\left|y^{\prime}\right| \leq N,|z| \leq N .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iv) For each $N>0$, there exists $L_{N}$ such that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f(t, y, z)-f\left(t, y, z^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq L_{N}\left|z-z^{\prime}\right| ;|z|,\left|z^{\prime}\right| \leq N ; \mathbb{P}-\text { a.s., a.e.t } \in[0,1] \text { and } \\
& \forall y, z, z^{\prime} \text { such that }|y| \leq N,|z| \leq N,\left|z^{\prime}\right| \leq N .
\end{aligned}
$$

When the assumptions $(i),(i i)$, are satisfied, we can define the family of semi norms $\left(\rho_{n}(f)\right)_{n}$

$$
\rho_{n}(f)=\left(\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1} \sup _{|y|,|z| \leq n}|f(s, y, z)|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

The main result of this section is the following
Theorem 3.1. Let (i)-(iv) hold and $\xi$ be a square integrable random variable.
-(a)- Assume moreover that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\exp \left(L_{N}^{2}+2 \mu_{N}^{+}\right)}{\left(L_{N}^{2}+2 \mu_{N}^{+}\right) N^{2(1-\alpha)}}=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{N}^{+}:=\sup \left(\mu_{N}, 0\right)$. Then equation (1) - (5) has a unique solution.
-(b)- If there exists a constant $L \geq 0$ such that

$$
L_{N}^{2}+2 \mu_{N}^{+} \leq L+2 \log N
$$

then equation (1) - (5) has also a unique solution.
Remark 3.1. It should be noted that there is existence and uniqueness if we replace condition (ii) by the following
(ii') There exists $M>0$ and $0 \leq \alpha<1$ such that $|f(t, \omega, y, z)| \leq M\left(1+|y|+|z|^{\alpha}\right)$.
To prove Theorem 3.1 we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. Let $f$ be a function which satisfies $(i),(i i),(i i i),(i v)$. Then there exists a sequence of functions $\left(f_{n}\right)$ such that,
-(a)- For each $n, f_{n}$ is globally Lipschitz in $(y, z)$ a.e. $t$ and P-a.s. $\omega$.
-(b)- For every $N \in \mathbb{N}^{*},\left|f_{n}(t, \omega, y, z)-f_{n}\left(t, \omega, y, z^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq L_{\left(N+\frac{1}{n}\right)}\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|$, for $n$ large enough and for each $\left(y, z, z^{\prime}\right)$ such that $|y| \leq N,|z| \leq N,\left|z^{\prime}\right| \leq N$.
-(c)- For every $N \in \mathbb{N}^{*},<y-y^{\prime}, f_{n}(t, \omega, y, z)-f_{n}\left(t, \omega, y^{\prime}, z\right)>\leq \mu_{\left(N+\frac{1}{n}\right)}\left|y-y^{\prime}\right|^{2}$, for $n$
large enough and for each $\left(y, y^{\prime}, z\right)$ such that $|y| \leq N,\left|y^{\prime}\right| \leq N,|z| \leq N$.
$-(d)$ - For every $N, \rho_{N}\left(f_{n}-f\right) \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$.
Proof. Let $\rho_{n}: \mathbb{R}^{d} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a sequence of smooth functions with compact support which approximate the Dirac measure at 0 and which satisfy $\int \rho_{n}(u) d u=1$. Let $\varphi_{n}: \mathbb{R}^{d} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a sequence of smooth functions such that $0 \leq \varphi_{n} \leq 1, \varphi_{n}(u)=1$ for $|u| \leq n$ and $\varphi_{n}(u)=0$ for $|u| \geq n+1$. Likewise we define the sequence $\psi_{n}$ from $\mathbb{R}^{d \times r}$ to $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. We put, $f_{q, n}(t, y, z)=\int f(t, y-u, z) \rho_{q}(u) d u \varphi_{n}(y) \psi_{n}(z)$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, let $q(n)$ be an integer such that $q(n) \geq M\left[n+n^{\alpha}\right]$. It is not difficult to see that the sequence $f_{n}:=f_{q(n), n}$ satisfies all the assertions (a)-(d).

Consider, for fixed $(t, \omega)$ the sequence $f_{n}(t, \omega, y, z)$ associated to $f$ by Lemma 3.1. We get from the previous section that there exists a unique triplet $\left\{\left(Y_{t}^{n}, Z_{t}^{n}, K_{t}^{n} ; 0 \leq t \leq 1\right)\right\}$ of progressively measurable processes which satisfy:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(1^{\prime}\right) Z^{n} \text { is adapted process and } \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|Z_{t}^{n}\right|^{2} d t<+\infty \\
\left(2^{\prime}\right) Y_{t}^{n}=\xi+\int_{t}^{1} f_{n}\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}\right) d s-\int_{t}^{1} Z_{s}^{n} d W_{s}+K_{1}^{n}-K_{t}^{n}, 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\
\left(3^{\prime}\right) \text { the process } Y^{n} \text { is continuous } \\
\left(4^{\prime}\right) K^{n} \text { is absolutely continuous, } K_{0}^{n}=0, \text { and for every progressively measurable } \\
\text { and continuous processes }(\alpha, \beta) \text { such that }\left(\alpha_{t}, \beta_{t}\right) \in G r(\partial \phi), \text { we have } \\
\int_{0}^{n}\left(Y_{t}^{n}-\alpha_{t}\right)\left(d K_{t}^{n}+\beta_{t} d t\right) \leq 0 \\
\left(5^{\prime}\right) Y_{t}^{n} \in \overline{\operatorname{Dom}(\phi)}, 0 \leq t \leq 1 \text { a.s. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Lemma 3.2. There exists a constant $C$ depending only in $M$ and $\mathbb{E}|\xi|^{2}$, such that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|Y_{t}^{n}\right|^{2}+\int_{0}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s+\left|K_{1}^{n}\right|^{2}\right) \leq C, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}
$$

Proof : Since $|x|^{\alpha} \leq 1+|x| \forall \alpha \in[0,1]$, the proof follows by standard arguments for BSDE.
Lemma 3.3. There exist $(Y, Z, K)$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\left\{\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|Y_{t}^{n}-Y_{t}\right|^{2}+\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|K_{t}^{n}-K_{t}\right|^{2}+\int_{0}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}\right|^{2} d s\right\}=0
$$

Proof . By Itô's formula we have,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}\left(\left|Y_{t}^{n}-\quad Y_{t}^{m}\right|^{2}\right)+E \int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} d s \\
&= 2 E \int_{t}^{1}\left\langle Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}, \quad f_{n}\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}\right)-f_{m}\left(s, Y_{s}^{m}, Z_{s}^{m}\right)\right\rangle d s  \tag{3.2}\\
&+2 \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right) d\left(K_{s}^{n}-K_{s}^{m}\right) \\
&= I_{0}(n, m)+I_{1}(n, m)+I_{2}(n, m)+I_{3}(n, m)+2 \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right) d\left(K_{s}^{n}-K_{s}^{m}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
I_{0}(n, m)=2 E \int_{t}^{1}\left\langle Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}, \quad f_{n}\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}\right)-f_{m}\left(s, Y_{s}^{m}, Z_{s}^{m}\right)\right\rangle \mathbf{1}_{A_{n, m}^{N}} d s
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{1}(n, m)=2 E \int_{t}^{1}\left\langle Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}, \quad f_{n}\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}\right)\right\rangle \mathbf{1}_{\bar{A}_{n, m}^{N}} d s \\
I_{2}(n, m)=2 E \int_{t}^{1}\left\langle Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}, \quad f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}^{m}, Z_{s}^{m}\right)\right\rangle \mathbf{1}_{\bar{A}_{n, m}^{N}} d s \\
I_{3}(n, m)=2 E \int_{t}^{1}\left\langle Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}, \quad f\left(s, Y_{s}^{m}, Z_{s}^{m}\right)-f_{m}\left(s, Y_{s}^{m}, Z_{s}^{m}\right)\right\rangle \mathbf{1}_{\bar{A}_{n, m}^{N}} d s .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $K^{n}, K^{m}$ are absolutely continuous, $Y^{n}, Y^{m} \in \overline{\operatorname{Dom}(\phi)}$ and the measures $\left\langle Y_{t}^{n}-\alpha_{t}, d K_{t}^{n}-\beta_{t} d t\right\rangle$ $\left\langle Y_{t}^{m}-\alpha_{t}, d K_{t}^{m}-\beta_{t} d t\right\rangle$, are negatives, we deduce from Lemma 4.1 in Cépa [10] that $\left\langle Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}, d\left(K_{s}^{n}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.K_{s}^{m}\right)\right\rangle$, is also negative.

We shall estimate $I_{0}(n, m), I_{1}(n, m), I_{2}(n, m), I_{3}(n, m)$. Let $\beta$ be a strictly positive number. For a given $N>1$, we put $A_{n, m}^{N}:=\left\{(s, \omega) ;\left|Y_{s}^{n}\right|^{2}+\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2}+\left|Y_{s}^{m}\right|^{2}+\left|Z_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} \geq N^{2}\right\}, \bar{A}_{n, m}^{N}:=\Omega \backslash A_{n, m}^{N}$ and denote by $\mathbf{1}_{E}$ the indicator function of the set $E$. It is not difficult to see that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{0}(n, m) & \leq \beta^{2} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} \mathbf{1}_{A_{n, m}^{N}} d s \\
& +\frac{1}{\beta^{2}} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|f_{n}\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}\right)-f_{m}\left(s, Y_{s}^{m}, Z_{s}^{m}\right)\right|^{2} \mathbf{1}_{A_{n, m}^{N}} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

We use Hölder's inequality (since $\alpha<1$ ) and Chebychev's inequality to get,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{0}(n, m) \leq \beta^{2} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} \mathbf{1}_{A_{n, m}^{N}} d s+\frac{K_{2}(M, \xi)}{\beta^{2} N^{2(1-\alpha)}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In another hand we have

$$
I_{1}(n, m) \leq \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} d s+\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|f_{n}\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}\right)\right|^{2} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{A}_{n, m}^{N}} d s
$$

and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}(n, m) \leq \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} d s+\rho_{N}^{2}\left(f_{n}-f\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Likewise we show that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}(n, m) \leq \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} d s+\rho_{N}^{2}\left(f_{m}-f\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use assumptions (iii) and (iv) to prove that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2}(n, m) \leq & 2 E \int_{t}^{1}<Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}, \quad f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}^{m}, Z_{s}^{n}\right)>\mathbf{1}_{\bar{A}_{n, m}^{N}} d s \\
& +2 \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right|\left|f\left(s, Y_{s}^{m}, Z_{s}^{n}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}^{m}, Z_{s}^{m}\right)\right| \mathbf{1}_{\bar{A}_{n, m}^{N}} d s \\
\leq & \left(2 \mu_{N}+\gamma^{2}\right) \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{A}_{n, m}^{N}} d s+\frac{L_{N}^{2}}{\gamma^{2}} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

We choose $\beta$ and $\gamma$ such that $\beta^{2}=L_{N}^{2}+2 \mu_{N}^{+}$and $\gamma^{2}=L_{N}^{2}$ then we use (3.3), (3.4), (3.5) and the last inequality to show that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\left|Y_{t}^{n}-Y_{t}^{m}\right|^{2}\right)+\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} d s & \leq\left(L_{N}^{2}+2 \mu_{N}^{+}+2\right) \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} d s \\
& +\left[\rho_{N}^{2}\left(f_{n}-f\right)+\rho_{N}^{2}\left(f_{m}-f\right)\right]+\frac{K_{3}(M, \xi)}{\left(L_{N}^{2}+2 \mu_{N}^{+}\right) N^{2(1-\alpha)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence Gronwall Lemma implies that,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\left|Y_{t}^{n}-Y_{t}^{m}\right|^{2}\right) \leq\left[\left[\rho_{N}^{2}\left(f_{n}-f\right)+\rho_{N}^{2}\left(f_{m}-f\right)\right]+\frac{K_{4}(M, \xi)}{\left(L_{N}^{2}+2 \mu_{N}^{+}\right) N^{2(1-\alpha)}}\right] \exp \left(L_{N}^{2}+2 \mu_{N}^{+}+2\right)
$$

Using the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, we show that a universal positive constant $C$ exists such that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|Y_{t}^{n}-Y_{t}^{m}\right|^{2}\right) \leq C\left[\left[\rho_{N}^{2}\left(f_{n}-f\right)\right.\right. & \left.+\rho_{N}^{2}\left(f_{m}-f\right)\right] \\
& \left.+\frac{K_{4}(M, \xi)}{\left(L_{N}^{2}+2 \mu_{N}^{+}\right) N^{2(1-\alpha)}}\right] \exp \left(L_{N}^{2}+2 \mu_{N}^{+}+2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from equation 3.2 that

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} d s \leq C(M, \xi)\left[\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|Y_{t}^{n}-Y_{t}^{m}\right|^{2} d s\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Passing to the limit successively on $n, m$ and on $N$, we show that $\left(Y^{n}, Z^{n}\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space $\mathbb{L}$.
Now, if we return to the equation satisfied by $\left(Y^{n}, Z^{n}\right)$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|K_{t}^{n}-K_{t}^{m}\right|^{2} & \leq \mathbb{E} \sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|Y_{t}^{n}-Y_{t}^{m}\right|^{2} \\
& +C \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|f_{n}\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}\right)-f_{m}\left(s, Y_{s}^{m}, Z_{s}^{m}\right)\right|^{2} d s \\
& +\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to complete the proof, we need to show that the sequence of processes $f_{n}\left(., Y^{n}, Z^{n}\right)_{n}$ converges to $f(., Y, Z)$ in $\mathbb{L}^{2}$.
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|f_{n}\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right)\right|^{2} d s \\
& =\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|f_{n}\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right)\right|^{2} \mathbf{1}_{A_{n}^{N}} d s \\
& +2 \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|f_{n}\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}\right)\right|^{2} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{A}_{n}^{N}} d s \\
& +2 \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right)\right|^{2} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{A}_{n}^{N}} d s \\
& \leq \frac{K_{1}}{N^{2(1-\alpha)}}+2 \rho_{N}^{2}\left(f_{n}-f\right)+I(n)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
I(n)=2 \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right)\right|^{2} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{A}_{n}^{N}} d s
$$

Since $\left(Y^{n}, Z^{n}\right)$ converges to $(Y, Z)$ in $\mathbb{L}$, we get for a subsequence, which still denote $\left(Y^{n}, Z^{n}\right)$, that

$$
f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}\right) \longrightarrow f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right), \quad d \mathbb{P} \times d t-\text { a.e. as } n \text { goes to }+\infty .
$$

But for $\varepsilon=\frac{2-2 \alpha}{\alpha}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right)\right|^{2+\varepsilon} d s \\
& \leq \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left(2+\left|Y_{s}\right|^{2}+\left|Y_{s}^{n}\right|^{2}+\left|Z_{s}\right|^{2}+\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2}\right) d s \\
& <+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} I(n)=0
$$

Therefore

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right)\right|^{2} d s=0
$$

Lemma 3.3 is proved.

## Proof of Theorem 3.1.

Existence. The proof of assertion (a) can be derived from Lemma 3.3 by passing to the limit successively on $n, m$ and $N$.
Let us prove assertion (b). Arguing as in assertion (a) and assume first that $L_{N}^{2}+2 \mu_{N}^{+} \leq L+2(1-$ $\alpha) \log (N)$, we show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|Y_{t}^{n}-Y_{t}^{m}\right|^{2}\right) \leq C\left[\left[\rho_{N}^{2}\left(f_{n}-f\right)+\rho_{N}^{2}\left(f_{m}-f\right)\right] N^{2(1-\alpha)}+\frac{K_{5}(M, \xi)}{L_{N}^{2}+2 \mu_{N}^{+}}\right] e^{(2+L)} \\
& \left(\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} d s\right)^{2} \leq C\left[\left[\rho_{N}^{2}\left(f_{n}-f\right)+\rho_{N}^{2}\left(f_{m}-f\right)\right] N^{2(1-\alpha)}+\frac{K_{5}(M, \xi)}{L_{N}^{2}+2 \mu_{N}^{+}}\right] e^{(2+L)}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can assume that $L_{N}$ or $\mu_{N}$ goes to infinity (see Remark 3.2). Passing to the limit we get the desired result. Assume now that $L_{N}^{2}+2 \mu_{N}^{+} \leq L+\sqrt{\log (N)}$. Let $\delta$ be a strictly positive number such that $\delta<\frac{(1-\alpha)}{2}$. Let $\left(\left[t_{i+1}, t_{i}\right]\right)$ be a subdivision of $[0,1]$ such that $\left|t_{i+1}-t_{i}\right| \leq \delta$. Applying Lemma 3.3 in all the subintervals $\left[t_{i+1}, t_{i}\right]$ we get the existence proof.

Uniqueness. Let $\left\{\left(Y_{t}, Z_{t}, K_{t}\right) 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}$ and $\left\{\left(Y_{t}^{\prime}, Z_{t}^{\prime}, K_{t}^{\prime}\right) 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}$ be two solutions of our BSDE, we put

$$
\left\{\left(\Delta Y_{t}, \Delta Z_{t}, \Delta K_{t}\right) 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}=\left\{\left(Y_{t}-Y_{t}^{\prime}, Z_{t}-Z_{t}^{\prime}, K_{t}-K_{t}^{\prime}\right) 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}
$$

It follows from Itô's formula that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\Delta Y_{t}\right|^{2}+\int_{t}^{1}\left|\Delta Z_{s}\right|^{2} d s\right)\right] & =2 \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left\langle\Delta Y_{s}, f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}^{\prime}, Z_{s}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle d s \\
& +2 \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left\langle\Delta Y_{s}, d \Delta K_{s}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.4 we get

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left\langle\Delta Y_{s}, d \Delta K_{s}\right\rangle \leq 0
$$

For $N>1$, let $\mu_{N}$ denote the monotony constant of $f$ in the balls $B(0, N), \mathbf{1}_{A^{N}}:=\left\{(s, w) ;\left|Y_{s}\right|^{2}+\mid\right.$ $\left.\left.Y_{s}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left|Z_{s}\right|^{2}+\left|Z_{s}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \geq N\right\}, \bar{A}^{N}:=\Omega \backslash A^{N}$.

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\Delta Y_{t}\right|^{2}+\int_{t}^{1}\left|\Delta Z_{s}\right|^{2} d s\right] \leq I_{1}(N)+I_{2}(N)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1}(N) & =2 \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left\langle\Delta Y_{s}, f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}^{\prime}, Z_{s}\right)\right\rangle \mathbf{1}_{\bar{A}^{N}} d s \\
& +2 \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left\langle\Delta Y_{s}, f\left(s, Y_{s}^{\prime}, Z_{s}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}^{\prime}, Z_{s}^{\prime}\right\rangle \mathbf{1}_{\bar{A}^{N}} d s\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
I_{2}(N)=2 \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left\langle\Delta Y_{s}, f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}^{\prime}, Z_{s}^{\prime}\right\rangle \mathbf{1}_{A^{N}} d s\right.
$$

We shall estimate $I_{1}(N)$ and $I_{2}(N)$. As above we obtain

$$
I_{1}(N) \leq\left(2 \mu_{N}^{+}+\gamma^{2}\right) \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|\Delta Y_{s}\right|^{2} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{A}^{N}} d s+\frac{L_{N}^{2}}{\gamma^{2}} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|\Delta Z_{s}\right|^{2} d s
$$

and

$$
I_{2}(N) \leq \beta^{2} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|\Delta Y_{s}\right|^{2} \mathbf{1}_{A^{N}} d s+\frac{C}{\beta^{2} N^{2(1-\alpha)}}
$$

Taking $\beta^{2}=L_{N}^{2}+2 \mu_{N}^{+}$and $\gamma^{2}=L_{N}^{2}$ and using the estimates for $I_{1}(N)$ and $I_{2}(N)$, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|\Delta Y_{t}\right|^{2} \leq\left(L_{N}^{2}+2 \mu_{N}^{+}\right) \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|\Delta Y_{s}\right|^{2} d s+\frac{C}{\left(L_{N}^{2}+2 \mu_{N}^{+}\right) N^{2(1-\alpha)}}
$$

Using Gronwall's and Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequalities, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|\Delta Y_{t}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{C}{\left(L_{N}^{2}+2 \mu_{N}^{+}\right) N^{2(1-\alpha)}} \exp \left(L_{N}^{2}+2 \mu_{N}^{+}\right) \\
& \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|\Delta Z_{s}\right|^{2} d s \leq \frac{C}{\left(L_{N}^{2}+2 \mu_{N}^{+}\right) N^{2(1-\alpha)}} \exp \left(L_{N}^{2}+2 \mu_{N}^{+}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

the uniqueness follows by passing to the limit on $N$.
Suppose now that $f$ is globally Lipschitz with respect to z , that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f(t, y, z)-f\left(t, y, z^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq L\left|z-z^{\prime}\right| \tag{iv'}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.2. Theorem 3.1 remains true under assumptions (i), (ii), (iii), (iv') and $2 \mu_{N}^{+} \leq L+2(1-$ $\alpha) \log N$, for $L>0$.
Indeed, if $\mu_{N}$ is also bounded the result of Theorem 3.1 follows from Pardoux [26]. Else, arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we obtain

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|Y_{t}^{n}-Y_{t}^{m}\right|^{2}\right) \leq C\left(\left[\rho_{N}^{2}\left(f_{n}-f\right)+\rho_{N}^{2}\left(f_{m}-f\right)\right] N^{2(1-\alpha)}+\frac{K_{6}(M, \xi)}{2 \mu_{N}^{+}}\right) e^{L}
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} d s \leq C\left(\left[\rho_{N}^{2}\left(f_{n}-f\right)+\rho_{N}^{2}\left(f_{m}-f\right)\right] N^{2(1-\alpha)}+\frac{K_{6}(M, \xi)}{2 \mu_{N}^{+}}\right) e^{L}
$$

Passing to the limit, we get the desired result.
Corollary 3.1. Assume that (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv') hold. If $\lim _{N} \frac{\exp ^{2 \mu_{N}^{+}}}{2 \mu_{N}^{+} N^{2(1-\alpha)}}=0$, then the RBSDE (1)-(5) has a unique solution. In particular, if $\mu_{N}^{+} \leq \log (N)$, then (1)-(5) has also a unique solution.

Proof of Corollary 3.1. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we show that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|Y_{t}^{n}-Y_{t}^{m}\right|^{2}\right) \leq C\left(\left[\rho_{N}^{2}\left(f_{n}-f\right)+\rho_{N}^{2}\left(f_{m}-f\right)\right]+\frac{K_{6}(M, \xi)}{2 \mu_{N}^{+} N^{2(1-\alpha)}}\right) e^{2 \mu_{N}^{+}}
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} d s \leq C\left(\left[\rho_{N}^{2}\left(f_{n}-f\right)+\rho_{N}^{2}\left(f_{m}-f\right)\right]+\frac{K_{6}(M, \xi)}{2 \mu_{N}^{+} N^{2(1-\alpha)}}\right) e^{2 \mu_{N}^{+}}
$$

Passing to the limit on $n, m, N$ and using the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, one has the desired result.

## 4 Application to the perturbations of multivalued PDEs

Let $\left\{X_{t}^{\varepsilon} ; t \geq 0\right\}$ be a diffusion process with values in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, such that $X^{\varepsilon} \Longrightarrow X$ weakly in $\mathbb{C}\left([0, t], \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ equipped with the topology of convergence on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, where $X$ itself is a diffusion with generator $L$. We suppose that the martingale problem associated to $X$ is well posed, and there exist $p, q \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E}\left(\left|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right|^{2 p}+\int_{0}^{t}\left|X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right|^{2 q} d s\right)<\infty \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we assume that $g: \mathbb{R}^{d} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k}$ and $f: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k}$ are continuous, and that

$$
\begin{gather*}
|g(x)| \leq C\left(1+|x|^{p}\right)  \tag{4.2}\\
|f(x, y)| \leq C\left(1+|x|^{q}+|y|^{\alpha}\right)  \tag{4.3}\\
\left\langle f(x, y)-f\left(x, y^{\prime}\right), y-y^{\prime}\right\rangle \leq \mu_{N}\left|y-y^{\prime}\right|^{2} \tag{4.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $\left.\left\{\left(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}, Z_{s}^{\varepsilon}, K_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) ; 0 \leq s \leq t\right)\right\}$ be the unique solution of the reflected BSDE

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}=g\left(X_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right)+\int_{s}^{t} f\left(X_{r}^{\varepsilon}, Y_{r}^{\varepsilon}\right) d r-\int_{s}^{t} Z_{r}^{\varepsilon} d B_{r}+K_{t}^{\varepsilon}-K_{s}^{\varepsilon}  \tag{4.5}\\
K_{t}^{\varepsilon}=-\int_{0}^{t} U_{s}^{\varepsilon} d s, \quad\left(Y^{\varepsilon}, U^{\varepsilon}\right) \in \mathbf{G r}(\partial \phi)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left\{B_{s}, 0 \leq s \leq t\right\}$ is a Brownian motion. Next, we shall prove that the family of processes ( $X^{\varepsilon} ; Y^{\varepsilon} ; Z^{\varepsilon} ; K^{\varepsilon}$ ) converges in law to the unique solution $(X, Y, Z, K)$ of the RBSDE

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Y_{s}=g\left(X_{t}\right)+\int_{s}^{t} f\left(X_{r}, Y_{r}\right) d r-\int_{s}^{t} Z_{r} d B_{r}+K_{t}-K_{s} \\
K_{t}=-\int_{0}^{t} U_{s} d s, \quad(Y, U) \in \mathbf{G r}(\partial \phi)
\end{array}\right.
$$

and then we shall apply this result to the homogenization of a class of multivalued PDE's.
Theorem 4.1. (See Meyer-Zheng [22] or Kurtz [21]).
The sequence of quasi-martingale $\left\{V_{s}^{n} ; 0 \leq s \leq t\right\}$ defined on the filtred probability space $\left\{\Omega ; \mathcal{F}_{s}, 0 \leq\right.$ $s \leq t ; \mathbb{P}\}$ is tight if

$$
\sup _{n}\left(\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t} \mathbb{E}\left|V_{s}^{n}\right|+C V_{t}\left(V^{n}\right)\right)<+\infty
$$

where $C V_{t}\left(V^{n}\right)$, denotes the "conditional variation of $V^{n}$ on $[0, t]$ " defined by

$$
C V_{t}\left(V^{n}\right)=\sup \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i}\left|\mathbb{E}\left(V_{t_{i+1}}^{n}-V_{t_{i}}^{n} / \mathcal{F}_{t_{i}}\right)\right|\right)
$$

with "sup" meaning that the supremum is taken over all partitions of the interval $[0, t]$.
We put

$$
M_{t}^{\varepsilon}=-\int_{0}^{t} Z_{s}^{\varepsilon} d B_{r}
$$

We denote by:

- $\mathbb{C}\left([0, t], \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ the space of functions defined on $[0, t]$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, equipped with the topology of uniform convergence.
- $\mathbb{D}\left([0, t], \mathbb{R}^{k}\right)$ the space of càdlàg functions defined on $[0, t]$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^{k}$, equipped with MeyerZheng topology.
The main result is the following.
Theorem 4.2. Under the conditions quoted in the beginning of this section. If $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{\mu_{N}^{+}}}{N^{2(1-\alpha)}}=0$, then the family of processes $\left(X^{\varepsilon}, Y^{\varepsilon}, M^{\varepsilon}, K^{\varepsilon}\right)$ converges in law to $(X, Y, M, K)$ on $\mathbb{C}\left([0, t], \mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \times$ $\mathbb{D}\left([0, t], \mathbb{R}^{2 k}\right) \times \mathbb{C}\left([0, t], \mathbb{R}^{k}\right)$.

To do the proof of this theorem, we need the following lemmas

Lemma 4.1. Let $U^{\varepsilon}$ be a family of random variables defined on the same probability spaces. For each $\varepsilon \geq 0$, we assume the existence of a family of random variables $\left(U^{\varepsilon, n}\right)_{n}$, such that

- $U^{\varepsilon, n} \stackrel{\text { dist }}{\Longrightarrow} U^{0, n}$ as $\varepsilon$ goes to zero.
- $U^{\varepsilon, n} \Longrightarrow U^{\varepsilon}$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$, uniformly in $\varepsilon$.
- $U^{0, n} \Longrightarrow U^{0}$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$
then, $U^{\varepsilon}$ converge in distribution to $U^{0}$.
Proof : This lemma is a simplified version of Theorem 4.2 in [Billingsley [7], p.25].
Consider the following backward stochastic differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{s}^{\varepsilon, n}=g\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right)+\int_{s}^{t} f\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}, Y_{r}^{\varepsilon, n}\right) d r-\int_{s}^{t} Z_{r}^{\varepsilon, n} d B_{r}-\int_{s}^{t} A_{n}\left(Y_{r}^{\varepsilon, n}\right) d r \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{n}(y)$ is defined as above.
Let $\left(Y^{n}, Z^{n}\right)$ be the unique solution of the backward stochastic differential equation

$$
Y_{s}^{n}=g\left(X_{s}\right)+\int_{s}^{t} f\left(X_{s}, Y_{r}^{n}\right) d r-\int_{s}^{t} Z_{r}^{n} d B_{r}-\int_{s}^{t} A_{n}\left(Y_{r}^{n}\right) d r
$$

We set

$$
M_{t}^{\varepsilon, n}=-\int_{0}^{t} Z_{r}^{\varepsilon, n} d B_{r} \quad \text { and } \quad M_{t}^{n}=-\int_{0}^{t} Z_{r}^{n} d B_{r}
$$

Lemma 4.2. Under assumptions of Theorem 4.2, for every $n$ the family of processes $\left(Y^{\varepsilon, n}, M^{\varepsilon, n}\right)$ converges in law to the the family of processes $\left(Y^{n}, M^{n}\right)$ on $\mathbb{D}\left([0, t], \mathbb{R}^{2 k}\right)$.

Proof. Step1. A priori estimates. Using standard arguments and (2.1) to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left|Y_{s}^{\varepsilon, n}-a\right|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left|Z_{r}^{\varepsilon, n}\right|^{2} d r+2 \gamma \int_{s}^{t}\left|A_{n}\left(Y_{r}^{\varepsilon, n}\right)\right| d r\right)<+\infty \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Step2. Tightness.

Clearly, we have

$$
C V_{t}\left(Y^{\varepsilon, n}\right) \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left|f\left(X_{r}^{\varepsilon}, Y_{r}^{\varepsilon, n}\right)\right| d r+\int_{0}^{t}\left|A_{n}\left(Y_{r}^{\varepsilon, n}\right)\right| d r
$$

It follows from step 1 and (4.3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\varepsilon}\left(C V_{t}\left(\left(Y^{\varepsilon, n}\right)+\mathbb{E} \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left|Y_{s}^{\varepsilon, n}-a\right|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left|Z_{r}^{\varepsilon, n}\right|^{2} d r\right)\right)<+\infty \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence the sequence $\left\{\left(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon, n}, M_{s}^{\varepsilon, n}\right) ; 0 \leq s \leq t\right\}$ satisfies the Meyer-Zheng tightness criterion under $\mathbb{P}$.

## Step3. Convergence in law.

By step2 there exists a subsequence (which we still denote $\left(Y^{\varepsilon, n}, M^{\varepsilon, n}\right)$ ) such that

$$
\left(Y^{\varepsilon, n}, M^{\varepsilon, n}\right) \Longrightarrow\left(Y^{n}, M^{n}\right)
$$

on $\left(\mathbb{D}\left([0, t], \mathbb{R}^{k}\right)\right)^{2}$, where the first factor is equipped with the topology of convergence in $d s$ measure, and the second with the topology of uniform convergence.
Clearly, for each $0 \leq s \leq t,(x, y) \longrightarrow \int_{s}^{t} f(x(r), y(r)) d r$ is continuous for $\mathbb{C}\left([0, t], \mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \times \mathbb{D}\left([0, t], \mathbb{R}^{k}\right)$ equipped with the same topology as above, and $y \longrightarrow \int_{s}^{t} A_{n}(y(r)) d r$ is continuous in $\mathbb{C}\left([0, t], \mathbb{R}^{k}\right)$. We can now take the limit in (4.6), yielding as $\varepsilon$ goes to 0

$$
Y_{s}^{n}=g\left(X_{s}\right)+\int_{s}^{t} f\left(X_{r}, Y_{r}^{n}\right) d r+M_{t}^{n}-M_{s}^{n}-\int_{s}^{t} A_{n}\left(Y_{r}^{n}\right) d r
$$

Moreover, for any $0 \leq s_{1}<s_{2} \leq t, \phi \in \mathbb{C}_{b}^{\infty}$ and $\psi_{s}$ a function of $X_{r}^{\varepsilon}, Y_{r}^{\varepsilon, n} 0 \leq r \leq t$, bounded and continuous in $\mathbb{C}\left([0, t], \mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \times \mathbb{D}\left([0, t], \mathbb{R}^{k}\right) \times \mathbb{C}\left([0, t], \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\psi_{s_{1}}\left(X^{\varepsilon}, Y^{\varepsilon, n}\right)\left(\phi\left(X_{s_{2}}^{\varepsilon}\right)-\phi\left(X_{s_{1}}^{\varepsilon}\right)-\int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}} L \phi\left(X_{r}^{\varepsilon}\right) d r\right)\right) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow+\infty
$$

and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\psi_{s_{1}}\left(X^{\varepsilon}, Y^{\varepsilon, n}\right) \int_{0}^{\alpha}\left(M_{s_{2}+r}^{\varepsilon, n}-M_{s_{1}+r}^{\varepsilon, n}\right) d r\right)=0
$$

From the weak convergence of $\left(X^{\varepsilon}, Y^{\varepsilon, n}, M^{\varepsilon, n}\right)$ and the fact that $\mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\left|M_{s}^{\varepsilon, n}\right|^{2}\right)<+\infty$, by dividing the second identity by $\alpha$ and letting $\alpha$ go to zero, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{E}\left(\psi_{s_{1}}\left(X, Y^{n}\right)\left(\phi\left(X_{s_{2}}\right)-\phi\left(X_{s_{1}}\right)-\int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}} L \phi\left(X_{r}\right) d r\right)\right) \longrightarrow 0 \\
\mathbb{E}\left(\psi_{s_{1}}\left(X, Y^{n}\right)\left(M_{s_{2}}^{n}-M_{s_{1}}^{n}\right)=0\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, both $M^{n}$ and $M^{X}$-the martingale part of X- are $\mathcal{F}_{t}^{X, Y^{n}}$ martingales.

## Step4. Identification of the limit.

Let $\left(\bar{Y}^{n}, \bar{U}^{n}\right)$ denote the unique solution of the BSDE

$$
\bar{Y}_{s}^{n}=g\left(X_{t}\right)+\int_{s}^{t} f\left(X_{r}, \bar{Y}_{r}^{n}\right) d r-\int_{s}^{t} \bar{U}_{r}^{n} d M_{r}^{X}-\int_{s}^{t} A_{n}\left(\bar{Y}_{r}^{n}\right) d r
$$

which satisfies $\mathbb{E} \operatorname{Tr} \int_{s}^{t} \bar{U}_{r}^{n}<M^{X}>_{r} \bar{U}_{r}^{n}<+\infty$. Set also $\widetilde{M}_{s}^{n}=\int_{0}^{s} \bar{U}_{r}^{n} d M_{r}^{X}$. Since $\bar{Y}^{n}$ and $\bar{U}^{n}$ are $\mathcal{F}_{t}^{X}$ adapted, and $M^{X}$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t}^{X, Y^{n}}$ martingale, hence so is $\widetilde{M}^{n}$.
Let $\beta$ be a strictly positive number. For a given $N>1$, we put $A_{n, m}^{N}:=\left\{(s, \omega) ; \quad\left|Y_{s}^{n}\right|^{2}+\left|\bar{Y}_{s}^{m}\right|^{2} \geq N^{2}\right\}$, $\bar{A}_{n}^{N}:=\Omega \backslash A_{n}^{N}$ and denote by $\mathbf{1}_{E}$ the indicator function of the set $E$. From Itô's formula, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left|\bar{Y}_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{n}\right|^{2}+\mathbb{E}\left[M^{n}-\widetilde{M}^{n}\right]_{t}-\mathbb{E}\left[M^{n}-\widetilde{M}^{n}\right]_{s} \\
& =2 \int_{s}^{t}\left\langle f\left(X_{r}, \bar{Y}_{r}^{n}\right)-f\left(X_{r}, Y_{r}^{n}\right), \bar{Y}_{r}^{n}-Y_{r}^{n}\right\rangle\left(\mathbf{1}_{\bar{A}_{n, m}^{N}}+\mathbf{1}_{A_{n, m}^{N}}\right) d r \\
& -2 \int_{s}^{t}\left\langle A_{n}\left(\bar{Y}_{r}^{n}\right)-A_{n}\left(Y_{r}^{n}\right), \bar{Y}_{r}^{n}-Y_{r}^{n}\right\rangle d r
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $A_{n}$ is monotone, we have for every $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$

$$
\left\langle A_{n}(x)-A_{n}(z), x-z\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

Thus

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|\bar{Y}_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{n}\right|^{2}+\mathbb{E}\left[M^{n}-\widetilde{M}^{n}\right]_{t}-\mathbb{E}\left[M^{n}-\widetilde{M}^{n}\right]_{s} \leq 2 \mu_{N}^{+} \mathbb{E} \int_{s}^{t}\left|\bar{Y}_{r}^{n}-Y_{r}^{n}\right|^{2} d r+\frac{C}{N^{2(1-\alpha)}}
$$

We conclude from Gronwall's lemma that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|\bar{Y}_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}^{n}\right|^{2}+\mathbb{E}\left[M^{n}-\widetilde{M}^{n}\right]_{t}-\mathbb{E}\left[M^{n}-\widetilde{M}^{n}\right]_{s} \leq \frac{C}{N^{2^{(1-\alpha)}}} e^{2 \mu_{N}^{+} t}
$$

Passing to the limit on $N$ we obtain, $\bar{Y}_{r}^{n}=Y_{r}^{n}, 0 \leq s \leq t$, and $M^{n}=\widetilde{M}^{n}$.
Using the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.2 to show the following lemmas
Lemma 4.3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.2 the family of processes $\left(Y^{\varepsilon, n}, M^{\varepsilon, n}, K^{\varepsilon, n}\right)_{n}$ converges uniformly in $\varepsilon \in] 0,1]$ in probability to the family of processes $\left(Y^{\varepsilon}, M^{\varepsilon}, K^{\varepsilon}\right)$ as $n$ goes to $+\infty$.
Lemma 4.4. Under the assumption of the above lemma, the family of processes $\left(Y^{n}, M^{n}, K^{n}\right)$ converges in probability to $(Y, M, K)$ as $n$ goes to $+\infty$.

## Proof of Theorem 4.2

Combining the above lemmas, we find that ( $X^{\varepsilon}, Y^{\varepsilon}, M^{\varepsilon}, K^{\varepsilon}$ ) converge in law to ( $X, Y, M, K$ ) in the sense defined as above, where

$$
Y_{s}=g\left(X_{t}\right)+\int_{s}^{t} f\left(X_{r}, Y_{r}\right) d r-\int_{s}^{t} Z_{r} d B_{r}+K_{t}-K_{s}
$$

Corollary 4.1. Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.2, $\left\{Y_{0}^{\varepsilon}\right\}$ converge to $Y_{0}$ as $\varepsilon$ goes to 0 .
Proof : Since $Y_{0}^{\varepsilon}$ is deterministic, we have

$$
Y_{0}^{\varepsilon}=\mathbb{E}\left(g\left(X_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} f\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}, Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d r-K_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

Put

$$
A_{\varepsilon}=g\left(X_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} f\left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}, Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d s-K_{t}^{\varepsilon}
$$

we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|A_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} \leq C\left(1+\left|X_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right|^{2 p}\right)+\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t}\left|Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d s+\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t}\left|X_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right|^{2 q} d s+\mathbb{E}\left|K_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}
$$

According to Lemma 4.3 and using assumption 4.1, we obtain

$$
\sup _{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E}\left|A_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}<\infty
$$

Since Theorem 4.2 states that $A_{\varepsilon}$ converge in law, as $\varepsilon$ goes to 0 , toward

$$
g\left(X_{t}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} f\left(X_{r}, Y_{r}\right) d r+K_{t}
$$

the uniform integrability of $A_{\varepsilon}$ implies that

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}\left(A_{\varepsilon}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} A_{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

This means that $Y_{\varepsilon}$ converges to

$$
Y_{0}=g\left(X_{t}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} f\left(X_{r}, Y_{r}\right) d r+K_{t}
$$

Now, we apply our result to the proof of a stability result for PDEs.

## 5 Application to the viscosity solutions of multivalued PDEs

Let $u^{\varepsilon}$ be the solution of the PDE

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial u^{\varepsilon}}{\partial s}(s, x)-L_{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}(s, x)-f\left(x, u^{\varepsilon}(s, x)\right) \in \partial \phi\left(u^{\varepsilon}(s, x)\right), \text { for } \quad s \in[0, t]  \tag{4.8}\\
u^{\varepsilon}(0, x)=g(x), u^{\varepsilon}(t, x) \in \overline{\operatorname{Dom}(\phi)}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $u$ be the solution the following variational inequality

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial s}(s, x)-L u(s, x)-f(x, u(s, x)) \in \partial \phi(u(s, x)), \text { for } \quad s \in[0, t]  \tag{4.9}\\
u(0, x)=g(x), u(t, x) \in \overline{\operatorname{Dom}(\phi)}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 5.1. Assume $k=1$. Then, under conditions of Theorem 4.2, $u^{\varepsilon}(t, x)$ converge to $u(t, x)$ for all $(t, x) \in[0, t] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$ as $\varepsilon$ goes to 0 .

Proof . Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\left\{X_{s}^{x, \varepsilon} ; 0 \leq s \leq t\right\}$ the diffusion process defined as above, starting at $x$. for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, we denote by $\left(\left\{Y_{s}^{t, x, \varepsilon}, Z_{s}^{t, x, \varepsilon}, K_{s}^{t, x, \varepsilon}\right\} ; 0 \leq s \leq t\right)$ the solution of the reflected BSDE

$$
Y_{s}^{t, x, \varepsilon}=g\left(X_{t}^{x, \varepsilon}\right)+\int_{s}^{t} f\left(X_{r}^{x, \varepsilon}, Y_{r}^{t, x, \varepsilon}\right) d r-\int_{s}^{t} Z_{r}^{t, x, \varepsilon} d B_{r}+K_{t}^{t, x, \varepsilon}-K_{s}^{t, x, \varepsilon}
$$

By virtue of Pardoux, Rascanu [29] (see also [25]), the function $u^{\varepsilon}: \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $u^{\varepsilon}(t, x)=Y_{0}^{t, x},(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$, is the unique viscosity solution of the PDE (4.8). Let $\left\{X_{s}^{x} ; s \geq 0\right\}$ be the diffusion process with infinitesimal generator $L$, starting at $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\left(\left\{Y_{s}^{t, x}, Z_{s}^{t, x}, K_{s}^{t, x}\right\} ; 0 \leq s \leq t\right)$ be the unique solution of the RBSDE

$$
Y_{s}^{t, x}=g\left(X_{t}^{x}\right)+\int_{s}^{t} f\left(X_{r}^{x}, Y_{r}^{t, x}\right) d r-\int_{s}^{t} Z_{r}^{t, x} d B_{r}+K_{t}^{t, x}-K_{s}^{t, x} .
$$

Again, in view of [29] (see also [25]) the function $u:[0, t] \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $u(t, x)=Y_{0}^{t, x}$ for $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$, is the unique viscosity solution of the PDE (4.9). Therefore, the result follows from corollary(4.1).
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