2004 Exit Poll Analyses

Interactive Election Simulation (Excel)

Monte Carlo Polling Simulation

Latest Discussion Threads

THE ELECTION MODEL

Created by TruthIsAll

Final Projection
Last update: Nov.1, 2004 7:00 pm

Kerry 337 EV / 51.8%
Bush 201 EV / 48.2%

The model projects Kerry the winner in 27 states:

AR, CA, CO, CT, DE, DC, FL, HI, IL, IA,
ME, MD, MA, MI, MN, MO, NH, NJ, NM, NY,
OH, OR, PA, RI, VT, WA, WI

Election Model Projections

If the election were held today, then based on recent *state polling,* the *Electoral Vote Simulation* model calculates that John Kerry has a *99.8% probability* of winning an *electoral vote majority* by a *337-201 margin* and *51.80% of the popular vote*. Kerry won *4990* of *5000 Monte Carlo simulated election trials*.

Based on the average of *eighteen national polls*, the *National Vote Projection* model calculates that Kerry has a *99.99% probability* of winning a *popular vote majority with 51.63% of the vote.*

For the final projection, the *base case undecided/other allocation assumption* to Kerry has been changed from *60% to 75%*. This is consistent with the opinion of professional political pollsters. To gauge the *sensitivity* of the expected electoral vote and win probability to the allocation, the model calculated five scenarios*: 60%, 67%, 75%, 80% and 87%.*

Current (%)	Simulation Model State Polling Weighted Average	Projection Model National Polling Combined Average
Kerry	47.88	47.17
Bush	46.89	46.89

Projected (%)		
	EV/Popular Vote	Popular Vote
Kerry	337 / 51.80	51.63
Bush	201 / 48.20	48.38

Win Prob (%)	Electoral Vote (5000 trials)	Majority Vote (MOE: 0.73%)
Kerry	99.80	99.99
Bush	0.20	0.01

Bush Job Approval: 48.50% (11 Poll average)

Click for detailed polling and analytic reports

Click a graph to view:

1. **Kerry/Bush National Trend derived from Weighted State Polls**

2. **Kerry Electoral Vote and Win Probability Projection Trend**

3. **Kerry Electoral and National Vote Projection Trend**

4. **Undecided Voter Allocation Impact on Kerry EV and Win Probability**

5. **Recent Battleground State Polls**

6. **Battleground States: Probabilities of a Kerry Win**

7. **Independent National Polls: Kerry Vs. Bush Monthly Trend**

8. **Recent Independent and Corporate Media National Polls**

9. **Bush Monthly Job Approval Ratings from Feb. 2001**

10. **Win Probability Sensitivity to Number of Polls and Group Average**

11. **5000 Monte Carlo Simulation Trials**

12. 5000 Monte Carlo Simulation Trials: Kerry Electoral Vote Frequency

The Gospel according to the Polling Gurus:

1- If an incumbent is polling below 50%, he's in trouble.
Bush is barely averaging 47%.

2- If an incumbent's approval rating is below 50%, he's in trouble.
Bush is at 48.50%.

3- If an incumbent has less than a 3%-4% lead in the final polls, he's in trouble.
Bush is tied with Kerry.

4- Undecided voters break for the challenger.

Poll Updates:
Zogby: Kerry 47 Bush 48 (Kerry -1)
TIPP: Kerry 44 Bush 45 (Kerry +4)
Rasmussen: Kerry 47.4 Bush 48.8 (Kerry -.4)
FOX: Kerry 48 Bush 45 (Kerry +1)
WaPo: Kerry 48 Bush 48 (Kerry -1)

Florida and Ohio scenarios:
If Kerry
1) wins FL and loses OH, he has a 99.3% win probability with 307 EV.
2) loses FL and wins OH, he has a 98% win probability with 300 EV.
3) loses FL and loses OH, he has a 75% win probability with 280 EV.

4) wins FL and wins OH, he has a 99.8% win probability with 327EV.

Election Model Methodology (see below for a complete description):

The Election Model actually consists of three individual models:
 1) National Polling Model I – based on national polls from 9 independent polling firms.
 2) National Polling Model II – based on national polls from 18 independent and corporate media firms.
 3) Monte Carlo Simulation model - based on state polls.

In each National Polling model, the average vote percentage split is calculated. All three models PROJECT a vote percentage by ALLOCATING the undecided and others to Kerry and Bush. The base case assumption is that 60% will split for Kerry and 40% to Bush. The rationale for the assumption: historically, the undecided vote breaks for the challenger.

National and state win probabilities are calculated based on the adjusted poll projections. The Normal Distribution is used to compute the probability of winning a majority of the national votes in the National models, and the probability of winning a majority in each of the states in the Monte Carlo simulation model.

The Monte Carlo simulation method (consisting of 5000 election trials) is executed to calculate Kerry's EXPECTED Electoral Vote and win probability, based on his individual state win probabilities. The national election win probability is equal to the total number of electoral vote wins, divided by 5000 (election trials).

Sensitivity Analysis

A powerful feature of the Election Model is the built-in sensitivity analysis. We analyze how various undecided voter allocation assumptions effect Kerry's projected popular vote, electoral vote and win probability. The base case assumption is that Kerry will win 60% of the undecided vote. But what if he

does better than that? What if he does worse? To get a feel for the probabilities, we calculate Kerry's prospects for the following undecided allocations: 50%, 55%, 60%, 67% and 75%.

In the EV Simulation model, Kerry's electoral vote win probabilities increase as his undecided allocation increases from 50% to 75%. His projected vote, electoral vote margin and number of winning states increase accordingly.

Both National models calculate the probability of a popular vote majority, given the same undecided allocation scenarios. The win probabilities are calculated using national polling data, unlike the EV simulation which uses state polling.

Election Model Methodology

There are three primary methods for tracking and predict elections. Each utilizes different data sources.

The first analyzes economic factors: growth, jobs, inflation, etc. Economic and political forecasters have had some success using this approach (after all, this is what they do for a living) by employing an econometric models based on multiple regression and/or factor analysis. The derived formula weights the variables in order to predict those which most affect the popular vote. How some can forecast a 58% popular vote for Bush, considering the economic and political events of the last four years, is a mystery to me.

The second method tracks the national polls and projects undecided or third party voters in order to predict the winner of the popular vote. There are about 15-20 national pollsters. A majority of the popular vote does not mean the winner will gain 270 electoral votes. For all practical purposes the winner of the popular vote will most certainly win the electoral vote. The possibility that he won't can only occur in extremely close elections where the winning margin is less than 0.5%. In fact, in a 51-49 popular vote split, there is virtually zero probability that the popular vote winner would lose in the Electoral College. In 2000, Gore won the national vote by 0.5% and would have won Florida if all the votes were counted. Unfortunately, the Supreme Court stopped the recount and voted 5-4 for Bush.

A third method tracks the individual state polls. The focus of this method is to predict the electoral vote spread. Ten to twenty tight battleground states usually hold the key to the election.

In the Election Model, methods two and three are used. Polls have been pretty good indicators, provided they are current and unbiased.

The Model uses national and state polls as the basis for the projections. The only projection assumption is in the allocation of undecided/other voters. Historically, undecided voters have split at least 2-1 for the challenger. The Model projects 60% will vote for Kerry as a base case assumption. So if a poll has the race tied at 45-45, then Kerry's is considered to be leading by 51-49, since he will receive 60% of the remaining 10%.

One advantage of national polling is its relative simplicity and point "spread" focus. If the spread exceeds the polling margin of error (MoE), typically +/-3% for polls of 1000 sample size, then based on statistical theory, the leader has a 95% chance of winning the election - assuming a) the election was held that day and b) poll is an unbiased sample of the actual voting population.

But that is just the probability for a single poll. If we consider three polls, or equivalently, a single poll of 3000 samples, the MoE tightens to +/-1.80%. Assuming that the average split is 52-48%, there is a 95% probability that the leader will receive between 50.2% and 53.8%. If we add the 2.5% probability that he will exceed 53.8%, then he has a 97.5% probability of winning at least 50.2% of the vote.

Now let's consider fifteen polls. Here the MOE is a very tight +/-0.80% confidence interval. For the same 52-48 % average spread, the probability is 95% that the leader will receive between 51.2% and 52.8% of the popular vote. The probability that the leader will exceed 50% of the national vote is 99.99+ %. If the leader has an average 52%-48% lead in 15 national polls the day before the election, then an election defeat will be extremely unlikely. In fact the odds would be less than one in a thousand.

The 95% confidence interval around the mean is derived from the MOE. The MOE is 1.96 times the standard deviation, which is a statistical measure of the variability of polling observations. The standard deviation, along with the 2-party poll ratio, is input to the normal distribution (the bell-shaped curve) in order to determine the probability of winning a majority of the vote in the national (2) and

state models.

But an electoral vote majority (270), not the popular vote, is the magic number. To calculate the expected EV from state polling data, we calculate the probability of winning each state and then apply the popular Monte Carlo simulation method. State polls typically sample 500-600, so the MOE is wider (+/-4%) than the 3% MOE in National polls. Just like in the National model, the probability of winning each state is calculated based on the state polling spread, adjusted for the same allocation of undecided/other voters.

In the case of a 50-50 poll split, assuming undecided voters are allocated equally, each candidate has a 50% probability of winning the state. If the split is 60-40, the probability that the leader will win the state is 99.99%. If the polling split is 51-49, the leader has a 69% chance of winning the election. For 52-48, the probability is 83%. It's 97% for a 53-47 split (outside the MOE).

So this is how we determine the probability of winning the election: In a Monte Carlo simulation, we run 5000 simulated election trials to determine the probability of winning 270 Electoral Votes. The probability is the number of election trial wins divided by 5000.

In each state trial run, the model generates a random number (RND) between 0 and 1. The RND determines who wins the state. For example, if the RND generated for FL is .55 and Kerry has a .60 probability of winning the state, then he wins the state in this trial since the RND fell in the interval from 0 to 0.60. If the RND is greater than .60, then FL would go to Bush in this trial run. In this fashion, the model proceeds to generate an RND for each state, assigning its electoral votes (EV) to the winner. The total number of electoral votes calculated for each of the 5000 election trials. If Kerry wins 4900, then he has a 98% probability (4900/5000) of winning the election. The model also calculates Kerry's expected (mean) electoral vote by averaging his EV totals in the 5000 trials.

An advantage of the simulation approach is that it minimizes poll "whiplash" (slight changes in state polling which causes the leader to change. This will not affect the total expected EV as much it would if we assigned ALL of the electoral votes to the leader, even if he was ahead by just 0.5%.

Using national and state models has another advantage: it provides a mathematical confirmation between the two methods. If the results differ, it could mean that the state polls are more current than

the nationals, or that the accuracy of the state or national polling data (or both) is questionable. That is why the model uses 18 national and 51 state polls. This reduces the margin of error, so that we have more confidence in the results.

A final word, one that cannot be over-emphasized: The Election Model calculates the PROBABILITY of a Kerry win. It does not PREDICT a Kerry win.

The Election Model AVERAGES the latest national and state polls, then ADJUSTS the averages by ADDING an ASSUMED undecided voter allocation, and APPLIES statistical theory, based on the number of polls and the average MOE, to determine the PROBABILITY of winning the election.

Favorite Links

An incumbent who can't break 50 percent is in trouble, even if he's ahead.
http://www.prospect.org/web/page.ww?section=root&name=ViewWeb&articleId=8694

Undecided voters break for the challenger.
http://unfutz.blogspot.com/2004/09/how-undecideds-break.html

Polling Data
http://www.
pollingreport.
com/2004.htm
http://online.wsj.com/public/resources/documents/info-battleground04.html
http://www.americanresearchgroup.com/
http://www.economist.com/media/pdf/YouGovN.pdf
http://www.electoral-vote.com/
http://2.004k.com/latest/

Commentary and Analysis

http://www.emergingdemocraticmajorityweblog.com/donkeyrising/

http://members1.chello.nl/~a.horlings/doc-polls.html

http://unfutz.blogspot.com/

http://www.federalreview.com/

http://synapse.princeton.edu/~sam/pollcalc.html

2000 Election Exit Poll Statistics

http://www.cnn.com/ELECTION/2000/epolls/US/P000.html

.

POLLING ANALYSIS

	EV	Kerry % vs. Bush	Gore % vs. Bush	Diff	Gore EV	Recent State Polls Kerry %	Bush %	Diff	Kerry EV
AL	9	40.63	42.86	(2.23)		39.0	57.0	(18.0)	
AK	3	34.48	32.18	2.30		30.0	57.0	(27.0)	
AZ	10	47.37	46.88	0.49		45.0	50.0	(5.0)	
AR	6	50.00	47.42	2.58		48.0	48.0	0.0	6
CA	55	53.85	55.79	(1.94)	55	49.0	42.0	7.0	55
CO	9	49.47	45.16	4.31		47.0	48.0	(1.0)	
CT	7	55.32	59.57	(4.26)	7	52.0	42.0	10.0	7
DE	3	54.22	56.70	(2.48)	3	45.0	38.0	7.0	3
DC	3	87.64	90.43	(2.79)	3	78.0	11.0	67.0	3
FL	27	51.55	49.95	1.60		50.0	47.0	3.0	27
GA	15	44.68	43.88	0.80		42.0	52.0	(10.0)	
HI	4	50.00	60.22	(10.22)	4	45.0	45.0	0.0	4
ID	4	33.71	29.47	4.23		30.0	59.0	(29.0)	
IL	21	56.25	56.12	0.13	21	54.0	42.0	12.0	21
IN	11	40.21	41.84	(1.63)		39.0	58.0	(19.0)	
IA	7	53.19	50.52	2.68	7	50.0	44.0	6.0	7
KS	6	38.14	38.95	(0.80)		37.0	60.0	(23.0)	
KY	8	41.05	41.84	(0.78)		39.0	56.0	(17.0)	
LA	9	45.45	45.92	(0.46)		40.0	48.0	(8.0)	
ME	4	56.18	52.69	3.49	4	50.0	39.0	11.0	4
MD	10	55.67	58.33	(2.66)	10	54.0	43.0	11.0	10
MA	12	70.33	64.52	5.81	12	64.0	27.0	37.0	12
MI	17	53.61	52.58	1.03	17	52.0	45.0	7.0	17
MN	10	54.17	51.06	3.10	10	52.0	44.0	8.0	10
MS	6	45.16	41.41	3.75		42.0	51.0	(9.0)	
MO	11	47.31	48.45	(1.14)		44.0	49.0	(5.0)	
MT	3	38.71	36.26	2.45		36.0	57.0	(21.0)	
NE	5	34.41	34.74	(0.33)		32.0	61.0	(29.0)	
NV	5	50.00	47.92	2.08		49.0	49.0	0.0	5
NH	4	50.00	49.47	0.53		47.0	47.0	0.0	4
NJ	15	54.35	58.33	(3.99)	15	50.0	42.0	8.0	15
NM	5	50.00	50.05	(0.05)	5	49.0	49.0	0.0	5
NY	31	59.38	63.16	(3.78)	31	57.0	39.0	18.0	31
NC	15	48.14	43.43	4.71		46.7	50.3	(3.6)	
ND	3	38.89	35.11	3.78		35.0	55.0	(20.0)	
OH	20	51.55	47.92	3.63		50.0	47.0	3.0	20
OK	7	31.46	38.78	(7.31)		28.0	61.0	(33.0)	
OR	7	53.19	50.05	3.14	7	50.0	44.0	6.0	7
PA	21	52.63	52.58	0.05	21	50.0	45.0	5.0	21
RI	4	60.87	65.59	(4.72)	4	56.0	36.0	20.0	4
SC	8	43.30	41.84	1.46		42.0	55.0	(13.0)	
SD	3	44.68	38.78	5.91		42.0	52.0	(10.0)	
TN	11	48.73	47.96	0.77		47.8	50.3	(2.5)	
TX	34	38.54	39.18	(0.63)		37.0	59.0	(22.0)	
UT	5	25.81	27.96	(2.15)		24.0	69.0	(45.0)	
VT	3	56.99	55.43	1.55	3	53.0	40.0	13.0	3
VA	13	47.96	45.83	2.13		47.0	51.0	(4.0)	
WA	11	54.17	52.63	1.54	11	52.0	44.0	8.0	11

WV	5	48.52	46.94	1.58		45.8	48.6	(2.8)		
WI	10	53.68	50.05	3.63	10	51.0	44.0	7.0	10	
WY	3	30.85	29.17	1.68		29.0	65.0	(36.0)		
Total	538	50.52	50.27	0.26	260	47.88	46.89	0.99	322	

Gore won	21	states with	266	electoral votes
Kerry leads	26	states with	322	electoral votes

BUSH APPROVAL

Avg	Date	Nwk	Fox	Gallup	Pew	Harris	CBS	ABC	Time	NBC	AP	Zogby	Qpac
54.50	Jan	50	58	60	56	na	50	58	54	54	56	49	53
49.67	Feb	48	48	51	48	51	50	50	54	na	47	na	48
48.75	Mar	48	48	49	46	na	51	50	na	50	48	na	49
48.55	Apr	49	50	52	48	48	46	51	49	na	48	47	46
45.18	May	42	48	47	44	na	41	47	46	47	48	42	45
47.00	June	na	48	49	48	50	42	47	na	45	48	46	na
47.82	July	48	47	47	46	na	45	50	50	48	50	49	46
48.00	Aug	45	51	51	46	48	46	50	51	47	49	44	na
49.09	Sept	48	50	52	46	45	48	50	53	47	54	47	na
48.50	Oct	46	49	46	44	na	49	53	53	49	47	49	na

MONTHLY AVERAGE TREND
Independent National Poll Group

Independent Poll Group:		Kerry	Bush	Other	Diff	2-party Kerry	Curr. Prob	Proj. Kerry	Proj. Prob.
ARG	Jan	44.00	49.00	7.00	-5.00	47.31	0.00	49.25	7.74
Harris	Feb	47.50	45.25	7.25	2.25	51.21	98.93	52.94	100.00
Zogby	Mar	47.60	45.20	7.20	2.40	51.29	99.29	53.00	100.00
Economist	Apr	46.40	45.40	8.20	1.00	50.54	84.93	52.55	100.00
Marist	May	47.20	44.00	8.80	3.20	51.75	99.96	53.80	100.00
Dem Corp	June	46.00	45.60	8.40	0.40	50.22	66.07	52.30	100.00
Pew	July	47.33	44.33	8.33	3.00	51.64	99.90	53.58	100.00
IBD/TIPP	Aug	47.83	44.50	7.67	3.33	51.81	99.97	53.58	100.00
ICR	Sept	45.00	47.44	7.56	-2.44	48.68	0.61	50.67	89.70
	Oct	47.11	46.56	6.33	0.56	50.30	71.32	51.86	99.98

Projection		National Avg Ind. Group	2-party K/K+B		National 18 Polls	2-party K/K+B		State 51 polls	2-party K/K+B
= Kerry Avg									
+ 60% other	Kerry	47.11%	50.30%		47.17%	50.15%		47.88%	50.52%
	Bush	46.56%	49.70%		46.89%	49.85%		46.89%	49.48%
Use RV polls	Diff	0.56%	0.59%		0.28%	0.30%		0.99%	1.04%
(LV if RV na)									

LATEST NATIONAL POLLS

Nat. Poll	Poll Date	Poll MoE	Curr. Kerry	Curr. Bush	Curr K/K+B	Kerry projections Undecided alloc. % 60.0	67.0	75.0	80.0	87.0
AP	1020	3.50	49	46	51.6	52.0	52.4	52.8	53.0	53.4
Time	1021	3.00	46	51	47.4	47.8	48.0	48.3	48.4	48.6
LAT	1024	4.00	48	47	50.5	51.0	51.4	51.8	52.0	52.4

Harris	1025	2.50	48	47	50.5	51.0	51.4	51.8	52.0	52.4
ICR	1026	3.10	44	46	48.9	50.0	50.7	51.5	52.0	52.7
Econ	1027	2.00	49	45	52.1	52.6	53.0	53.5	53.8	54.2
Nwk	1029	4.00	45	48	48.4	49.2	49.7	50.3	50.6	51.1
Pew	1030	3.50	46	45	50.5	51.4	52.0	52.8	53.2	53.8
Zogby	1030	3.10	47	48	49.5	50.0	50.4	50.8	51.0	51.4
ARG	1030	3.50	49	48	50.5	50.8	51.0	51.3	51.4	51.6
ABC	1030	3.00	48	47	50.5	51.0	51.4	51.8	52.0	52.4
Marist	1031	2.50	49	48	50.5	50.8	51.0	51.3	51.4	51.6
TIPP	1031	3.50	44	45	49.4	50.6	51.4	52.3	52.8	53.6
CBS	1031	3.00	46	47	49.5	50.2	50.7	51.3	51.6	52.1
DemC	1031	3.10	48	47	51.6	52.2	52.7	53.3	53.6	54.1
FOX	1031	3.40	48	45	50.5	51.0	51.4	51.8	52.0	52.4
Gallup	1031	4.00	48	46	51.1	51.6	52.0	52.5	52.8	53.2
NBC	1031	3.00	47	48	49.5	50.0	50.4	50.8	51.0	51.4
Average		0.73	47.17	46.89	50.15	50.73	51.15	51.63	51.92	52.34
		Kerry Win Probabilities			65.17	97.55	99.90	100.00	100.00	100.00

95% Confidence Limits:		Max	47.90	47.62	50.88	51.46	51.88	52.36	52.65	53.07
Std = MoE / 1.96		Min	46.44	46.16	49.41	50.00	50.42	50.89	51.19	51.61
Max=Mean+1.96*Std		x	47.62	47.62	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00
Min=Mean-1.96*Std		Prob>x	11.23	2.50	65.17	97.55	99.90	100.00	100.00	100.00
99% Confidence Limits:										
Max=Mean+2.58*Std		Max	48.13	47.85	51.11	51.69	52.11	52.59	52.88	53.30
Min=Mean-2.58* Std		Min	46.21	45.93	49.18	49.77	50.19	50.66	50.96	51.38
		x	47.85	47.85	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00
		Prob>x	3.33	0.49	65.17	97.55	99.90	100.00	100.00	100.00

NATIONAL WIN PROBABILITY MATRIX
(sample size vs. projected vote pct)

Sample Size	Sample MoE	Std Dev	2-party	Kerry Undecided Allocation / Vote (18 Poll Model)					9-Poll Model
				60%	67%	75%	80%	87%	60%
			50.15	50.73	51.15	51.63	51.92	52.34	51.86
600	4.00%	2.04%	52.84	64.03	71.33	78.70	82.68	87.40	81.91
1000	3.10%	1.58%	53.66	67.86	76.64	84.80	88.80	93.04	88.04
1250	2.77%	1.41%	54.09	69.80	79.18	87.47	91.30	95.09	90.59
1500	2.53%	1.29%	54.48	71.50	81.34	89.59	93.17	96.50	92.53
2000	2.19%	1.12%	55.17	74.41	84.80	92.69	95.72	98.18	95.20
3000	1.79%	0.91%	56.32	78.91	89.60	96.25	98.24	99.48	97.93
4000	1.55%	0.79%	57.29	82.32	92.70	98.01	99.25	99.85	99.07
5000	1.39%	0.71%	58.14	85.02	94.80	98.92	99.67	99.95	99.58
6000	1.27%	0.65%	58.91	87.20	96.25	99.41	99.85	99.99	99.80
7000	1.17%	0.60%	59.61	89.01	97.28	99.67	99.94	100.00	99.91
8000	1.10%	0.56%	60.25	90.52	98.01	99.82	99.97	100.00	99.96
9000	1.03%	0.53%	60.86	91.79	98.54	99.90	99.99	100.00	99.98
10000	0.98%	0.50%	61.43	92.88	98.92	99.94	99.99	100.00	99.99
11000	0.93%	0.48%	61.97	93.80	99.20	99.97	100.00	100.00	100.00

12000	0.89%	0.46%	62.49	94.59	99.41	99.98	100.00	100.00	100.00
13000	0.86%	0.44%	62.98	95.28	99.56	99.99	100.00	100.00	100.00
14000	0.83%	0.42%	63.45	95.87	99.67	99.99	100.00	100.00	100.00
15000	0.80%	0.41%	63.91	96.38	99.76	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
16000	0.77%	0.40%	64.34	96.82	99.82	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
17000	0.75%	0.38%	64.76	97.21	99.86	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
18000	0.73%	0.37%	65.17	97.55	99.90	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

ELECTORAL VOTE SIMULATION ANALYSIS

	Dem Hist. %	Kerry Proj. %	Kerry Prob. %	Trials 1-10 of 5000 — 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	52.61	51.80	99.80	329	342	338	347	336	349	347	323	342	317
AL	44.78	42.00	0.00										
AK	37.65	39.75	0.00										
AZ	48.82	48.75	27.01		10		10	10			10		
AR	55.21	51.00	68.79		6	6	6	6	6		6	6	
CA	57.36	55.75	99.76	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55
CO	48.81	50.75	64.34	9	9	9		9	9		9	9	
CT	57.72	56.50	99.93	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
DE	56.82	57.75	99.99	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
DC	90.35	86.25	100.00	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
FL	50.69	52.25	86.49	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27
GA	47.58	46.50	4.32										
HI	59.01	52.50	88.97	4			4	4	4	4		4	
ID	35.65	38.25	0.00										
IL	57.93	57.00	99.97	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
IN	44.83	41.25	0.00										
IA	51.82	54.50	98.63	7	7	7	7	7		7	7	7	7
KS	42.43	39.25	0.00										
KY	46.67	42.75	0.02										
LA	49.19	49.00	31.21	9	9				9			9	9
ME	57.09	58.25	100.00	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
MD	57.81	56.25	99.89	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
MA	65.27	70.75	100.00	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
MI	54.74	54.25	98.14	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17
MN	55.74	55.00	99.29	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
MS	44.32	47.25	8.89		6								
MO	52.46	49.25	35.66			11			11	11	11		
MT	44.91	41.25	0.00										
NE	37.54	37.25	0.00										
NV	49.89	50.50	59.68	5		5			5	5	5	5	5
NH	51.72	51.50	76.88	4		4	4	4	4	4	4		
NJ	56.45	56.00	99.84	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
NM	53.01	50.50	59.68			5	5	5	5	5			
NY	62.60	60.00	100.00	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31
NC	46.60	48.95	30.35				15				15		
ND	40.84	42.50	0.01										
OH	50.77	52.25	86.49	20	20	20	20	20	20	20		20	20
OK	42.77	36.25	0.00										

State													
OR	53.57	54.50	98.63	7		7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
PA	54.16	53.75	96.69	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
RI	65.55	62.00	100.00	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
SC	44.41	44.25	0.24										
SD	44.48	46.50	4.32										
TN	50.51	49.23	35.21		11							11	
TX	44.27	40.00	0.00										
UT	33.65	29.25	0.00										
VT	59.38	58.25	100.00	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
VA	47.29	48.50	23.12										
WA	55.87	55.00	99.29	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
WV	54.00	50.00	50.00				5			5	5		5
WI	52.69	54.75	99.00	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
WY	38.33	33.50	0.00										
Avg	52.61	51.80	99.80	329	342	338	347	336	349	347	323	342	317

NATIONAL POLL TREND

	Current Kerry	Current Bush	Diff	Projection Kerry	Bush	Diff
TIPP						
Feb	44	41	3	55.3	44.8	10.5
Mar	45	43	2	54.0	46.0	8.0
Apr	40	44	-4	52.0	48.0	4.0
May	43	42	1	54.3	45.8	8.5
June	43	44	-1	52.8	47.3	5.5
July	46	43	3	54.3	45.8	8.5
Aug	44	44	0	53.0	47.0	6.0
Sept	46	45	1	52.8	47.3	5.5
Oct	44	45	-1	52.3	47.8	4.5
AVG	43.89	43.44	0.44	53.39	46.61	6.78
ABC						
Feb	52	43	9	55.8	44.3	11.5
Mar	53	44	9	55.3	44.8	10.5
Apr	48	49	-1	50.3	49.8	0.5
May	49	47	2	52.0	48.0	4.0
June	53	45	8	54.5	45.5	9.0
July	47	49	-2	50.0	50.0	0.0
Aug	49	48	1	51.3	48.8	2.5
Sept	45	51	-6	48.0	52.0	-4.0
Oct	48	47	1	51.8	48.3	3.5
AVG	49.33	47.00	2.33	52.08	47.92	4.17
AP						
Jan	37	54	-17	43.8	56.3	-12.5
Mar	45	46	-1	51.8	48.3	3.5
Apr	44	45	-1	52.3	47.8	4.5
May	43	46	-3	51.3	48.8	2.5
July	45	49	-4	49.5	50.5	-1.0
Aug	48	45	3	53.3	46.8	6.5
Sept	42	51	-9	47.3	52.8	-5.5
Oct	49	46	3	52.8	47.3	5.5
AVG	44.88	46.75	-1.88	51.16	48.84	2.31

Nwk

Jan	41	52	-11	46.3	53.8	-7.5
Feb	50	45	5	53.8	46.3	7.5
Mar	48	45	3	53.3	46.8	6.5
Apr	50	43	7	55.3	44.8	10.5
May	46	45	1	52.8	47.3	5.5
July	51	45	6	54.0	46.0	8.0
Aug	52	44	8	55.0	45.0	10.0
Sept	45	50	-5	48.8	51.3	-2.5
Oct	45	48	-3	50.3	49.8	0.5
AVG	48.11	45.56	2.56	52.86	47.14	5.72

ARG

Jan	47	46	1	52.3	47.8	4.5
Feb	48	46	2	52.5	47.5	5.0
Mar	50	43	7	55.3	44.8	10.5
Apr	50	44	6	54.5	45.5	9.0
May	47	44	3	53.8	46.3	7.5
June	48	46	2	52.5	47.5	5.0
July	49	45	4	53.5	46.5	7.0
Aug	49	46	3	52.8	47.3	5.5
Sep	46	47	-1	51.3	48.8	2.5
Oct	49	48	1	51.3	48.8	2.5
AVG	48.44	45.44	3.00	53.03	46.97	6.06

NBC

Jan	35	54	-19	43.3	56.8	-13.5
Mar	43	46	-3	51.3	48.8	2.5
May	42	46	-4	51.0	49.0	2.0
June	44	45	-1	52.3	47.8	4.5
July	45	47	-2	51.0	49.0	2.0
Aug	45	47	-2	51.0	49.0	2.0
Sep	46	49	-3	49.8	50.3	-0.5
Oct	47	48	-1	50.8	49.3	1.5
AVG	44.38	46.75	-2.38	51.03	48.97	2.06

FOX

Jan	32	54	-22	42.5	57.5	-15.0
Feb	43	47	-4	50.5	49.5	1.0
Mar	44	44	0	53.0	47.0	6.0
Apr	42	43	-1	53.3	46.8	6.5
May	42	42	0	54.0	46.0	8.0
June	42	48	-6	49.5	50.5	-1.0
July	42	43	-1	53.3	46.8	6.5
Aug	45	44	1	53.3	46.8	6.5
Sep	43	45	-2	52.0	48.0	4.0
Oct	48	45	3	53.3	46.8	6.5
AVG	43.44	44.56	-1.11	52.44	47.56	4.89

CBS

Jan	48	43	5	54.8	45.3	9.5
Feb	47	46	1	52.3	47.8	4.5
Mar	48	43	5	54.8	45.3	9.5
Apr	48	43	5	54.8	45.3	9.5
May	49	41	8	56.5	43.5	13.0
June	45	44	1	53.3	46.8	6.5
July	49	44	5	54.3	45.8	8.5
Aug	45	44	1	53.3	46.8	6.5
Sep	41	49	-8	48.5	51.5	-3.0
Oct	46	47	-1	51.3	48.8	2.5
AVG	46.44	44.56	1.89	53.19	46.81	6.39

Gallup

Jan	43	55	-12	44.5	55.5	-11.0
Feb	48	49	-1	50.3	49.8	0.5
Mar	52	44	8	55.0	45.0	10.0
Apr	46	51	-5	48.3	51.8	-3.5
May	49	47	2	52.0	48.0	4.0
June	48	49	-1	50.3	49.8	0.5
July	51	44	7	54.8	45.3	9.5
Aug	48	47	1	51.8	48.3	3.5
Sep	44	52	-8	47.0	53.0	-6.0
Oct	48	46	2	52.5	47.5	5.0
AVG	48.22	47.67	0.56	51.31	48.69	2.61

Pew

Jan	41	52	-11	46.3	53.8	-7.5
Feb	47	47	0	51.5	48.5	3.0
Mar	48	44	4	54.0	46.0	8.0
Apr	47	46	1	52.3	47.8	4.5
May	50	45	5	53.8	46.3	7.5
June	46	48	-2	50.5	49.5	1.0
July	46	44	2	53.5	46.5	7.0
Aug	47	45	2	53.0	47.0	6.0
Sep	40	48	-8	49.0	51.0	-2.0
Oct	46	45	1	52.8	47.3	5.5
AVG	46.33	45.78	0.56	52.25	47.75	4.50

LAT

Apr	49	46	3	52.8	47.3	5.5
June	51	44	7	54.8	45.3	9.5
July	48	46	2	52.5	47.5	5.0
Aug	46	49	-3	49.8	50.3	-0.5
Sep	43	47	-4	50.5	49.5	1.0
Oct	48	47	1	51.8	48.3	3.5
AVG	47.71	46.43	1.29	52.11	47.89	4.21

ZOGBY

Mar	48	46	2	52.5	47.5	5.0
Apr	47	44	3	53.8	46.3	7.5
May	47	42	5	55.3	44.8	10.5
June	44	42	2	54.5	45.5	9.0
July	48	43	5	54.8	45.3	9.5
Aug	50	43	7	55.3	44.8	10.5
Sep	44	47	-3	50.8	49.3	1.5
Oct	47	48	-1	50.8	49.3	1.5
AVG	46.88	44.38	2.50	53.44	46.56	6.88

TIME

Jan	43	54	-11	45.3	54.8	-9.5
Feb	48	50	-2	49.5	50.5	-1.0
May	51	46	5	53.3	46.8	6.5
July	50	45	5	53.8	46.3	7.5
Aug	46	46	0	52.0	48.0	4.0
Sep	44	48	-4	50.0	50.0	0.0
Oct	46	51	-5	48.3	51.8	-3.5
AVG	48.00	47.43	0.57	51.43	48.57	2.86

DemC

Jan						
Feb	51	47	4	52.5	47.5	5.0

Mar	47	50	-3	49.3	50.8	-1.5
Apr	48	49	-1	50.3	49.8	0.5
May	49	47	2	52.0	48.0	4.0
June	49	48	1	51.3	48.8	2.5
July	50	47	3	52.3	47.8	4.5
Aug	52	45	7	54.3	45.8	8.5
Sep	49	49	0	50.5	49.5	1.0
Oct	48	47	1	51.8	48.3	3.5
AVG	49.22	47.67	1.56	51.56	48.44	3.11
Marist						
Jan	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Feb	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Mar	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Apr	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
May	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
June						
July	45	44	1	53.3	46.8	6.5
Aug	45	44	1	53.3	46.8	6.5
Sep	45	47	-2	51.0	49.0	2.0
Oct	49	48	1	51.3	48.8	2.5
AVG	46.00	45.75	0.25	52.19	47.81	4.38

Notes:
1) State and Nat. projections assume
60% undecided/other to Kerry.
2) State votes calc. by weighting polls
3) All state polls: 4% MoE

Simulation Methodology:
*
random numbers applied to
normal distribution for
5000 election trials.

Project Kerry pct of
state popular vote.
Nat % based on total
state votes.

Win Probability is pct of
simulation trials Kerry wins
(at least 270 EV).

Normal Distribution calculates
Kerry state win probability,
based on mean poll value
and Std Dev 4.0% MoE/1.96.

Examples:
Kansas poll 39.25%
Probability h 0.00%

Maine poll ha 58.25%
Probability h 100.00%

TIA Exit Poll Analysis: Progressive Independent (PI) Threads

Ohio Red Shift in 36/49 precincts (3 tied) mirrors the National (41/50 states

http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?
az=show_topic&forum=120&topic_id=1637

How Kerry won by 7 million votes across all NEP demographics

http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?
az=show_topic&forum=120&topic_id=1583

The Clincher Demographic: How Did You Vote in 2000?

http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?
az=show_topic&forum=120&topic_id=1445

Yes, Virginia, pre-election state polls matched the exits - they really did.

http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?
az=show_topic&forum=120&topic_id=1546

State Exit Polls: Reluctant Bush Responders Required to Match Vote

http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?
az=show_topic&forum=120&topic_id=1501

2004 Election Model: Kerry led monthly national pre-elect polls all year

http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?
az=show_topic&forum=120&topic_id=1552

"The Game" thread deja vu: An implausible response to the challenge

http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?
az=show_mesg&forum=120&topic_id=1483&mesg_id=1483

The Law of Large Numbers & Central Limit Theorem: A Polling Simulation

http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?
az=show_mesg&forum=120&topic_id=1273&mesg_id=1273

Here is why naysayers should read the links they cite before posting

http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?
az=show_topic&forum=120&topic_id=1255

A Comprehensive Debate with a Naysayer

http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?
az=show_topic&forum=120&topic_id=1209

CONFIRMATION: Election Model projections matched the Exit Polls http://www.
progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?
az=show_mesg&forum=120&topic_id=1218&mesg_id=1218

18 Final National pre-election polls: OTOH at DU, eat your heart out http://www.
progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?
az=show_mesg&forum=120&topic_id=1206&mesg_id=1206

A CLASSIC DU thread from 11/26/04

http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?
az=show_mesg&forum=120&topic_id=1137&mesg_id=1190

36 of 49 OH Exit Poll Precincts flip to Bush, 10 to Kerry ; 42 states flip Bush http://

www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?
az=show_mesg&forum=120&topic_id=1187&mesg_id=1187

BUSH 48.5% PRE-ELECT APPROVAL vs. 48.3% AVG OF PRE-ELECT & EXIT POLLS

http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?
az=show_topic&forum=120&topic_id=1096

SUSA Bush State Approval Chart is a guide to the stolen battleground states http://
www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?az=show_topic&forum=120&topic_id=1080

We know about Ohio, now why don't they investigate Florida?
http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?
az=show_topic&forum=120&topic_id=1060

DU Land Shark Alert! The TOTALITY of the evidence shows that...
http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?
az=show_mesg&forum=120&topic_id=1044&mesg_id=1044

Introduction to the Interactive Election Simulation Model
http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?
az=show_topic&forum=104&topic_id=6491

Exit Poll non-response vs. Census non-response: Q for TIA
http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?
az=show_topic&forum=120&topic_id=996

The mystery of 27 adjacent Florida precinct matches....
http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?
az=show_topic&forum=120&topic_id=972

More evidence: Census matched 12:22am National Exit Poll (4 demographics)
http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?
az=show_mesg&forum=120&topic_id=968&mesg_id=968

OHIO Exit Poll: Viewing the forest (state), not the trees (precincts) http://www.

progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?az=show_topic&forum=120&topic_id=906

Concerning the ESI hypothesis...a hypothetical model..
http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?
az=show_topic&forum=120&topic_id=797

Its very clear: Kerry's pre-election state/national and exit poll state/national… http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?az=show_topic&forum=120&topic_id=463

DATA MINING: MATCH NATIONAL EXIT POLL DEMOGRAPHICS TO THE GENDER VOTE
http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?
az=show_topic&forum=120&topic_id=695

THE CLINCHER: IT DROVE THE NAYSAYERS NUTS!
http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?
az=show_mesg&forum=120&topic_id=537&mesg_id=537

CLINCHER IV: CENSUS MATCHES NAT EXIT POLL (EDUCATION, RACE, GENDER, INCOME)

 http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?
az=show_topic&forum=120&topic_id=586

CLINCHER II: Census matches State/National Exit Poll Gender split (0.25%-0.50%)
http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?
az=show_topic&forum=120&topic_id=570

CLINCHER III: EXIT POLL RESPONSE OPTIMIZER: KEY RESULTS
http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?
az=show_topic&forum=120&topic_id=574

The Gender vote: 12:22am Nat. Exit Poll vs. State exits (so close it's scary) http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?az=show_topic&forum=120&topic_id=357

A conversation about exit polls...

http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?az=show_topic&forum=120&topic_id=131

The Reluctant Transvestite Responder

http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?az=show_topic&forum=120&topic_id=243

The "Game" - we beat the naysayers right here

http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?az=show_topic&forum=120&topic_id=194

High Response = High Accuracy: Myth Busted or Math Principle Violated?

http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?az=show_topic&forum=120&topic_id=118#3

Response Optimizer analysis of State Exit Polls refutes rBr

http://www.progressiveindependent.com/dc/dcboard.php?az=show_topic&forum=120&topic_id=33

.

![Democratic Underground.com logo]

Latest   Greatest   Lobby   Options   Help   Login

(CrossPost to ERD) THE UNANSWERED QUESTION: WHO REALLY WON IN 2004?

🖨 Printer-friendly format
✉ Email this thread to a friend
🔖 Bookmark this thread

Home » Discuss » Topic Forums » Election Reform

[DONATE]

---

autorank ⭐ (1000+ posts) ✉ 📋 👤 👤

Fri Jul-15-05 12:09 AM
Original message

(CrossPost to ERD) THE UNANSWERED QUESTION: WHO REALLY WON IN 2004?

For Immediate Release: 07/14/2005
Contact: See this annotated thread. It's all in the numbers:
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

THE UNANSWERED QUESTION: WHO REALLY WON IN 2004?

According to the vote tabulators, in the 2004 presidential election George W. Bush won a stunning victory that defied all odds, particularly those applied by unbiased statisticians. He won despite trailing in most state and national polls. He won despite an approval rating of less than 50%, usually the death knell for an incumbent presidential candidate. He won despite trailing in the three National Exit Polls three timelines from 4pm to 12:22 am (13047 respondents) by a steady 48%-51%, miraculously winning the final exit poll (with only 613 additional respondents, totaling 13,660). This poll was "weighted" (altered) to meet the reported election result on the assumption that the reported result was accurate -- quite an assumption. The final poll showed a stunning reversal of the Kerry 51%-48% poll margin, which had been measured consistently all day by the same polling group: major news/networks and polling firm Edison-Mitofsky.

The analysis of exit polls and documented fraud in this election began on the Internet. A number of academics posted detailed work showing the near-impossible odds of Bush overcoming deficits in the state exit polls and the National Exit Polls. Much of this analysis comes from "TruthIsAll" (TIA), a poster on DemocraticUnderground.Com. TIA has a background and several degrees in applied mathematics. Using various elements of the national and state exit polls and other data sources, he produces results that are thorough, detailed, sober and compelling. He shows ALL data and calculations, while encouraging others to check his math. Only once did he make a minor math error, after asking DUers to check his calculation of probability that at least 16 states would deviate beyond their exit poll margin of error and go for Bush. The answer turned out to be one in 19 trillion! The debates on DemocraticUnderground's "2004: Election Results and Discussion" forum are legendary and have attracted observers from all over the Net.

Before the election, TIA produced a daily update of his Election Model site. On 11/1/04, based on extensive statistical analysis, he projected a Kerry win of 51.63% to 48.38%, using a combined average of national polls, and of 51.80% to 48.2% using a Monte Carlo simulation of individual state polls. After the polls closed, data from the Edison Mitofsky NEP survey (sponsored by the major television networks and CNN) was unintentionally released over the Internet. This was internal network data, embargoed from public use, data with statements like "Estimates not for on-air use" and "This page cannot be displayed." The networks had locked down this data for their own use in an "electronic cover-up" that was offensive to those who knew the story. Luckily for all of us, Jonathan Simon downloaded the exit poll data and saved the CNN screen shots! The Edison-Mitofsky (EM)-Corporate Media (CM) "embargoed data" was available for anyone with eyes to see it and a mind to review it.

TruthIsAll immediately began analyzing and publishing analyses on the forbidden data. Looking at the demographics on the second to last E-M major network poll, he laid out the set of improbable

circumstances needed for Bush to win: "To believe Bush won the election, you must also believe…." This post was cited by Will Pitt in a major blog, which gave it wide visibility on the Net. "KERRY WON THE FEMALE VOTE BY A HIGHER PERCENTAGE THAN BUSH WON THE MALE VOTE…AND MORE WOMEN (54%) VOTED THAN MEN (46%)." It was all right there, polling results that we were never intended to see. But this was only the beginning. There are over 100 individual analytical postings that demonstrate the tremendous odds against a Bush win. This high-level analysis dovetailed with and was confirmed by on-the-ground stories of voting rights violations all over the country, particularly in Ohio.

The key data sources for TIA's analysis are the four EM National Exit Polls and the 50 state exit polls. For those who doubt the reliability of exit polling, there has been a trend toward accuracy within 0.4% since 1998. These Exit polls are endorsed heartily by international voting rights activists -- the Carter Center, for example -- and even the Bush administration, which used them, ironically, in the Ukraine elections to demonstrate fraud and call for a new election.

At 12:22 am on November 3, the national exit poll of 13,047 respondents showed Kerry to be the winner by 51% to 48%, matching TIA's pre-election projection. The poll was "un-weighted," meaning the EM and CM had yet to apply weighting "adjustments": percentages and weights applied to all the demographic categories to match the poll results to the reported vote count! Imagine if this technique had been applied by exit pollsters in the first Ukrainian election to show victory for the incumbent, who had committed gross election fraud. Yet this odd technique of turning a poll into a ratification of the actual voting results was applied in the American election. The final exit poll, with 13,660 respondents, showed a stunning reversal of fortune for Bush. The poll results were "re-weighted" to create a Bush "victory margin."

The odds against the deviations from the state and national exit polls to the final vote count are astronomical. In addition, there is the consistency of the "pristine" exit poll timeline from 4 pm (8349 respondents) to 7:30 pm (11,027) to 12:22 am (13,047).

In addition to the gender-based evidence cited above, TIA has shown that some weightings for the question "How did you vote in 2000" are mathematically impossible. For example, the final poll claims that 43% of all 2004 voters were former Bush 2000 voters. But 43% of 122.3 million, the number of votes in the 2004 presidential election, is 52.59 million, and Bush only got 50.46 million votes in 2000, approximately 1.75 million of them from voters who have since died. Therefore, Bush's final poll exit poll numbers, WHICH WERE MATCHED TO THE VOTE, had to be off by 4 million votes.

The analysis also demonstrated that other voter statistics make it impossible for Bush to have won. Even if all Bush voters from 2000 showed up and voted for him, he still needed an additional 13 million votes. He didn't get them from new voters and those who did not vote in 2000; those voters preferred Kerry by an almost 3-to-2 margin. Because of this, a Bush victory required that he must win a whopping 14% of Gore 2000 voters, all of whom had to return to vote in 2004. But Gore voters were angry; they came back to defeat Bush once again after having the election stolen from them.

Logical absurdities and inconsistencies in Election 2004 abound. The data, analysis, and narrative are available at (insert link) for open-minded individuals who want to form their own conclusions about "Stolen Election 2004."

This work is just part of a comprehensive set of election fraud work and analysis provided by the dedicated voting rights activists in DemocraticUnderground.Com's "2004: Election Results and Discussion" forum, a unique Net resource.

Exit Poll Analysis: The Essential Threads
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph…

Exit Poll Analysis: A Complete Selection
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph…

Latest   **Greatest**   **Lobby**   **Options**   **Help**   **Login**

EXIT POLL ANALYSIS – ESSENTIAL DU THREADS

🖨 Printer-friendly format
✉ Email this thread to a friend
🔖 Bookmark this thread

First thread | Last thread

Home » **Discuss** » **Topic Forums** » **Election Reform**

[DONATE]

TruthIsAll ⭐ (1000+ posts) ✉ 📑 👤 👤

Thu Jul-14-05 10:18 AM
Original message

EXIT POLL ANALYSIS – ESSENTIAL DU THREADS

Edited on Thu Jul-14-05 10:50 AM by TruthIsAll

EXIT POLL ANALYSIS – ESSENTIAL DU THREADS

The Unanswered Question: Who Really Won in 2004?

===

The Election Model projected a Kerry victory, based on final state and national pre-election polls (two separate models). State polling data was input to a Monte Carlo Simulation model consisting of 5000 trials to determine the probability of Kerry winning the electoral vote, assuming a range of undecided vote split scenarios. The National Model calculated the probability of Kerry winning the popular vote based on an average of 18 national polls over a range of undecided vote scenarios.
http://www.geocities.com/electionmodel /

To believe that Bush won the election, a number of implausible circumstances had to occur.
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

To throw doubt on the exit polls, tortured hypotheticals were put forth by the naysayers who have been very prolific myth promoters. Now they are left with rBr, the Mother of All Myths, which has being thoroughly debunked by USCV and informed DUers. Here's a timeline of threads which refute the myths.
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Much evidence (polling, political, anecdotal and incidental) pointed to massive fraud.
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

How does one explain the exit poll discrepancies?
Mitosksy states that Final Exit polls have always been weighted to match the actual vote. Now we know the reasons why. His statement, though literally true, is a canard. In fact, the vote count should be re-weighted to match the exit polls. Mitofsky would have us believe that the vote counts are correct and therefore preliminary exit poll numbers must be re-weightedto match the actual vote. That's why the final, weighted exit poll matched the votes. But this assumes the vote counts are accurate. Is this a valid assumption to make? The re-weighted exit polls are "correct" only if one defines "correct" as exactly matching bogus vote counts.
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Given the recorded vote, exit poll response rate and error by precinct partisanship category, the EXIT POLL RESPONSE OPTIMIZER calculates Kerry's true vote and refutes the rBr (reluctant Bush responder) hypothesis.
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

It's the naysayer's Hobson's Choice: Which do you believe,the Final NEP or rBr? One can argue, against all

mathematical logic, that the Final National Exit poll was correct (43% is a valid weighting multiplier for Bush and 37% for Kerry). Therefore the Final Exit Poll correctly matched to the recorded vote count, as reflected by the 51-48% Bush win.

Conversely, one can hypothesize the reluctant Bush responder (rBr) theory. Of course, by doing so, one must must reject the 43/37% split, since that weighting mix implies that Bush 2000 voters outnumbered Gore 2000 voters by a whopping six percent.
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph…

Assume that 100% of Bush 2000 voters still alive (48.7 million, or 39.82% of 122.26) turned out to vote in 2004 and that the Final National Exit Poll "How Voted in 2000" weighting for Gore (37%) was correct. Also assume that the voting percentages are correct (the Final was the only poll Bush won.). Kerry still wins by 50.22% - 48.4%, a 2.23 million vote margin. In fact he wins all 120 scenarios.
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph…

This is an Exit Poll Analysis by Time Zone. The bulk of the damage was done in the East. All 22 states deviated to Bush from the exit poll to the vote. The odds: 1 in 4 million. Of the 22, 12 deviated beyond the MOE to Bush. The odds: 1 in 16 trillion.
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph…

Of 16261 respondents in the East, 40.40% said they voted for Bush. But Bush received 43.91% of the 2-party vote in the region.
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph…

According to the census, 125.7mm voted on Nov. 2 but only 122.3 million according to the vote count, so 3.4 million votes are missing. We know that millions of democratic votes are spoiled in minority precincts in every election. Naysayers would have us believe that the 2.70% census discrepancy is due to polling error, but the census margin of error is 0.30% for the gender question. The discrepancy dovetails with national and state exit poll deviations.
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph…

What happened in Ohio? The exit poll showed that Kerry was a solid winner. He won the majority of every demographic category. If you believe the Exit Poll, Kerry won OH by 160,000 votes. If you believe the count, Bush won by 119,000. If Kerry won this solid Republican state by 51-48%, he must have done better than this nationally - which means he won the election by 6-8 million votes.
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph…

Kerry held a steady 3-4% lead at each point in the timeline of the National Exit Poll, starting at 4pm (8349 respondents) to 7:33pm (11027) to 12:22am (13047). The Final Exit poll(13660) was released 1:25pm and radically changed the consistent timeline weightings and percentages in order to match the recorded vote.
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph…

State (73,000 respondents) and National (13047) exit polls were in agreement – Kerry was the winner. The fact that they matched within one tenth of a percentage point is further confirmation that they were accurate.
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph…

Analysis of state and National exit poll by region provides further confirmation and shows where the discrepancies were most dramatic.
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph…

In Florida, two voting methods were used. The party registration percentage split in counties using touch screen computers were virtually identical to counties using Optical scanners, with Democrats holding the edge. Why did Kerry do so much better in touch screen counties then he did in optiscan counties?
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph…

Even assuming 100% voter turnout for Bush 2000 voters and 88% for returning Gore voters, Kerry is a winner, using realistic weightings for the national exit poll. The Final Exit poll "How Voted in 2000" demographic (43% Bush/ 37% Gore) is impossible, since 43% of 122.3mm voters is 52.59mm. Bush received 50.45mm votes in 2000. Since the number of Bush 2000 voters still living in 2004 was

Latest   Greatest   Lobby   Options   Help   Login

EXIT POLL ANALYSIS THREADS - 7/12/05 UPDATE

Printer-friendly format
Email this thread to a friend
Bookmark this thread

First thread | Last thread

Home » Discuss » Topic Forums » Election Reform

DONATE

TruthIsAll ☆ (1000+ posts) ✉ 📇 👤 👤✖

Wed Jul-13-05 07:59 PM
Original message

EXIT POLL ANALYSIS THREADS - 7/12/05 UPDATE

Edited on Wed Jul-13-05 08:34 PM by TruthIsAll

EXIT POLL ANALYSIS THREADS
July 12, 2005

Election Model Projection
http://www.geocities.com/electionmodel /

National Exit Poll
2:05pm Nov.3, 13660 Respondents
http://www.cnn.com/ELECTION/2004/pages/results/states/U...

National Exit Poll
12:22am Nov. 3, 13047 Respondents
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

National Exit Poll
7:38pm Nov 2, 11027 Respondents
http://www.exitpollz.org/CNN_national2.htm

National Exit Poll Timeline (pdf):
11/2/04, 3:59pm 8349 respondents
http://www.exitpollz.org/mitof4zone/US2004G_3737_PRES04...

11/2/04, 7:33pm 11027 respondents
http://www.exitpollz.org/mitof4zone/US2004G_3798_PRES04...

11/3/04, 12:22am 13047 respondents

11/3/04, 1:25pm 13660 respondents
http://www.exitpollz.org/mitof4zone/US2004G_3970_PRES04...

US Count Votes: new version of Working Paper (Updated June 26, 2005)
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

ELECTION 2004: THE COMPLETE GRAPHICAL REFERENCE
Edited on Sun Apr-03-05 01:57 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

ELECTION INCIDENT REPORTING SYSTEM (EIRS)
https://voteprotect.org/index.php?display=EIRMapNation&...

EXIT POLL RESPONSE OPTIMIZER: KEY RESULTS
Edited on Sat Jul-09-05 06:05 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

It's a shutout: FRAUD 3 , rBr 0
Edited on Wed Jul-06-05 12:38 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Linear Regression GRAPH: Kerry State Vote vs. Exit Poll completion Edited on Mon Jul-04-05 10:47 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Optimizer sensitivity analysis: aggregate alpha vs. partisan alpha
Edited on Fri Jul-01-05 02:26 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Bush approval/disapproval by state: clues about the fraud?
Edited on Wed Jun-29-05 01:57 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

ELECTION 2004 BY THE NUMBERS
Edited on Tue Jun-28-05 12:21 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

15% OF GORE VOTERS (7.65 MM) SUFFERED FROM ALZHEIMER'S IN 2004
Edited on Mon Jun-27-05 07:11 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Naysayer Hobson's Choice: Final NEP or rBr? Take your pick.
Edited on Thu Jun-23-05 02:12 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

To believe Bush won, you must believe this.
Edited on Sun Jun-26-05 11:02 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

EXIT POLL NAYSAYERS: A 3RD GRADE ARITHMETIC TEST
Edited on Mon Jun-20-05 04:37 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

WPE and Aggregate Precinct Data Tell Us Where the Election was Stolen...
Edited on Wed Jun-22-05 04:39 PM
http://wwww.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

THE CLINCHER: Kerry wins 120 of 120 scenarios
Edited on Tue Jun-21-05 02:12 PM

http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

The Exit Poll Response Optimizer confirms the USCV simulation
Edited on Sun Jun-19-05 07:08 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

The Final National Exit Poll is a FRAUD. Here's proof.
Edited on Mon Jun-20-05 11:44 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

First rBr, now eDp (Exuberant Democratic Pollster)
Edited on Wed Jun-15-05 12:19 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

To match WPE, alpha must = 1.155.
Edited on Tue Jun-14-05 08:24 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

OPTIMIZER: EXIT POLL RESPONSE PROBABILITY ANALYSIS
Edited on Mon Jun-13-05 08:19 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

LET'S RUN THE OPTIMIZER ASSUMING RELUCTANT KERRY RESPONDERS (rKr)
Edited on Sun Jun-12-05 09:52 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

What are the odds?
Edited on Sat Jun-11-05 05:26 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

POLL BAD, VOTE COUNT GOOD: The RW (M)ost (O)utrageous (E)xcrement
Edited on Sun Jun-12-05 12:25 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

EXIT POLL ALPHA OPTIMIZER: MAXIMUM BUSH AND KERRY VOTE%
Edited on Sat Jun-11-05 09:05 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

HERE'S WHAT BUSH NEEDED IN ORDER TO WIN 51.23%
Edited on Sat Jun-11-05 12:56 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

QUANTS: To match WPE = - 6.77%, wtd alpha must be at least 1.15
Edited on Fri Jun-10-05 02:22 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

OPTIMIZER: Assume the exit polls were RIGHT
Edited on Wed Jun-08-05 02:20 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

TO BAIMAN, FEBBLE, OTOH, TFC, O'DELL, etc: IS rBr PLAUSIBLE?
Edited on Sun Jun-05-05 04:15 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

WHAT IS THE EXIT POLL RESPONSE OPTIMIZER TELLING US ABOUT rBr?
Edited on Sun Jun-05-05 10:15 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

EXIT POLL RESPONSE OPTIMIZATION MODEL: Bush needed 55.2% of refusers
Edited on Sat Jun-04-05 02:33 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Non-linear optimization (Excel "Solver") proves rBr is Null and Void
Edited on Tue May-31-05 02:27 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Which of these facts convinced you that the election was stolen?
Edited on Fri May-27-05 10:06 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Census/Exit Poll Gender Vote Probability Analysis
Edited on Sat May-28-05 12:51 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

2-3 MILLION LOST KERRY VOTES?
CENSUS: 125.7MM; RECORDED: 122.3MM
Edited on Thu May-26-05 05:21 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

CENSUS DATA MATCHED NATIONAL EXIT POLL WEIGHTS(12:22AM, 13047 SAMPLE)
Edited on Fri May-27-05 07:50 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Miracle of Coogan's Bluff (1951) vs the Miracle of Bush's Bluff
Edited on Thu May-26-05 12:42 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Another probability analysis to illustrate why rBr is implausible….
Edited on Wed May-25-05 01:51 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

A new Poly Sci Statistic: The sBr (shy Bush responder) differential
Edited on Tue May-24-05 03:11 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

IS rBr STILL BREATHING? REQUIRED BUSH % OF REFUSERS IS IMPOSSIBLE!
Edited on Sun May-22-05 01:34 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

THE EAST WAS THE rBr BEAST
Edited on Sun May-22-05 11:20 PM

http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Exposing the Myths: One by One
Edited on Sat May-21-05 10:30 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

rBr: The Bush voter equivalent of WMD. There's no there there.
Edited on Wed May-18-05 10:39 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Prob (86 of 88 screens switch K to B):
1 in 79,010,724,999,066,700,000,000
Edited on Sun May-15-05 10:23 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

AAPOR? ESI? Does Not Prove Fraud?
Edited on Sun May-15-05 09:17 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

YOU WANT KERRY? PRESS RIGHT HERE. DON'T YOU WORRY
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

NON-RESPONSE BIAS? HERE'S WHY IT HURT KERRY MORE THAN BUSH.
Edited on Sat May-14-05 05:46 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

To Believe that Bush won.....
Edited on Fri May-13-05 10:21 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

PROBABILITY ANALYSIS: THE RBR HYPOTHESIS IS VIRTUALLY IMPOSSIBLE!
Edited on Sat May-14-05 01:34 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Can someone explain this RBR inconsistency?
Edited on Sat May-14-05 07:05 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Freeper Logic: aBr, the Alzheimer Bush Responder Hypothesis
Edited on Fri May-13-05 10:38 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

MORE NUMERICAL EVIDENCE KERRY WON: 2004 TURNOUT SCENARIOS
Edited on Thu May-12-05 08:24 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

NAT. EXIT POLL GRAPHS: TIMELINE, KERRY MARGINS, VOTER TURNOUT
Edited on Thu May-12-05 09:18 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

WHERE DID BUSH FIND 13 MILLION NEW VOTES?
Edited on Tue May-10-05 09:00 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

BYE, BYE rBr: IMPLAUSIBLE VOTER TURNOUT SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

Edited on Tue May-10-05 02:40 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Could 14.4% of Gore voters have voted for Bush?
Edited on Sun May-08-05 09:32 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

YOU DECIDE: DO THESE FIVE SCENARIOS MAKE rBr IRRELEVANT?
Edited on Sun May-08-05
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Even assuming rBr, it takes a MIRACLE to reverse Kerry's margin
Edited on Sat May-07-05 02:30 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Our Evidence vs. Their Evidence
Edited on Sat May-07-05 01:22 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

The Reluctant Republican Responder was a KERRY voter!
Edited on Sat May-07-05 03:05 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

FEBBLE: WILL YOU COMMENT ON THIS MYSTERY?
Edited on Tue May-03-05 09:28 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

The Reluctant Gore Responder (RGR)
Edited on Mon May-02-05 01:50 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

PUZZLE FOR DUERS:NATIONAL VS. STATE GENDER DEMOGRAPHIC MATCH-UP
Edited on Sat Apr-30-05 01:22 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

12:22am State Exit Poll Gender Breakout: Kerry won 329 EV
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

HOW KERRY WON OHIO BY 51-48%
Edited on Fri Apr-29-05 10:37 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

ALL YOU NEED TO KNOW ABOUT THE NEP TIMELINE
Edited on Thu Apr-28-05 07:25 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

THE 13047 NATIONAL EXIT POLL MATCHED STATE EXIT POLLS TO WITHIN .06%
Edited on Sun Apr-24-05 11:44 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

STATE / NATIONAL EXIT POLL ANALYSIS BY REGION
Edited on Sun Apr-24-05 03:10 PM

http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

REFUTE THESE ODDS!
Edited on Sat Apr-23-05 06:16 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Z-score Probability (Bush Z>1 in 35 states): 1 in 4500 trillion
Edited on Sat Apr-23-05 01:28 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Red Shift: Z-scores and Probabilities. Take a deep breath.
Edited on Sat Apr-23-05 03:26 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

The Exit Poll Gender Demographic: Another Smoking Gun?
Edited on Tue Apr-19-05 09:51 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

The MoE Formula: Mitofsky agrees its 1.0% for over 8000 respondents
Edited on Fri Apr-15-05 05:15 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Bush Exit Poll Job Approval: A smoking gun?
Edited on Tue Apr-19-05 02:07 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

TIMELINE
Edited on Tue Apr-19-05 02:30 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

U.S. General Election Poll-7:37pm: "Estimates NOT for on-air use"
Edited on Tue Apr-19-05 12:31 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

FL Optiscans vs. Touchscreens
Edited on Mon Apr-18-05 02:42 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

The National Exit Poll: A Tragedy in Three Acts
Edited on Fri Apr-15-05 10:59 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Calculate SAMPLE-SIZE for any MoE and confidence level
Edited on Thu Apr-14-05 09:53 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

National Exit Poll Analysis: Using FACTUAL Historic Data
Edited on Mon Apr-11-05 10:58 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

WAS THERE A (N)ATURAL (B)USH (R)ESPONDER?
Edited on Sun Apr-10-05 02:10 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

WHICH IS IT: RBR OR EBR ?

Edited on Sat Apr-09-05 09:35 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

THE BUSH "BANDWAGON" EFFECT...
Edited on Fri Apr-08-05 09:49 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

RELUCTANT BUSH RESPONDER OR REINCARNATED BUSH RESPONDER?
Edited on Mon Apr-04-05 03:22 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Another Exit Poll Mystery: Party ID. Can you solve it?
Edited on Sat Apr-02-05 02:52 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Voted, Died, Reborn, Did Not Vote
Edited on Fri Apr-01-05 09:46 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

IF YOU BELIEVE THESE FACTS, THEN YOU MUST ALSO BELIEVE...
Edited on Fri Apr-01-05 12:58 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

A 9TH GRADE ALGEBRAIC SMOKING GUN
Edited on Fri Apr-01-05 01:42 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

EXIT POLL MYSTERY: How Voted in 2000; 43% Bush/37% Gore
Edited on Wed Mar-30-05 11:13 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS:KERRY'S NATIONAL VOTE MARGIN OF VICTORY
Tue Mar-29-05 08:35 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

View the Mathematical FACTS for the TRUTH...
Edited on Tue Mar-29-05 10:38 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

FASTEN SEAT BELTS. THIS IS THE CLINCHER.
Edited on Tue Mar-29-05 01:47 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

THE FINAL NEP PADDED A MINIMUM OF 3.85 MIL TO BUSH VOTE
Edited on Mon Mar-28-05 02:18 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

NEW VOTERS VS. 2000 VOTER TURNOUT: KERRY WINS 57 OF 60 SCENARIOS
Edited on Sat Mar-26-05 11:21 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

THE MOST LIKELY SCENARIO: KERRY WON BY 7 MILLION VOTES
Edited on Sat Mar-26-05 06:16 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Reluctant Bush Responder? Or Resurrected Bush Responder?
Edited on Fri Mar-25-05 05:43 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

HOW TO RED-SHIFT THE NATIONAL EXIT POLL IN 6 EASY STEPS…
Edited on Thu Mar-24-05 11:44 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

BASED ON THE U.S. ANNUAL DEATH RATE OF 8.7 PER 1000…
Edited on Mon Mar-21-05 09:30 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

FINAL NEP OVERSTATED BUSH VOTE BY AT LEAST 2 MILLION VOTES
Edited on Mon Mar-21-05 02:01 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

FROM 13047 TO 13660: THE MAGIC 613 FINAL NEP RESPONDENTS
Edited on Mon Mar-21-05 10:26 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

FOUR VERY RED FLAGS….
Edited on Sat Mar-19-05 01:38 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

NATIONAL EXIT POLL TIMELINE: THE FULL STORY IN 3 GRAPHS
Edited on Sat Mar-19-05 09:22 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

PROBABILITY TABLE: Exit Poll Margin of Error vs. Vote Deviation
Edited on Thu Mar-17-05 12:37 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

The Unexplained Exit Poll Discrepancy: Explained
Edited on Tue Mar-15-05 01:23 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

A SUMMARY EXIT POLL MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION
Edited on Mon Mar-14-05 10:54 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

THIS EXIT POLL SIMULATION TEST BLOWS AWAY THE NEDERLAND "PROOF"
Edited on Sat Mar-12-05 12:51 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Nederland agrees: The Recorded votes and Final Exit poll are bogus.
Edited on Fri Mar-11-05 10:29 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

GRAPHS:1988-2004 Exit Poll to Vote - Trend reversal in 2004
Edited on Thu Mar-10-05 11:11 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

A Mathematical proof: Preliminary Exit polls are accurate
Edited on Thu Mar-10-05 09:01 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Nat Exit Poll: 7:58pm (Kerry) > 12:22am (Kerry)> 2:04pm (Bush)
Edited on Mon Mar-07-05 11:55 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

Check. Mate.
Edited on Sun Mar-06-05 04:03 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

NATIONAL EXIT POLL: PRELIMINARY TO FINAL - WHAT HAPPENED?
Edited on Sat Feb-26-05 01:23 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

MITOFSKY EXIT POLL CAVEAT: "FINAL PERCENTAGES MAY SHIFT SLIGHTLY"
Edited on Fri Feb-25-05 12:02 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

IT COMES TO THIS: DO YOU BELIEVE 13,047 OR 13,660?
Edited on Mon Feb-21-05 09:40 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

PRE-ELECTION STATE POLLS vs. EXIT POLLS vs. ACTUALS
Edited on Sat Feb-19-05 12:02 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

The EAST was the BEAST: Time Zone Exit Poll Discrepancy Analysis
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

BLOWN TO SMITHEREENS: MITOFSKY'S "RELUCTANT BUSH RESPONDER" THEORY
Edited on Sat Feb-12-05 12:46 PM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...

THE MOST DEVASTATING STATISTIC OF ALL: 17% OF THE VOTERS WERE NEW
Edited on Fri Feb-11-05 01:05 AM
http://www.democraticunderground.com/discuss/duboard.ph...