

Seminar 9 (Suggested Solution)

1. a. mean = $83/11 = 7.55$

8	1	2	4	10	12	37
7	8	10	10	11	46	

- 2.

X_i	Weighting (X_i)	$X_i W_i$
70	0.2	14
65	0.2	13
90	0.2	18
85	0.4	34
	1.0	79

- 3.

Hours studying per week	Class mid-point (m_i)	Frequency (f_i)	$m_i f_i$
0	0	5	0
1-5	3	96	288
6-10	8	57	456
11-15	13	25	325
16-20	18	11	198
more than 20	23 / 25.5 / 30.5	6	138 / 153 / 183
		200	1405/1420/1450

mean = **7.025 / 7.1 / 7.25**

There is less than 5% variation in the mean value.

In **normal** case, only 5 –10% of the total frequency would fall into the open-ended class, so the different estimation of the class mid-point doesn't have too much effect on the mean.

4. a. mean = $245/20 = 12.25$

b.

3	4	5	6	8	8	9	10	10	11
11	14	14	14	15	15	15	20	25	28

mode = 14, and 15

c. median = $(20 + 1)/2$ th observation
= 10.5 th observation
= $(11 + 11)/2 = 11$

d. midrange = $(3 + 28)/2$
= 15.5

e. $Q_1 = (20 + 1)/4$ th observation
= 5.25 th observation $\cong 5$ th observation
= 8

f. $Q_2 = \text{median} = 11$

g. $Q_3 = 3(20 + 1)/4$ th observation
= 15.75 th observation $\cong 16$ th observation
= 15

h. Midhinge = $(Q_1 + Q_3)/2$
= $(8 + 15)/2 = 11.5$

5. *Advantages of Midrange:*

The midrange is easy to understand and to calculate.

Disadvantages of Midrange:

- i. It is *heavily influenced by extreme values*.
- ii. *Open-ended distributions have no midrange* because no “highest” or “lowest” value exists in the open-ended class.
- iii. The midrange is *less stable of measures*. for example, in repeated samples taken from the some sources, the midrange will exhibit more variation from sample to sample than the other measures.

Advantage of Midhinge:

Although they are more complicated to calculate than the midrange, they *ignore extreme values by using only the middle half of the data*. Thus they have a distinct advantages over the range, which is *affected by the extreme values*.

Disadvantage of Midhinge:

Like the midrange, the midhinge is *based on only two values* from the data set.