

III. THE DEMAND REQUIREMENT WAS PROPERLY EXCUSED, AND THE MOTION TO DISMISS COUNT II SHOULD BE DENIED.....	27
A. A Majority Of The Board Is Interested Or Lacks Independence.....	27
1. Ocean Spray's Board Possesses A Financial Benefit Not Conferred On All Shareholders Equally.	28
2. Any Argument By Defendants That The Challenged Actions Have The Same Effect On All Shareholders Is Erroneous And Fails To Take Into Account The Differences Between Ocean Spray And Conventional Corporations.	30
B. The Refusal To Suspend The Equity Quota Or To Adopt The Equal Opportunity Growing Dispensation Is So Egregious And Unsound As To Excuse Demand.....	39
IV. COUNT III ASSERTS A DIRECT CLAIM FOR BREACH OF THE FIDUCIARY DUTIES OF LOYALTY, CARE AND GOOD FAITH, AND THEREFORE THE MOTION TO DISMISS SHOULD BE DENIED.....	40
A. Count III Asserts Direct Claims.....	40
B. The Well-Pleaded Allegations State A Claim For Relief.	42
V. COUNT IV ASSERTS A PROPER CLAIM UNDER SECTION 225, AND THEREFORE THE MOTION TO DISMISS SHOULD BE DENIED.....	42
VI. COUNT V ASSERTS PROPER CLAIMS FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, AND THEREFORE THE MOTION TO DISMISS SHOULD BE DENIED.	44
VII. COUNT VI ASSERTS PROPER CLAIMS FOR THE BREACH OF IMPLIED OBLIGATIONS OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING, AND THEREFORE THE MOTION TO DISMISS SHOULD BE DENIED.....	45
VIII. COUNT VII ASSERTS PROPER CLAIMS FOR COMMON LAW MISREPRESENTATION, AND THEREFORE THE MOTION TO DISMISS SHOULD BE DENIED.	48
CONCLUSION.....	50