

What does Jesus look like?



### ***The limping Freak and "God" Jesus "Christ"***

**What does Jesus look like?**

This page is also available in [PDF](#), [ZIP](#) and [EXE](#)

**Revised version of summer 2007, replacing that one of 2001**

*The Christians feign "god" as triple,  
For the revenge on humankind of a cripple!*

***Then said Satan: "O Lord, you have unjustly made me hideous, but I am content thereat, because I desire to annul all that you shall do. And the other devils said: "Calf him not Lord, O Lucifer, for you (Jesus) are Lord." (The Gospel of Barnabas, Chapter 35)***

***Those who see you (Lucifer) will stare at you, and ponder over you (Lucifer, Christian name: "Jesus Christ" ): "Is this the man who made the earth tremble, who shook kingdoms, who made the world like a desert and overthrew its cities, who would not let his prisoners go home? (Isa. 14:16-17)***

Table of contents

**Firstly: Two prefatory notes:**

**1.1 Regarding the Handicapped**

**1.2 Pertaining to the Shroud of Turin**

***Secondly: Jesus - the (misshapen) Cripple***

***Thirdly: Summary of the Reasons for which Christians conceal, respectively, lie about the outward Appearance of Jesus***

***Firstly:***

***Firstly: Two prefatory notes:***

***1.1 Regarding the handicapped***

The author of this treatise does not intend to jeer at disabled ones. Here, it is about the coincidence of a disabled one and an impostor beyond bounds and past description. The author "only" debunks the braggart compensating, i. e. lying, his inferiority by faking something "superior" ("god", "son of god" etc.). He reminds of the (resolutely concealed) facts. The author takes the view -- even many early Christians took -- that no god but only an impostor can be a dwarf, limping cripple, could suffer and be as ugly as Satan and the sin. At the impostor the author sneers but he does not do so at the handicapped. The author assures each handicapped one his commitment as long as the concerned does not resort to megalomania fobbing himself off as "god" or something similar.

***1.2 A prefatory note pertaining to the Shroud of Turin***

The „Shroud of Turin“ neither can be used for proving nor disproving the matter we are going to debunk, now. On the contrary, this treatise is an appropriate contribution refuting the shroud's authenticity since here is produced data that irrespective of its age those linen never portrays ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus) but somebody else -- at best Jesus' stuntman or another executed felon from that or another time.

The contours of a person that are discernible on the shroud do not match the historical accounts on Jesus, in fact of both early Christian and non-Christian literature. Especially, Jesus by far was not that tall, as the shroud pictures the individual. That means, even if this shroud should trace back to Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera's time, it does not contain any image of his but of another person, respectively, unperson.

In contrast with Christian shysters' allegation canting the ignominy and shame of a felon sentenced to death, Jesus dodged execution by hanging (on a cross) by gulling a double (likely Judas Iscariot) into dying in place of him. So, he cannot be pictured on that shroud. At best, the shroud illustrates another monkey-Christ faking Jesus monkey-Christ. Here, the term of monkey-Christ means that the purported "crucifixion" of Ben-Pandera (Jesus) is a fake since the great pretender (of Satan's gender) duped the world by a (hanging) double of his. Only somebody that has not died can play the "resurrected one", afterwards...Anyway, Yehoshua-ben-Pandera (thieves' cant: Jesus "Christ") and his fellow Christian desperadoes refer to the Bible of Judaism for (spurious) evidence of being "god's" Christ, if not "god" himself. However, this evidence (Bible of Judaism identifies the one who hangs on the cross as an accursed one of god (see: De 21:23). In the course of this paragraph, we will quote the passage of the Deuteronomy, exactly.

On condition of acknowledging the Deuteronomy as god's word -- the Christians pretend to do so -- it is to say: Regardless whoever is hanging on the crucifix, it only can be about a monkey-Christ, viz, the deception of a deceiver!

In order to make it unambiguously clear: A monkey Christ is hanging on the cross even if Yehoshua-ben-Pandera (nickname: Jesus "Christ") is hanging! However, we can provide evidence that he does not. If he really believed in the prophets (Bible) -- what is more than doubtable (see: Joh 10:8) -- it even more must have been his aim to avoid becoming an accursed one of god according to De 21:23.

In the Philippines each year on Easter, many "sick one needing a physician" (Lu 5:31) ape this execution (crucifixion) ... For terrorists there is not shortage of finding suicide squads...! And for the great pretender (of Satan's gender), i.e. Jesus Christ, it obviously was easy to gull a fool into hanging in place of himself...

It is to exclude that Jesus is hanging on the Christian crucifix as the shroud of Turin presupposes. The pictured individual is very tall -- in particular compared to people of his time. Unlike that illustration, the impostor (Jesus) is reported to be small as dwarfish as we are going to produce evidence, now.

Using a very tall man as double or stunt man for his execution, Jesus monkey-Christ unnecessarily would have aroused suspicions that he "scarified", i.e., murdered a stunt man in place of himself. This is what he did. However, this trick does not work if the opponents know it. The opponents (then Roman and Jewish authorities) must believe having punished the real perpetrator (felon)! Otherwise, they would have tried repeating execution with the real evildoer, presumably in a more cruel way. Consequently, it is to reject that the Shroud of Turin illustrates Yehoshua-ben-Pandera (thieves' cant: Jesus "Christ") or even a double or stunt man of his, because the latter had to correspond with Jesus by height.

After slave leader Spartacus' rebellion was defeated, the Roman authorities executed about 6000 men by crucifixion, at the Via Apia outside of Rome. Execution by crucifixion took place until the reign of Emperor Constantine, viz, until the fourth century. One easily could get a shroud of a crucified one, if one wanted to have one.

Making an educated guess, the author of this treatise presumes that the illustration of "shroud of Turin" was produced by Italian atheist Leonardo da Vinci (1452 - 1519) even presenting the face and shape of his (of atheist Leonardo).

Putting linen over one's (even sweating) face and/or body does not produce such illustrations the shroud has. Presumably, Leonardo -- who was able to produce such illustrations -- wanted to ridicule Christian sects for foul playing against him. Moreover, when having finished reading this treatise, each honest one will consent with Leonardo and the author that the Christian foul players would do better to worship Leonardo as their "god" than Yehoshua-ben-Pandera (aka: "Jesus Christ"), the accursed one of god (see: De 21:23).

### **Secondly: Jesus - the (misshapen) Cripple**


There are about billions, if not trillions, of crucifixes, i.e. images, of Jesus. However, hardly somebody knows how he looks like.

The Christian self-praised "shepherds" keep the outward appearance of Jesus -- they worship as "god" -- as one of Christians' most secret (thieves' cant: "mystery").

What does Jesus look like?



**Picture: A**



**Picture: B**

*Ben-Pandera (thieves' cant: Jesus "Christ"), a miscarriage of nature (4,5 feet of "height", blind in one eye, bald and limping because of one shorter leg), impostor and religious rogue without frock like in picture A keeping himself amusing with the goofiness and credulity of human beings*

The truth about the outward appearance of that freak the Christian pass off as "god" is that embarrassing, i.e., the lie about Christian shyster's and predators' "god" that debunking that they refer to distort, blur, darken and destroy the knowledge about his outward appearance. They know that claiming such a creep n' crook as "god" means only cracking a joke. Finally, the Christians indirectly and inadvertently admit by the tremendous beautification of that spiteful little devil feigning "god". Christians do so because they know that god and angles do not look like spiteful little devils or as Ben-Pandera (nickname: Jesus "Christ").

Although the Christians tried destroying all knowledge about his outward appearance, there still is sufficient knowledge even about details. For instance, we even know his hairstyle. As his twin of objectification of perfidy and ugliness Shaul Paul, he was bald:

*"And so when we (John and James) had brought the ship to land, we saw him (Jesus) also helping along with us to settle the ship: and when we departed from that place, being minded to follow him, again he was seen of me as having rather bald, but the beard thick and flowing..."<sup>[1]</sup>*

Those who believe that Christian predators (Christians' cant: „shepherds") were not able to fob such an abominable deceit – that Jesus purportedly "resurrected from the dead" -- on the world, should consider that it is much easier to contrive and tell such lies than to hide the information about the outward appearance of one most known individual of our planet Earth. Who is able to conceal the knowledge of outward appearance of his self-made "god", that one also is able to lie and deceive (almost) perfectly.

Christian clergies of course try canting their concealment since already by his outward appearance Jesus betrays his nature the nature of his. They gull their prey (Christians' cant:

What does Jesus look like?

“sheep”) into believing that the outward appearance was unimportant. Hereby, they want to divert attention from one of Christian sect's most embarrassment and ignominy. Finally, beautification of this deified miscarriage of nature debunks belies them and their own doctrines.

A prophet is a human being and could have flaws and deficiencies like everybody else, even if -- according to my judgment -- this is neither impressive nor any recommendation for him. However, a “god” being marked by those defects is only an impostor, criminal (homo scelestus) and laughingstock. Christians claim this miscarriage of nature to be “god”. That is the measure by which we are now going to judge the homo scelestus' (homo = human, scelestus = criminal) dummy of “god”! Did not he say “the measure you give will be the measure you get” (Mr 4:24)? So, let us give him the measure of his.

If there is an audience of the ignorant, Christians are used to quoting following passage from the Bible (Christians' cant: “Old Testament”).

*Ps 45:2-3 NRSV*

*2 You are the most handsome of men; grace is poured upon your lips; therefore God has blessed you forever.*

*3 Gird your sword on your thigh, O mighty one, in your glory and majesty.*

Oh yeah, I see, that is what the Christians fancy him to be: being more handsome than a movie star from Hollywood (California) and/or "Bollywood" (India)... Since they rightly deem a god looking like that, they the fancy their dummy of "god" to be like that. However, what about if a felonious sect address and worship Satan as "god", would its "god" look like Satan or like god? It is to presume that in that case, that "god" neither would change his mind nor his outward appearance i.e. stays as ugly as ugly Satan and the sin are. That is what we are going to prove empirically, now.

*Only among ignorant and criminal ones (homines scelesti) or among a mixture of both, the sect of Jesus can prosper.*

*Christians can keep cool,  
If the audience is full of fools!*

Christian liars even more have to quote Ps 45:2 since according to their own doctrines, beauty is the expression of supernatural goodness and ugliness that one of supernatural evil, i.e. even according to Christian doctrines there is a difference if a human being is ugly or a supernatural being.

The “Gospel of Barnabas” – whatever nature, by whom and in what time it was written correctly describes the nature of beauty and ugliness of supernatural beings, according to Christian sect's doctrines:

*“Having said this, Satan became horrid and of fearsome look, and his followers (Christians) became hideous; because for their rebellion God took away from them the beauty wherewith he had endued them in creating them. Whereat the holy angels, when, lifting their heads, they saw how terrible a monster Satan; had become, and his followers, cast down*

What does Jesus look like?

*their face to Earth in fear. Then said Satan (and his Christ): "O Lord, you have unjustly made me hideous, but I am content thereat, because I (Jesus) desire to annul all that you shall do. And the other devils (Christians) said: "Calf him not Lord, O Lucifer; for you (Jesus) are Lord."*

*Then said God to the followers of Satan (Christians): "Repent you, and recognize me as God, your creator." They answered: "We repent of having done you any reverence, for that you (god) are not just; but Satan (and his Christ) is just. Then said God: "Depart from me, O you cursed, for I have no mercy on you." [2]*

Here already we experience that Satan and Christ are cursed by god. We will continue to see this line..

According to Christian doctrines, there are beautiful and ugly supernatural beings. The good nature of supernatural beings is expressed by beauty, the evil by ugliness. Consequently, good angels are beautiful, the evil are ugly. The ugliest because vilest of all is Satan, followed by his Anointed One (Hebrew: Messiah, Greek: Christos). The outward appearance of Satan and his Anointed One (Hebrew: Messiah, Greek: Christos) is warning of god to us. When Satan became evil and severed from god, god took off the beauty Satan was keeping as former angel. If the "Gospel of Barnabas" is "authentic" or "non-authentic, it correctly describes the situation how Satan (and his followers, in particular, his Christ) became misshapen.

If the Christian predators face an educated audience, they do not quote Ps 45:2 (that the Messiah is the most handsome man) but mendaciously refer to prophet Isaiah (53:2-3) for canting their "god's" ugliness.

Each Christian trying to quote something else from the Bible (thieves' cant: "Old Testament"), e.g. from Isaiah, firstly perpetrates the perfidy of a psychological projection of divorcing Bible passages from its context. The Christians disingenuously are used to upbraiding their enemies to do (divorcing Bible passages from its context). In this case, he divorces prophet Isaiah from the Psalms of his Bible. This is all the more the case, since unlike Eastern Orthodox Christian sect, Western (Catholic) sect tried beautifying Jesus from the every outset and mendaciously quoted the just cited passage from Ps 45:2-3. The more the distance of the location and time is, the more the Christian dastards lie, deceive and contrive! Hereby, Catholic Christian sect inadvertently and indirectly proved ancient Greek philosopher Celsus (about the year 178) to be right that a "god" has to be an impressive person, like it is described by Ps 45:2-3.

*"Since a divine Spirit inhabited the body (of Jesus), it must certainly have been different from that of other beings, in respect of grandeur, or beauty, or strength, or voice, or impressiveness, or persuasiveness. For it is impossible that he, to whom was imparted some divine quality beyond other beings, should not differ from others; whereas this person (Jesus) did not differ in any respect from another, but was, as they (the early Christians) report, little, and ill-favoured, and ignoble." [3]*

Ancient philosopher Celsus' (about the year 178) knowledge that a god is of

impressive beauty does not only tally firstly with logic, reason and philosophy, on the one hand but also with Christian doctrines about the outward appearance of supernatural beings, on the other as far as the liars and deceiver do not have to cant a miscarriage of nature. Consequently, the knowledge of philosopher Celsus even tallies with the Bible (Christians' cant: "Old Testament"), in particular, with Ps 45:2-3 as already demonstrated.

Calling Jesus "little", "ill-favored" and "ignoble" still is a euphemistically translation for the truths about Jesus. He was as little as a dwarf and misshapen as Satan! Mind and physical appearance match each other. Already from this fact, Christian liars', deceivers' and predators' allegations that he was a threat to then Jewish clergies is a wish of the evil corresponding to their credo: Perfidy (thieves' cant: faith) shifts mountains (see: Mt 17:20) and the truths, anyway. Of course, Christian dastards n' bastards desire to discredit philosopher Celsus. However, unlike the Christian predators, philosopher Celsus has no benefit from that what he says whereas Christians dastards perfidiously feign to be "humble" and "modest" but want to pretend "heavenly favor"[\[4\]](#) and "divine benefit"[\[5\]](#), i.e. want to promote their selfishness by their lies.

By fabricating a difference between misshapen and ignoble, early Christian Origen turns out being unable to bear the truths (see: Joh 16:12). First, Christians should present miscarriages of nature that are noble... Do not make me laugh...! However, we are not running short of evidence that the outward appearance corresponds of Jesus matches his Satanic mind.

Early Christian henchman Origen (183-254) desiring to palm this miscarriage of nature off as "god" on the Christian prey (Christians' cant: "sheep"). He more or less confirms that what Celsus reports about the outward appearance of the Christians' top dastard faking to be "god" or "god's son".

There are, indeed, admitted to be recorded some statements respecting the body of Jesus having been "ill-favoured;" not, however, "ignoble," as has been stated, nor is there any certain evidence that he was "little."[\[6\]](#)

Here, Origen confirms that Christians already repressed from the very outset of their sect the Satan-like outward appearance of Jesus. He only knows that Jesus is misshapen. However, already Origen tries concealing ugliness of Christian "god". A noble deformity is the same as a "truthful" liar, i.e. an oxymoron (for morons) or philosophically spoken: a *contradictio in adjecto* (lying by epithets).

Moreover, the most precise evidence we have is about his "height". At present, we just want to mention that the great pretender (of Satan's gender) is a dwarf, i.e. he is one of the "the sick needing a physician" (see: Mt 9:12, Mr 2:17, Lu 5:30, Lu 19:10) to which he feigns to be the doctor...!

Other early Christian scriptures being still available today tally with that what ancient philosopher Celsus reports and some early Christians, for example, Origen (183-254), partly admit and partly play the ignorant one. In "The Acts of John" -- by the way never condemned being an apocryphal scripture![\[7\]](#) - John describes the situation how the great pretender engaged him and his brother James, in the following way:

What does Jesus look like?

***“For when he (Jesus) had chosen Peter and Andrew, which were brethren, he cometh unto me (John) and James my brother, saying: I (Jesus) have need of you, come unto me. And my brother hearing that, said: John, what would this child (Jesus) have that is upon the sea-shore and called us? „[8]***

“The Gospel of Judas” tries canting the ridiculous impostor faking to be “god” by excusing him that he only “appeared” as a child:

***“Often he (Jesus) did not appear to his disciples as himself, but he was found among them as a child.”[9]***

Apparently, the cripple and faking “god” and “superstar” of the “the sick needing a physician” (see: Mt 9:12, Mr 2:17, Lu 5:31-32) feigning cripples to be a role model of human beings suffered from this handicap that much so that numerous excuses were to fabricate. According to “Saint” Ephrem of Syria, the great pretender of Satan's gender or this miscarriage of nature was about of three cubits tall, that are 53 inches that are about 4,5 feet (about are 135 cm), i.e. like a child of about ten or eleven years.[10]

Hark, hark! Christians, do not worry, for his father Satan, he is many kilometers of height...! I.e., even early Christians confirm the information about Jesus ancient philosopher Celsus (about the year 178) accounts to us. The fact that Origen (183-254) already plays the ignorant one about the dwarf or spiteful little devil -- the Christians address and worship as “god”-- demonstrates how embarrassing this knowledge already to early Christians was (when palming a Satan-like miscarriage off nature off as “god” on the ignorant and credulous ones)... However, this by far is not the end of the disgrace of Satan or his Anointed One (Hebrew: Messiah, Greek: Christos) playing “god” on planet Earth... Irenaeus of Lyon (140 -202), bishop of Lyon (France) calls the miscarriage of nature in question to be “weak, bald and without comelinessHark, hark! Christians, do not worry, for his father Satan, he is many kilometers of height...!

I.e., even early Christians confirm the information about Jesus ancient philosopher Celsus (about the year 178) accounts to us. The fact that Origen (183-254) already plays the ignorant one about the dwarf or spiteful little devil -- the Christians address and worship as “god”-- demonstrates how embarrassing this knowledge already to early Christians was (when palming a Satan-like miscarriage of nature off as “god” on the ignorant and credulous ones)... However, this by far is not the end of the disgrace of Satan or his Anointed One (Hebrew: Messiah, Greek: Christos) playing “god” on planet Earth...

”Hark, hark! Christians, do not worry, for his father Satan, he is many kilometers of height...!

I.e., even early Christians confirm the information about Jesus ancient philosopher Celsus (about the year 178) accounts to us. The fact that Origen (183-254) already plays the ignorant one about the dwarf or spiteful little devil -- the Christians address and worship as “god”-- demonstrates how embarrassing this knowledge already to early Christians was (when palming a Satan-like miscarriage of nature off as “god” on the ignorant and credulous ones)... However, this by far is not the end of the disgrace of Satan or his Anointed One (Hebrew: Messiah, Greek: Christos) playing “god” on planet

## What does Jesus look like?

Earth... [11].

However, there is still more to say to this miscarriage of nature the Christians are used to faking as Satan, pardon, "god". Due to their Satanic inspiration, they do not recoil from threatening others with "eternal punishment if they do not kneel down to this creep n' crook of pretending "god".

Early Byzantine Christians knew that Jesus was limping by his left leg. Therefore, in early Byzantinism the left leg on a crucifix is presented shorter than the right one. This is known by the term of "Byzantine Curve".

"Byzantine missionaries know to report that Jesus had a limp in his left leg." [12] Therefore, Byzantines crosses at the feet are slanted. Therefore, one speaks about a "Byzantine Curve." [13]

This information is confirmed by Jewish Sources which are completely independent from the Christian ones. The Jewish scripture of the Sanhedrin (106b) accounts:

*"Balaam the lame man was thirty-three years old..." [14]*

Balaam (verbatim: villain) is a Jewish name for Jesus: "Since Jesus was to be called Balaam..." [15] Gustav Dalman reputed as expert in Jewish scriptures about Jesus among his Christian fellows of the 20th century, adds to this knowledge of the Jews:

*"In addition, there was a Jewish tradition ... that Balaam was lame and blind in one eye." [16]*

Christians like the just quoted Dalman of course find this ridiculous because it is the reverse of that what Christian robotize their prey to believe. However, the truths are no ridiculous but Christians' lying and deceiving. Evidently very early Christian information about Jesus and that one of the Jews tally and belie the Christian shysters as ridiculous liars.

Obviously like former German-Nazi secretary for propaganda, Christian cripple "god" (Jesus) is limping. This more over is the evidence that "Saint Justin" (100-165 C.E.) lies when prevaricating the deformity of Jesus, i.e. Christian sect's "god" by his humiliating biography. Justin Martyr excuses Christian sect's topmost impostor "having become ugly by suffering and humiliation". [17] A humiliated life makes neither a limping one nor a miscarriage of nature!

My goodness, after World War II the Germans stooped to Christian barbarianism and abomination as they kowtowed to the Nazi barbarity, before. They instantly tried escaping from one type of Jesus to another one, respectively, to the original type of the homo scelestus. One prominent Nazi - secretary of propaganda of then German government - also suffered from limping. Now, the German knew the motive why he joined Nazism and was that fanatic. Then they said that due to his clubfoot and the hereby-entailed hatred and vindictiveness, he preached Nazism in Germany... However, never said this about Christians' creep, crook n' club-foot-god... Why not? The answer: Because perfidy requires at least two standards: one for the perfidious and one for the others... Of course, each honest one feels pity with the disabled. One only can encourage and help each handicapped one to put up with his handicap and master its life despite disability. However, it is a completely different matter if a handicapped and misshapen one is fobbed of as (limping) god (of the Christian bog) off or even only a role model of the homo scelestus, pardon, of human being. Ecce homo scelestus (Lo, the paragon of a criminal)! Do not make me laugh!

If a miscarriages of nature wanting to be "god" says that "god" purportedly doted on the failures of nature and was besotted with the criminals (Christians' cant: sinners), it is evident that he first of all promotes the profit, benefit and selfishness of his own and that one of his followers... The promotion of the profit and selfishness of their own, that is already a sufficient reason - even, if not the only one - why Christian Objektivationen of perfidy, i.e. perfidy that has become human bodies, want to conceal the outward appearance of their pretending "god". That is why one can see the power of Christianity as the power of Satan or of the evil in the world. Christianity is the sect in which Satan has become addressed and worshiped as "god". The phrase that we all believe in god does mean that we all believe in (the same) god. There are criminal sects declaring the trinity of Satan, this power of Satan and his Anointed One to be "god"! Everybody wants to pretend being a devotee of "god". However, the question is: What is his god? Is it Money? A golden calf? Is it Satan? Is it Satan's Anointed One etc.?

## What does Jesus look like?

Christians, who address and worship Jesus as "god" do so, due to their infinite perfidy. They are used to feigning "modesty" and "humbleness" but behind their mask, they want to accomplish their selfishness, for instance, acquire their fancied heavenly favor ... (and) divine benefit" (Simon Peter). By faking on good terms with "god", they want to impress the goofs n' fools. The latter shall submit to them, i.e. to those self-appointed "shepherds" with unrestrained lust for power. There is no perfidy, at which they would stop, due to their lust for power!

As said, if the audience is not ignorant, Christians have other Bible passages in their stock to cant that what is not to prevaricate. Christians want to cant the outward appearance of their limping cripple "god" by referring to Isaiah and hereby -- very typical of Christian! -- divorce Bible (Christians' cant: Old Testament) passages from their context. So, early Christian Clement of Alexandria (150 – 215) writes:

*"... the Spirit testifies by Esaias: "And we saw him, and he had no form nor comeliness but his form was mean, inferior to men." [18]*

This Christian shyster compare apples with oranges because this passage does not refer to a god or an impostor faking to be a "god"...! The spirit of Christianity is that they only refer to the Bible (by divorcing those Bible passages from their contexts) in order to bluff others and sham authorization for their unscrupulous and ruthless lust for power!

Christians self-praised shepherds or predators claim to their prey and others that the Bible (Christians' cant: "Old Testament") purportedly provides evidence for their claims (Christians' cant: "dogmas", "doctrines") by predictions. Everything they claim about their dummy of purportedly is predicted by Bible ("Old Testament"). They are very busy to feign what predictions that Jesus -- they want to fob on their prey -- match. However, already Christians' reference to the Bible (Christians' cant: "Old Testament") is mendacity, infamy and perfidy, i.e. foul mouth and foul play. According to the chronicles (Christians' cant: "gospel"), the dummy of Christian "god" utters:

*Joh 10:8 NRSV*

*8 All (i.e. prophets, kings etc.) who came before me (Jesus) are thieves and bandits...*

*Lu 24:25 NRSV*

*25 Then he said to them, "Oh, how foolish you are, and how slow of heart to believe all that the prophets have declared!"*

In view of these utterances, referring to the Bible (Christians' cant: "Old Testament") turns Christians out to be absolutely disingenuous, false and perfidious, in principle and from the very outset. Deception, falsehood, infamy and perfidy of Christian chronicles (Christians' cant: "gospel") even more turn out if one considers that Jesus pretends to fulfill the "rubbish" of those "thieves and bandits":

*Mt 5:17 NRSV*

*17 ¶ „Do not think that I (Jesus) have come to abolish the law or the prophets; I (Jesus) have come not to abolish but to fulfill.*

It is to doubt if there is still something that is able to outdo infamy and perfidy of Christianity!

Although this sect of foul mouth and foul play already has been existing for about two millennia, it never could explain how it honestly can declare a scripture to be "god's word" that is fabricated by "thieves and bandits" and only believed by fools n' goofs...!

"Slow of heart means to be blockish since then time and the Christians dummy of "omniscient god" thought that one learns by heart and not by brain. Therefore, in English there is the expression "learn by heart" and not learn by brain. On those conditions, only "the sick needing a physician" (see: Mt 9:12, Mr 2:17, Lu 5:30-31) can refer to that what the Christians call "Old Testament".

The (Christian) spirit of lies, deceit, perfidy and criminality only looks for Bible passages that are in favor of depravity (while divorcing them from those debunking its abomination). As mentioned,

## What does Jesus look like?

the (Christian) spirit of lie, deceit, perfidy and criminality compares apples with oranges, i.e. applies passages to things to which they expressively do not refer!

The “handsome” “superstar” or “god” turns out to be a cripple, if scrutinized. For each degree of knowledge, respectively, ignorance, Christian liars have their prepared lies and Bible passages, in stock. A prophet as human being can be inferior to other individuals but not a “god”, this even the less if he brags about keeping “all authorities in heaven and on earth” (Mt 28:18)!

Corresponding with his Christian foul mouth, Clement of Alexandria perfidiously wants to cant deformity of Christians' limping “god” by referring to Isa 53:2. Since this passage is not to comply to gods and more over is divorced from Ps 45:1-2, Clement demonstrates Christian tricks of deceiving. As much as the those deceivers present their lies as much one has to answer to them: The Messiah to which Isaiah refers is a human being and can have flaws and defects like human beings have. Clement keeps silent about Ps 45:1-2, because this passage exposes the Christians' "god" as an impostor. So, tricky and perfidious Christians firstly have (not only a different but also a contradictious) answer to each degree of educated people. To the ignorant they fake him as “superstar” to whose beauty and impressiveness even god purportedly cannot compete and to the educated ones the try canting the fact that he indeed can compete with Satan's ugliness or with the ugliness of sin...

It is important to mention that Isaiah's description of the Messiah that stands very separated within the Bible (Christians' cant: “Old Testament”). However, it does not contradict the doctrine pertaining to supernatural beings' beauty and the corresponding ugliness of the baddies. It would contradict if applied to Christian context to which it does not refer.

However, Christians overthrow their own (“absolutely true” and “inerrant”) doctrine in order to keep their mask of perfidy! Therefore, referring to Isaiah to cant the embarrassing and debunking ugliness of Satan or Jesus is falsehood. The latter is very, very typical of Christian! Since a human being can be ugly, ugliness of a human being is no warning of god. Therefore, Isaiah is in line with the doctrine about the outward appearance of supernatural beings but not with those ones of the Christian predators suffering with their lust for selfishness.

For this reason, Jesus as Christian “god” as well is a laughing stocks as well an abomination. Christians know that! They do not resolutely conceal their “god's” outward appearance because of dicing...

Indirectly, the canonized chronicles (thieves' cant: “authentic gospels”) report the shame of Jesus' deformity and ugliness. They report what Jesus read in the eyes of his audience: If the impostor really could work wonders, the faking cripple “god” was the first needing one.

*Lu 4:23 NRSV*

*23 He said to them, “Doubtless you will quote to me this proverb, ‘Doctor, cure yourself!’*

In Capernaum, he wangled the “miracle” of healing a (pretending) paralyzed one (see: Mr 2:1-12). He admits that even at the first glance, there is to see that he needs a wonder. If the impostor could do more but lying and deceiving, if he could work a wonder in favor of his, he would look like a god but not like Satan, respectively, a spiteful little devil. Moreover, here one has to mention that each plus of his fake (wanting to be “god”) corresponds to a minus of reality, i.e. wretchedness of his. Only a wretched desperado, a bundle of Chandala hatred and of Chandala vindictiveness develops that insanity of megalomania pretending to be “god”. Somebody being content with his life does not do so. Already for those reasons, Christian sect resolutely conceals most parts of the biography of Jesus, respectively, replaces truths by lies or cribbing and keeps secret about the outward appearance of his. The “healing” of the paralyzed one easily was and is to trick, for instance, by ordering one of his bastards n' dastards to fake being “paralyzed” and standing up on his command. Even not very skilled magician can trick the just mentioned “wonder” the Christian predators want to fob off as such on their prey! Christian sect wants to palm the feigned “miracles” of Jesus off on its victims as (sham-)evidence of “supernatural authority” of Jesus. Although there are about billions of Christians scriptures, there is not one refuting that all those “miracles” imputed to Jesus could not be wangled even by any other skilled magician.

Of course, Western Catholicism was completely right that a god or god' son has to be “handsome” and of “glory and majesty” as Celsus already avers. Once more, one can see reason and “faith” correspond to each other, if it is not about Christian predators' “heavenly favor”<sup>[19]</sup> and “divine benefit”<sup>[20]</sup>.

There hardly is more apparent an example where one can see how the Christians pick out Bible passages as they need them for their lies, deceit and perfidy, in particular, for their lust to power, i.e. “heavenly favor”<sup>[21]</sup> and “divine benefit”<sup>[22]</sup> or potestas ligandi and et solvendi (the fake of “authority to bind and to loose in heaven what simultaneously is bound and loosed in heaven”)... If Christians deem to bluff successfully with one Bible passage, they pick out the one and if they

## What does Jesus look like?

want to hoodwink the contrary, they pick out a contraire one while blaming their opponents and enemies for divorcing Bible passages from their context. If one thinks that there is perfidy nobody can outdo, the Christian predators, the paragons of clandestine and dastardly criminals, will do so...!

Lie, deceit, perfidy and abomination, at every turn!

Christian perfidy is very crafty. They want to "combine" truths and lies. The mask feigns the lies and the truths is hidden grimace behind the mask. Since, they know that they make themselves a laughing stock when passing this limping miscarriage of nature off as "god" on their prey ("sheep"); they say that Jesus as well is human being as "god". Similarly, they can say that he as well is "prophet" revealing the "truths" as well deceiver passing the selfishness of his off as "truths". In the same way, they can say that he is as well Satan as "god". "God" he is by his mask of perfidy and Satan behind his disguise of "god's clothing". That combination of contradiction is the nature of perfidy. "Truths" are the fake of the perfidious, foul mouth and foul play the reality behind the mask. Perfidious always want to combine "truths" and their lies in order to make the latter unassailable. This would be not that unbearable if the impostor would not palm himself off as "god" by innumerable "miracles" contrived in the chronicles (thieves' cant: "gospels"). First, the sentenced felon starts his foul play by shamming to be able to forgive sins. Afterwards the "divine" impostor says:

*Mt 9:6 NRSV*

*7 But so that you may know that the Son of Man (Jesus) has authority on Earth to forgive sins" — he then said to the paralytic—"Stand up, take your bed and go to your home."*

That is what the contrivances and fakes of "miracles" serve for: to bluff "divinity", which Jesus (the death penalty convict) does not have... If those miracles were no lies, deceits, bluff and hoodwinking, the 53 inches dwarf and limping creep n' crook -- wanting to feign to be like "god"-- first would have healed himself (from limping and his deformity). Then, his fellow dastards n' bastards, i.e. Christians, would not need to conceal his outward appearance or impudently lie about it!

However, there is much more to it than this fake of a magician. Here, we are going to produce evidence that the outward appearance of Jesus is an expression and hereby a warning of god to human beings to refrain from addressing and worshipping him as "god". Providing evidence for that, we do not need to recall Christian doctrines about the context of mind and outward appearance of supernatural beings as we did, before. We did so because we want to measure the delinquent by the measures he and his henchmen give. Since we do not believe him as supernatural being, not to mention divinity, those arguments confute the Christian claims about him but do not concern our views about him.

This is now another line of argumentation with the same result. In order to demonstrate the context of mind and outward appearance of Jesus, we do not need to refer to Christians' doctrine about Satan but only to himself. If he starts a healing by saying that the sins of a concerned sick (paralyzed) one are forgiven and afterwards works the "healing", then it is evident that Jesus deems that physical deficiencies result from moral shortcomings, i.e. from his depravity.

Hence, if the Christian would admit him to be a miscarriage of nature, they would admit addressing and worshipping an evil one as "god", in fact, according to the standards of his own! Therefore, apart from all pretensions that he was "god" etc., Jesus is convinced that his physical defects, i.e. limping, dwarfish height and miscarriage of nature etc., result from his deficiencies of moral and mind, i.e. stem from the evilness of his!

The conversion of earlier parts of Christianity to Islam is not only a commitment to a sincere religion but simultaneously also the conversion from the homo scelestus to the Homo Sapiens.

There is no ridiculousness and perfidy the species of the homo scelestus, i.e. Christians, would recoil!

Therefore, the purported "wonder" of healing a paralyzed one (Mt 9:1-8, Mr 2:1-12, Lu 5:17-26) makes him hoisted by his own petard. Here, he contradicts Christians' "sweet" tears of hypocrisy and perfidy shed all over the world, i.e. Christians' howling, bawling and crying that their "god" that might be as ugly as Satan and sin but purportedly is keeping a very good heart. Are there not Christian pictures of his demonstrating a cursing face of his and below an open heart gulling others into believing that it is about a good heart?

Jesus is convinced that due to his depravity, he is that misshapen and without forgiveness of his sins he will stay so. He deems everything to be a matter of morals. Insofar, we have to recall the quotation from the 35th chapter of "The Gospel of Barnabas": You (god) "unjustly" made me hideous but I (Satan and/or his Christ) are content about it and will annul everything you (god) will do... That is a summary of history of Christianity!

Apart from this topic, the one who allegedly is able to heal others also is able to heal himself, this all the more, if the concerned one shams that all authorities in heaven and on earth was given to him (see: Mt 28:18). Who is able to deceive in this manner, that one knows no bounds of lies and deceit and is absolutely incredible.

Neither Isa 53:2 nor Ps 45:2-3 is to apply to Yehoshua-ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ")

## What does Jesus look like?

but the following passage of Isaiah is to do so.

### **Isa 14:16-17 NRSV**

**16 Those who see you will stare at you, and ponder over you: "Is this the man who made the Earth tremble, who shook kingdoms,**

**17 who made the world like a desert and overthrew its cities, who would not let his prisoners go home?"**

Hand on heart, did you ever guess that a 53 inches misshapen and limping dwarf is planet Earth's most tormentor and terrorist...? Oh yeah, Isaiah correctly foresaw that a creep n' crook became humankind's brute... My word, Christian victims (Christians' cant: "sheep") would kick themselves when knowing what creep and spiteful little devil, they worship as "god"...

The last cited quotation of Isaiah is even more to apply to Jesus since – knowing Isaiah – he claims to be the "Morning Star", i.e., Lucifer, predicted and described by prophet Isaiah (14:11-14):

### **Isa. 14:11-14 JPS**

**7 thy pomp is brought down to the nether-world, and the noise of thy psalteries; the maggot is spread under thee, and the worms cover thee.'**

**12 how art thou fallen from heaven, O day-star, son of the morning! How art thou cut down to the ground, that didst cast lots over the nations!**

**13 and thou celes in thy heart: 'I will ascend into heaven, above the stars of God will I exalt my throne, and I will sit upon the mount of meeting, in the uttermost parts of the north;**

**14 I will ascend above the heights of the clouds; I will be like the Most High.'**

Jesus is proud since this predicted Satan, he and his henchmen (Christians) call "god":

### **Re 22:16 NRSV**

**16 "It is I, Jesus, who sent my angel to you with this testimony for the churches. I (Jesus) am ... the bright morning star.**

Ecce homo scelestus! He even is proud being Satan or Satan's Anointed One (Hebrew: Messiah, Greek: Christos)! Again, one can see correspondence between reason and Christian "belief" if one examines the true face of perfidy behind the mask of Christian "belief".

In contrast with Christian sect's perfidy, prophet Isaiah did not only predict the Jewish Messiah called Immanuel (see: Isa 7:14) being no god. Prophet Isaiah did not only predict that the Messiah Immanuel (a human being!) is ugly and has to suffer, even if he never said that he has to hang and therefore did not contradict De 21:23! Prophet Isaiah also predicted that the "Morning Star" or Lucifer is miscarriage of nature and has suffered a lot. Prophet Isaiah did not say – as Christians perfidiously pretend -- that suffering always was a good matter and only good people have to suffer. In addition, Prophet Isaiah said that nobody would deem Lucifer to be able to perpetrate that criminality, he does. Is not prophet Isaiah right?

Getting rid off his physical deficiencies and deformity, he could not feign but only do by a real wonder. This was the border of his fake and the frontier of his lies and deceptions.

Oh my god, a miscarriage of nature as "god" or "god's son"? What honest one really can doubt that Christianity is the sect addressing and worshiping the evil or Satan as "god"? What honest one really can doubt that Christianity perpetrated the power of the evil or of Satan in the world?

Pertaining Christianity one has to differ between the predators (Christians' cant: "shepherds" and the prey (Christians' cant: "sheep"). Western citizens by far are not that Christian as people in Islamic countries are Muslims. The Christian predators are not able to blot out many doubts people even in Christianly conditioned countries have about their fellow citizen that are committed to Christian sects. The best arguments against the Christians deliver Christian fanatics, i.e., the robotizers to the robotized ones. One can esteem that at most twenty per cent of the population in Western countries are convinced Christians. Calling all the people of Christianly conditioned countries to be Christians is an error or lie. The large majority of those countries, more or less are Christians by that what sociologists call "structural power", i.e. they have to run with the pack or they get troubles. That is why the pope soon rolled back when insulting Islam, a few years ago in Germany. His attack on Islam was a balloon of test to see if Western people will follow a new call for crusade on Islam. He realized that the large majority of Western people did not want to join his war

## What does Jesus look like?

on Islam, any more. As mentioned, the best arguments against Christianity are the Christians. The large majority of Western people secretly suspects those, who really are committed to Christianity, to steal that by (Christian) lies and deceits what they are lacking in their life because they are unable to obtain it by fair play. That is not only a theory but reality! Most of those who are Christian fanatics have been shortcoming of body and mind, comparably to their "god" Jesus, even if one cannot realize them at the first glance. That is what why Jesus calls his followers "the sick needing a physician" (see: Mt 9:12, Mr 2:17, Lu 5:30-31). I.e. he deliberately makes a negative selection of individuals. This again reminds us of the 35th chapter of "The Gospel of Barnabas". Most people even in Western countries do not want to be "the sick needing a physician", and they are not! The "god" of those "the sick needing a physician" is no exception from those, who address and worship him as "god". However, most people do not know how to handle that and thus Christians are able to continue their foul mouth and foul play in Western countries. Nevertheless, even in Christianly conditioned countries, there is a clandestine resentment on those "the sick needing a physician", "normal" citizens have to revere but the majority secretly despise. Cutting a long story short: Christian "god" (Jesus) is one of those who address and worship him as "god". He obviously even outdoes those addressing and worshiping him as "god" regarding defects, shortcomings and deficiencies. He is the first one wanting to trick, shift and grift that by foul play (lies, deceits and crimes) what he cannot obtain by fair play.

Unlike Judaism and Islam, Christianity is no idea how to order societies for a common wealth fare, e.g. by Ten Commandments (Judaism) or the Sharia in Islam. Christianity only is unscrupulous and ruthless warfare of the last one on the first, by stopping at nothing even not at faking a "god" of its own! They do so just because the last cannot bear to be inferior to their fellow human beings. Christianity needs a "god" of its own to fake this warfare of the last one on the first to be Satan's, pardon, "god's will". Christian "god" is a "weapon" of foul mouth and foul play (tricking that what the Christians cannot obtain by fair play). Therefore, the outward appearance of Jesus only is a surprise to those, who have fallen for the Christian traps and do not know what is going on behind the camouflage or mask of Christian perfidy. Oh yeah:

*Mt 24:28 NKJV*

*28 "For wherever the carcass is, there the eagles will be gathered together.*

And wherever there are those who badly turned out by nature, there is the negative selection of "the sick needing a physician" (see: Mt 9:12, Mr 2:17, Lu 5:31-32) i.e. Christians. For this reason, the Christians contrived, respectively, pretend "all power, signs, lying wonders, and every kind of wicked deception" (2Th 2:9-10). They want to filch that -- by the fake of a "god" of its own-- what they cannot obtain by fair play. Therefore, Christianity necessarily is foul mouth and foul play, i. e. criminality, from the very outset. So, the "the sick needing a physician" (see: Mt 9:12, Mr 2:17, Lu 5:30-31) can pose as "salt of the earth" (Mt 5:13) or "light of the world" (Mt 5:14), i.e., the last as the "first ones" and command those to which they in fact cannot hold a candle.

*Oh yes, I am the great Pretender,  
I know Christians are of Satan's gender!*

*I am from the Christian bog!  
Putting the truths behind the fog!*

*Perfidy shifts mountains and truths, anyway!  
That is what I am determined to do, all the way!*

*I am the most failure,  
So I filch what I lack by nature!*

*To my Christian henchmen I appeal,  
For success, my deformity is to conceal!*

*I am the Christians' monkey-god,  
But I cannot hold a candle even to a dog!*

*Successfully I am pretending to be god,  
Followed by wretched and poor sods!*

*That is my true nature of trinity,  
Deformity, hatred and depravity!*

**Thirdly:** Summary of the Reasons for which Christians conceal, respectively, lie about his outward Appearance of Jesus

## What does Jesus look like?

Christian clergies made the outward appearance one of the most kept secret (“mystery”) of Christian sects, predominately for the following reasons in order to keep their foul play working:

**Firstly**, even according to their own doctrines the outward appearance of supernatural beings is not insignificant. On the contrary, the outward appearance reveals the moral, respectively, the evil nature of supernatural beings. Consequently, angels are beauty because they are good-natured. Satan is ugly because he is evil. Therefore, in view of the fact that Jesus is claimed to be god the ugliness of his reveals his depraved nature and hereby that one of Christian sect. Christian clergies predominately want to hide addressing and worshiping Satan or his Anointed One (Hebrew: Messiah, Greek: Christos) as “god”. Therefore, Christians honestly cannot refer to the Bible (Christians’ cant: “Old Testament”) to excuse ugliness of Jesus. The Messiah of Judaism is no god. Nevertheless, Ps 45:2-3 predicts him as impressively handsome, the contrary of that what Jesus is.

**Secondly**, apart from Christians’ doctrines about the relationship of supernatural beings and their outward appearance, Jesus takes the view that physical deficiencies of human beings are due to depravity of theirs. Therefore, he first feigns to forgive sins before starting “miracles” of “healing”. Consequently, according to Jesus’ own views, deformity and ugliness of his is due to his evilness. Prevaricating and excusing Jesus’ deformity and ugliness first of all mean convicting the “omniscient” and “inerrant” Christian “god” to be very mistaken...

**Thirdly**, Jesus and the self-appointed Christian shepherds lie that god purportedly dotes on the all the failures and miscarriages of nature, the disabled or the sick needing a physician” (see: Mt 9:12, Mr 2:17, Lu 5:31-32). By resolutely concealing that Christian “god” is a miscarriage of nature, the Christian clergies -- mostly are inferior to those they feign and demand to rule -- conceal that as well they as Jesus (Jesus “Christ”) ruthlessly and unscrupulously promote the selfishness (Simon Peter: “heavenly favors”[17] and “divine benefit”[18]) of his own. Christian predators want to hide that they promote the camouflaged profit, secret benefit and clandestine selfishness of their own when lying that god allegedly is besotted with the failures of nature. If the Christian clergies’ “god” is a miscarriage of nature, then he models to his Christian henchmen how filch that by foul mouth and foul play what one cannot obtain by fair play. This even more is the case when considering that even according to Christian doctrines, Satan severed from god because he did not agree who was first and who was inferior to the first . Hereby Satan turns out as first ever Christian. Therefore, one can argue if at all there is a difference between Satan and Jesus “Christ” the Christian fob off as “god” on their prey. This also would mean that Christian sect is Satanism witnessing the power of the evil of Satan in the world. By the way, this explains why Christian sect turns out as planet Earth’s most organized crime, in particular, crime of murder.

**Fourthly**, by concealing that Jesus is a miscarriage of nature, they Christians want to divert attention from the fact that Jesus could not work any miracle. Knowing this miscarriage of nature and all the “wonders” -- those unscrupulous foul mouths purport about him -- would make even Christian prey put the same question, which Jesus read in the eyes of his audience: “Physician, cure yourself” (Lu 4:23).

**Fifthly**, beautification of this spiteful little devil starts in the third century. The more distances to location and time when, respectively, where Jesus lived, the more the Christian predators ruthlessly and unscrupulously lie and deceive about the deformity of their limping “god”. Therefore, Catholic Christian sect started earlier lying and deceiving about Jesus’ ugliness than Eastern Orthodox Christian sects. Finally, it is about concealing why Jesus feigns the ilk of his, i.e., the failures, miscarriages of nature, the disabled, criminal and the sick needing a physician (see: Mt 9:12, Mr 2:17, Lu 5:31-32), to be a role model of homo scelestus, pardon, of human beings...

**Sixthly**, Christian clergies finally know that their deceit about the outward appearance of Jesus stands as pars pro toto (as part for the whole) of Christians’ general deception. Christian predators know that everybody can infer that people -- who firstly are able to conceal and secondly who can turn the facts about the outward appearance of one of the most known individuals of planets Earth into the contrary -- are able to perpetrate each other deceit on the world. Indeed, it was harder to conceal, respectively, turn the outward appearance of Jesus into the reverse than to lie his purported execution by hanging. Already for this reason they play down their deceit as unimportant. They know that nobody will believe them when turning out as such liars, in this case. Until today, nobody could confute that those who are able to conceal and reverse the truths about the outward appearance of one of the most known individual -- almost as known as the notion of Satan -- are ruthless, unscrupulous, and skilled enough as to establish each other deceit on their conspecifics!

[PREVIOUS](#) | [HOME](#) | [TABLE OF CONTENTS](#) | [NEWS](#) | [HOW JT HE CROSS DECEPTION](#) | [CHRIST AND ANTI-CHRIST](#) | [THE \(CRIBBED\) STAR OF BETHLEHEM](#) | [APHORISM](#) | [MY STUFF](#) | [NEXT](#) |

## What does Jesus look like?

© 2007 [HANS HENNING ATROTT](#) - all rights reserved. - Reproduction only for private use and/or purposes of studying and archiving by research and library institutes - otherwise without permission strictly prohibited. This site is still under construction.

### Annotations:



- 
- [1] ACTS OF JOHN, from "The apocryphal New Testament", M.R. James-translation and notes, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1924, scanned and edited by Joshua Williams, Northwest Nazarene College, 1995, § 89, <http://wesley.nnu.edu/noncanon/acts/actjohn.htm>
- [2] The Gospel of Barnabas, As Edited and Translated by Lonsdale and Laura Ragg (Clarendon Press, Oxford, England, 1907), Published to the web by Hans Henning Atrott, Chapter 35, on: <http://www.geocities.com/gospelofbarnabas/verbatim.htm>
- [3] Celsus in "Eight books of Origen contra Celsus", book VI, chapter 75, (English translation) quoted from FRAGMENTS FROM ORIGEN AGAINST CELSUS on: [http://www.webcom.com/gnosis/library/orig\\_cc6.htm](http://www.webcom.com/gnosis/library/orig_cc6.htm)
- [4] Acts of Peter, From "The Apocryphal New Testament", M.R. James, Translation and Notes Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1924, Chapter II. on: [http://wesley.nnu.edu/biblical\\_studies/noncanon/acts/actpete.htm](http://wesley.nnu.edu/biblical_studies/noncanon/acts/actpete.htm) Last call 09/06/2006
- [5] Ibidem
- [6] Origen "Eight books of Origen contra Celsus", book VI, chapter 75, English version taken from: The Gnostics Society library on: [http://www.webcom.com/gnosis/library/orig\\_cc6.htm](http://www.webcom.com/gnosis/library/orig_cc6.htm)
- [7] The "Decretum Gelasianum" as "evidence" for that what at least in Catholicism counts as "apocryphal" does not name "The Acts of John". Only indirectly one could infer a respective condemnation if one attributes this scripture to Leucius. About Leucius the "Decretum" says: "(We condemn) ... all the books which Leucius the disciple of the devil made." Ernst von Dobschuetz, Texte und Untersuchungen zur Geschichte der Altchristlichen Literatur 38.4 (1912), THE 'DECRETUM GELASIANUM DE LIBRIS RECIPIENDIS ET NON RECIPIENDIS', electronically published on: [http://www.tertullian.org/decretum\\_eng.htm](http://www.tertullian.org/decretum_eng.htm), last call on: 05/20/2008 - However, it is to question if the authors of the "Decretum Gelasianum" attributed "The Acts of John" to Leucius since those scriptures that are attributed to a very Christian authority are listed by their respective names and not by a presumed or actual authorship.
- [8] ACTS OF JOHN, from "The apocryphal New Testament", M.R. James-translation and notes, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1924, scanned and edited by Joshua Williams, Northwest Nazarene College, 1995, § 88, <http://wesley.nnu.edu/noncanon/acts/actjohn.htm>
- [9] The Gospel of Judas, Translated by Rodolphe Kasser, Marvin Meyer, and Gregor Wurst, in collaboration with François Gaudard, ed. by The National Geographic Society, 2006, on: <http://www.nationalgeographic.com/lostgospel/pdf/GospelofJudas.pdf>, last call on: 09/14/2007
- [10] See: Walter Vogt, Gestalt und Aussehen Jesu (figure and outward appearance of Jesus) Zurich aus der Zeitschrift (Journal) 'Wegbegleiter' (companion), Nr. 2/2001, p. 59-61, posted to the web on: <http://www.wegbegleiter.ch/wegbeg/jesusaus.htm>, last call 05/08/2008 - Translated from the German by my own. German text, „Laut dem heiligen Ephrem, dem Syrer, mass Jesus nur drei Ellen, also etwa 135 cm.“
- [11] Vogt, Ibid. Translation from the German by my own, German text: „schwach, schmucklos und ohne Ansehen“
- [12] Ibid. Translation from the German by my own, German text: „Byzantinische Missionare wissen zu berichten, dass Jesus am linken Bein hinkte.“
- [13] Ibid. Translation from the German by my own, Vogt writes: "Das Fussholz am Kreuz liegt oft schräg. Man nennt es deshalb die „byzantinische Kurve.“ (The wood at the feet often is slanted. Therefore one calls it „Byzantine

## What does Jesus look like?

Curve“).

[14] Sanhedrin (106b) quoted according to Gustav Dalman, *Jesus Christ In The Talmud, Midrash, And The Zohar*, without naming a year, on: <http://www.saltshakers.com/lm/index.htm#dalman>, Last call on: 07/30/2007

[15] Dalman, *ibidem*

[16] See: Sanhedrin 105a, quoted according to: Dalman, *ibidem*

[17] Justinus Martyrus (ca. 100-165 C.E.) quoted accorsing to Vogt, *ibidem*, Translation from the German by my own. German text: „... hässlich geworden durch Leiden und Demütigung

[18] Clement of Alexandria, *The Paedagogus (The Instructor)*, book III,chapter 1,<http://www.newadvent.org/fathers/02093.htm>, last call on: 12/04/2007

[19] *Acts of Peter*, *ibidem*

[20] *ibidem*

[21] *ibidem*

[22] *ibidem*

