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This paper started from the fact that Möbius transformations are sharply 3 -transitive, and a vague recollection that there are sporadic simple groups which are multiply transitive (the Mathieu groups). Most - if not all - of the contents is not new, I think this is 19th century math. It was a nice exercise though, and got me playing with permutations, which is a lot of fun.

## 1 Definitions

Let $S_{n}$ be the permutation group, acting on $X=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Let $G \subset S_{n}$ be a subgroup.

Definition 1.1 $G$ is called $k$-transitive if for every $k$ non repeating elements $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k} \in X$ and every $k$ non repeating elements $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{k} \in X$, there is a permutation $g \in G$ such that $g\left(x_{i}\right)=y_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k$.

Proposition 1.2 $G$ is $k$-transitive if and only if for every $k$ non repeating elements $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{k} \in X$ there is a permutation $g \in G$ such that $g(i)=y_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k$.

Proof: Assume $G$ is $k$-transitive. Let $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{k} \in X$ be $k$ non repeating elements. Choose $x_{i}=i$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$. Using definition 1.1 there exists a permutation $g \in G$ such that $g(i)=g\left(x_{i}\right)=y_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k$.

Assume the existence condition in the proposition. Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k} \in$ $X$ and $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{k} \in X$ be two sets of non repeating elements. By our assumption there exist two permutations $g_{1}, g_{2} \in G$ such that $g_{1}(i)=x_{i}$ and $g_{2}(i)=y_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k$. The permutation $g=g_{2} g_{1}^{-1} \in G$ satisfies $g\left(x_{i}\right)=g_{2} g_{1}^{-1}\left(x_{i}\right)=g_{2}(i)=y_{i}$.

Definition 1.3 $G$ is called sharply $k$-transitive if $G$ is $k$-transitive, and for every $k$ non repeating elements $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k} \in X$ if $g_{1}, g_{2} \in G$ satisfy $g_{1}\left(x_{i}\right)=g_{2}\left(x_{i}\right)$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k$, then $g_{1}=g_{2}$.

Proposition 1.4 $G$ is sharply $k$-transitive if and only if for every $k$ non repeating elements $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{k} \in X$ there exists a unique permutation $g \in$ $G$ such that $g(i)=y_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k$.

Proof: Assume $G$ is sharply $k$-transitive. Let $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{k} \in X$ be $k$ non repeating elements. Using proposition 1.2 there exists a permutation $g \in G$ such that $g(i)=y_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k$. If $g^{\prime} \in G$ also satisfies this condition, then using definition 1.3 we have $g=g^{\prime}$, so $g$ is unique.

Assume the existence condition in the proposition. By proposition 1.2 $G$ is $k$-transitive. Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k} \in X$ be a set of non repeating elements. Suppose $g_{1}, g_{2} \in G$ satisfy $g_{1}\left(x_{i}\right)=g_{2}\left(x_{i}\right)$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k$. Applying $g_{2}^{-1}$ to both sides we get $g_{2}^{-1} g_{1}\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k$. By $k$-transitivity there exist a permutation $g \in G$ such that $g(i)=x_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k$. The permutation $h=g^{-1} g_{2}^{-1} g_{1} g \in G$ satisfies

$$
h(i)=g^{-1} g_{2}^{-1} g_{1} g(i)=g^{-1} g_{2}^{-1} g_{1}\left(x_{i}\right)=g^{-1}\left(x_{i}\right)=i
$$

The identity permutation $e \in G$ also satisfies $e(i)=i$ for all $i$. By our uniquness assumption $e=h=g^{-1} g_{2}^{-1} g_{1} g$, so $g_{1}=g_{2}$.

## 2 Basic properties

Proposition 2.1 If $G \subset S_{n}$ is sharply $k$-transitive then $|G|=\frac{n!}{(n-k)!}$
Proof: By proposition 1.4 every choice of $k$ non repeating elements $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{k} \in X$ defines a unique permutation $g \in G$ such that $g(i)=y_{i}$. The size of $G$ is just the number of these choices, which is $\frac{n!}{(n-k)!}$.

Proposition $2.2 S_{n}$ is sharply $n$-transitive and sharply $(n-1)$-transitive.
Proof: Every choice of $n$ non repeating elements $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n} \in X$ defines a unique permutation $g \in S_{n}$ such that $g(i)=y_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. This shows that $S_{n}$ is sharply $n$-transitive, using proposition 1.4.

For every choice of $n-1$ non repeating elements $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n-1} \in X$ we can define $g(i)=y_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n-1$. There exists a unique value $y \in X$
not in $\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}\right\}$, and $g(n)=y$ is the unique extension to a permutation $g \in S_{n}$. Again using proposition $1.4 S_{n}$ is sharply $n-1$-transitive.

Proposition 2.3 $A_{n} \subset S_{n}$ is sharply ( $n-2$ )-transitive.
Proof: For every choice of $n-2$ non repeating elements $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n-2} \in X$ we can define $g(i)=y_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n-2$. There exist two values $a, b \in X$ not in $\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-2}\right\}$, and there are two possible extensions to a permutation: $g_{1}(n-1)=a$ and $g_{1}(n)=b$ or $g_{2}(n-1)=b$ and $g_{2}(n)=a$. Since $g_{1}=g_{2}(n-1 n)$, exactly one of these is an even permutation. and this is the unique extension to a permutation $g \in A_{n}$. Using proposition 1.4 $A_{n}$ is sharply $n-2$-transitive.

Proposition 2.4 let $G \subset S_{n}$ be sharply $k$-transitive, and let $g \in G$.

1. If $g$ stabilizes $k$ elements $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \in X$ then $g$ is the identity permutation.
2. Suppose $g \in G$ has $n_{l}$ cycles of length $l$ with $n_{l} l \geq k$. Then $g$ is of order $l$ and all cycle lengths are divisors of $l$.

Proof:

1. The identity permutation stabilizes all elements in $X$. By definition $1.3 g$ is the unique permutation in $G$ that stabilizes $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$, so $g$ is the identity.
2. The permutation $g^{l}$ stabilizes all elements in $X$ that are in cycles of length dividing $l$. Since there are at least $n_{l} l \geq k$ of these, $g^{l}$ must be the identity by part 1 , and all cycle lengths of $g$ divide $l$. The order of $g$ is then exactly $l$ since $g$ contains cycles of length $l$.

Proposition 2.5 Let $G \subset S_{n}$ be sharply $k$-transitive with $k>1$, and let $H \subset G$ be the subgroup stabilizing $n$. Then, restricting to $1, \ldots, n-1, H$ is a sharply $(k-1)$-transitive subgroup of $S_{n-1}$.

Proof: By definition 1.3, for every $k-1$ non repeating elements $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k-1} \in$ $X$ different from $n$ there exists a unique $g \in G$ such that $g(i)=y_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k-1$, and $g(n)=n . g$ is in $H$ since $g$ stabilizes $n$. Restricting to $1, \ldots, n-1$ proposition 1.4 shows that $H \subset S_{n-1}$ is sharply $(k-1)$-transitive.

## 3 Sharply 1-transitive groups

The simplest example of a sharply 1-transitive group in $S_{n}$ is the cyclic group of order $n$ generated by an $n$-cycle, say $(12 \ldots n)$. The smallest example of a sharply 1-transitive group which is not cyclic, is the four group in $A_{4}$, consisting of $\{e,(12)(34),(13)(24),(14)(23)\}$. In fact, the construction used in he proof of Cauchy's theorem (that all finite groups are isomorphic to permutation groups) provides more examples of sharply 1-transitive groups.

Let $G$ be a finite group of order $n$ with elements $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$. For any element $x_{i}$ define the left multiplication operator $L_{x_{i}}$ by $L_{x_{i}}\left(x_{j}\right)=x_{i} x_{j}$. $L_{x_{i}}$ is 1-1 since $L_{x_{i}}\left(x_{j}\right)=L_{x_{i}}\left(x_{k}\right)$ implies $x_{i} x_{j}=x_{i} x_{k}$ and then $x_{j}=x_{k} . L_{x_{i}}$ is also onto since $L_{x_{i}}\left(x_{i}^{-1} x_{j}\right)=x_{i} x_{i}^{-1} x_{j}=x_{j}$ (a finiteness argument can also be applied). This shows that $L_{x_{i}}$ are permutations in $S_{n}$.

The map $x_{i} \mapsto L_{x_{i}}$ is a group homomorphism $G \rightarrow S_{n}$ since $L_{x_{i}} L_{x_{j}}(a)=$ $x_{i} x_{j} a=L_{x_{i} x_{j}}(a)$. This homomorphism is injective, since if $L_{x_{i}}=L_{x_{j}}$ then when applying on $e$ we get $x_{i}=L_{x_{i}}(e)=L_{x_{j}}(e)=x_{j}$. This shows that $G$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of order $n$ in $S_{n}$. Moreover, this image is sharply 1-transitive: using proposition 1.4, since $L_{x_{i}}(e)=x_{i}$ the permutation is determined once the image of $e$ is known.

We can also show that all sharply 1-transitive groups are the result of the above construction. Suppose $G \subset S_{n}$ is sharply 1-transitive. Let $x_{i}$ be the unique element in $G$ such that $x_{i}(1)=i$. Using left multiplication as above we get $L_{x_{i}}\left(x_{j}\right)=x_{i} x_{j}$, and the index is determined by $x_{i} x_{j}(1)=x_{i}(j)$. Thus $x_{i}=L_{x_{i}}$ as elements of $S_{n}$ under this construction.

## 4 Sharply 2- and 3-transitive groups

As mentioned in the beginning, it is well known that Möbius transformations over finite fields are examples of sharply 3 -transitive groups. We describe this example and use it to find sharply 2 -transitive groups.

### 4.1 Möbius transformations

Let $q$ be a prime power, $F$ a field of size $q$. The group $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)$ of invertible 2 by 2 matrices over $F$ acts on $F \cup \infty$ by

$$
g(x)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)(x)=\frac{a x+b}{c x+d}
$$

These are the Möbius transformations. If $c=0$ then $g(\infty)=\infty$, otherwise $g(\infty)=\frac{a}{c}$ and $g\left(-\frac{d}{c}\right)=\infty$. It is well known that this defines a group action.

Proposition 4.1 This group action is 3-transitive.
Proof: Let $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ be any three different element of $F \cup \infty$. The matrix $g_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ satisfies $g_{1}(\infty)=\alpha$, so $g_{1}^{-1}\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}=\left\{\infty, \beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right\}$. If $\alpha=\infty$ we use the identity for $g_{1}$. The matrix $g_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & \beta^{\prime} \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ satisfies $g_{2}(\infty)=$ $\infty$ and $g_{2}(0)=\beta^{\prime}$, so $g_{2}^{-1} g_{1}^{-1}\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}=\left\{\infty, 0, \gamma^{\prime \prime}\right\}$. Note that both $\beta^{\prime}$ and $\gamma^{\prime \prime}$ are not $\infty$, and both can be recovered explicitly from $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$. The matrix $g_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\gamma^{\prime \prime} & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ satisfies $g_{3}(\infty)=\infty, g_{3}(0)=0$ and $g_{3}(1)=\gamma^{\prime \prime}$, so $g_{3}^{-1} g_{2}^{-1} g_{1}^{-1}\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}=\{\infty, 0,1\}$. The inverse element $g_{1} g_{2} g_{3}$ shows that the action is 3 -transitive (proposition 1.2).

Proposition 4.2 The only Möbius transformation that stabilizes 0, 1 and $\infty$ is the identity.

Proof: Let $g=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$ be such a transformation. From $g(\infty)=\infty$ we have $c=0$, and from $g(0)=0$ we have $b=0$. The condition $g(1)=1$ now implies $a=d$ and $g$ is a scalar matrix. The transformation defined by $g$ is then $g(z)=\frac{a z}{a}=z$ so $g$ is the identity.

The scalar matrices define the identity transformation, so the group of Möbius transformations is actually $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}(F)$.

Proposition 4.3 The action of $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}(F)$ on $F \cup \infty$ is sharply 3-transitive.
Proof: Suppose $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ are two Möbius transformations that satisfy $g_{i}(\infty)=y_{1}, g_{i}(0)=y_{2}, g_{i}(1)=y_{3}$. The transformation $g_{1}^{-1} g_{2}$ then stabilizes 0,1 and $\infty$. Proposition 4.2 shows that $g_{1}=g_{2}$. This uniqueness,
together with 3 -transitivity (proposition 4.1), completes the proof by proposition 1.4.

This section shows that there is an infinite family of sharply 3 -transitive groups, acting on $q+1$ elements where $q$ is a prime power. Except for a few small cases all these groups are simple (NO THEY'RE NOT !!, see note in the question section).

- For $q=2$ this group is sharply 3-transitive in $S_{3}$ so it is isomorphic to $S_{3}$.
- For $q=3$ this group is sharply 3 -transitive in $S_{4}$ so it is isomorphic to $S_{4}$.
- For $q=4$ this group is sharply 3 -transitive in $S_{5}$ so it is isomorphic to $A_{5}$. It is also simple.


### 4.2 Affine groups

Using the group of Möbius tranformations and proposition 2.5, the $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}(F)$ subgroup stabilizing $\infty$ is sharply 2 -transitive. Matrices stabilizing $\infty$ satisfy $c=0$, these are the affine transformations $g(x)=a x+b$. This shows an infinite family of sharply 2 -transitive groups, acting on $q$ elements where $q$ is a prime power. All these groups are not simple, since the subgroup of translations $g(x)=x+b$ is normal.

### 4.3 Questions

I raise the following questions as a reminder to myself, what more I should try to understand. These are not open questions, I'm sure at least most of the answers are known to the experts of the field.

- Are there other sharply 2 -transitive groups?
- Are there other sharply 3 -transitive groups?
- Can you prove $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}(F)$ is simple directly from transitivity? Does this extend to all multiply transitive groups? (Answer: NO. In fact, I was wrong here, since $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(F)$ is simple and is normal of index 2 in $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}(F)$ for odd characteristic)


## 5 Sharply $k$-transitive groups, $k \geq 4$

We saw in section 2 that $S_{n}$ and $A_{n}$ are infinite families of sharply transitive groups of degree $n, n-1$ and $n-2$. In this section we will show that there are almost no other sharply $k$-transitive groups with $k \geq 4$.

Let $G \subset S_{n}$ be a sharply 4-transitive group. We assume $n \geq 6$, excluding $S_{4}$ and $S_{5}$. We will now prove that $n$ is either 6 or 11 . The idea is to study the elements of order 2 in $G$.

A permutation of order 2 has cycles of order 2 (at least one), cycles of order 1 (stationary points), and no other cycles. We would like to determine the exact cycle structure of an element of order 2 in $G$.

Proposition 5.1 There is a unique element $g_{0} \in G$ containing the cycles (12)(3)(4), $g_{0}$ is an element of order 2. If $n$ is even then $g_{0}$ has exactly 2 stationary points and $\frac{n-2}{2}$ cycles of order 2. If $n$ is odd then $g_{0}$ has exactly 3 stationary points and $\frac{n-3}{2}$ cycles of order 2. Moreover, all elements of order 2 in $G$ have the same cycle structure as $g_{0}$.

Proof: The cycles (12)(3)(4) are equivalent to the condition that the ordered 4 -tuple ( $1,2,3,4$ ) is mapped to the ordered 4 -tuple ( $2,1,3,4$ ). By definitions 1.1 and 1.3 there is a unique $g_{0} \in G$ satisfying this condition. The element $g_{0}^{2}$ contains the cycles $(1)(2)(3)(4)$, mapping the ordered 4 -tuple ( $1,2,3,4$ ) to itself, same as the identity element. By definition $1.3 g_{0}^{2}$ is the identity, so $g_{0}$ is of order 2. The same argument shows that $g_{0}$ can have at most 3 stationary points, since it is obviously not the identity. The definition of $g_{0}$ includes two stationary points, so the number is 2 or 3 . The number of stationary points is even iff $n$ is even, since all other cycles are of order 2 . Therefore for even $n$ there are 2 stationary points, and for odd $n$ there are 3 . The number of cycles of order 2 follows immediately.

Suppose that $g \in G$ is any element of order 2 . Again $g$ has at most 3 stationary points, and at least 2 cycles of order 2 , since $n \geq 6$. We choose two such cycles $(a b)(c d)$. The element $g$ maps the ordered 4 -tuple $(a, b, c, d)$ to the ordered 4 -tuple ( $b, a, d, c$ ), and by definitions 1.1 and 1.3 only $g$ has this pair of cycles of order 2. $g_{0}$ also has at least two cycles of order 2 , choose ( $i j$ ) other than (12). By definition 1.1 there exists $h \in G$ mapping the ordered 4 -tuple ( $a, b, c, d$ ) to the ordered 4 -tuple ( $1,2, i, j$ ). The elements $g$ and $h^{-1} g_{0} h$ are identical on $(a, b, c, d)$, so they are the same. This shows that $g$ and $g_{0}$ are conjugate in $G$, and their cycle structure is identical.

Proposition 5.2 For any $a, b, c, d$ there is exactly one element in $G$ with the cycles $(a b)(c)(d)$, and exactly one element with the cycles $(a b)(c d)$.

Proof: The ordered 4-tuple $(a, b, c, d)$ is mapped to $(b, a, c, d)$ in the first case, and to $(b, a, d, c)$ in the second case. By definitions 1.1 and 1.3 there are unique elements in $G$ satisfying these conditions.

We now count the number of elements of order 2 in $G$ using the two cycle strutures of proposition 5.2.

We start with the structure $(a b)(c)(d)$. There are $\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \times \frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2}$ ways to choose one 2 -cycle and two 1 -cycles, each choice defines a unique element of order 2 in $G$. There are many choices that lead to the same element in $G$, we count these using proposition 5.1. For even $n$ the two 1-cycles are fixed but there are $\frac{n-2}{2}$ choices of 2 -cycles that define the same element in $G$. For odd $n$ there are 3 choices of two 1 -cycles out of a given three, and $\frac{n-3}{2}$ choices of a 2 -cycle. Therefore there are $\frac{n(n-1)(n-3)}{2}$ elements of order 2 in $G$ for even $n$ and $\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{6}$ for odd $n$.

Now we use the structure $(a b)(c d)$. There are $\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \times \frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2}$ ways to choose two 2-cycles, each choice defines a unique element of order 2 in $G$. We count multiplicities as before. For even $n$ there are $\frac{1}{2} \frac{n-2}{2}\left(\frac{n-2}{2}-1\right)$ choices of two 2 -cycles that define the same element in $G$. For odd $n$ there are $\frac{1}{2} \frac{n-3}{2}\left(\frac{n-3}{2}-1\right)$ choices of a two 2 -cycles. Therefore there are $\frac{n(n-1)(n-3)}{n-4}$ elements of order 2 in $G$ for even $n$ and $\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{n-5}$ for odd $n$.

Comparing the two ways of counting, we come to the conclusion that either $n=6$ or $n=11$. The unique solution for $n=6$ is $A_{6}$. We will discuss the solution for $n=11$ in the next section.

Using proposition 2.5 we find that for $k \geq 4$ sharply $k$-transitive groups can be found in $S_{k+2}$ (these are exactly $A_{k+2}$ ) and in $S_{k+7}$.

Proposition 5.3 There are no sharply $k$-transitive groups for $k \geq 6$ except $A_{k+2}$.

Proof: We will prove that there are no 6-transitive groups in $S_{13}$, and using proposition 2.5 this completes the proof.

Assume $G$ is a sharply 6 -transitive group in $S_{13}$. Following the logic of proposition 5.1 every element of order 2 in $G$ a has four 2-cycles and five 1-cycles. As above we count the number of order 2 elements in $G$ using the same argument as proposition 5.2. We start with the cycle structure $(a b)(c)(d)(e)(f)$. There are $\frac{13 \cdot 12}{2} \times \frac{11 \cdot 10 \cdot 9 \cdot 8}{24}$ possible choices, and each element is chosen $4 \cdot 5$ times (one of four 2 -cycles and four of five 1 -cycles). The
number of elements of order 2 is then $\frac{13 \cdot 12 \cdot 11 \cdot 10 \cdot 9 \cdot 8}{48 \cdot 20}$. Now we use the structure $(a b)(c d)(e f)$. There are $\frac{1}{6} \frac{13.12}{2} \times \frac{11 \cdot 10}{2} \times \frac{48 \cdot 20}{2}$ possible choices, and each element is chosen 4 times (three of four 2 -cycles). The number of elements of order 2 is then $\frac{13 \cdot 12 \cdot 11 \cdot 10 \cdot 9 \cdot 8}{48 \cdot 4}$. The numbers are different, proving that there is no such $G$.

The conclusion is that for $k \geq 4$ the only sharply $k$-transitive groups are $A_{k+2}$, with the possible exception of a sharply 4-transitive group in $S_{11}$ and a sharply 5 -transitive group in $S_{12}$. These extra groups do exist, namely the Mathieu groups $M_{11}$ and $M_{12}$.

## 6 The Mathieu $M_{11}$ group

In this section we construct a sharply 4 -transitive group in $S_{11}$, namely the Mathieu $M_{11}$ group. The focus is on elements of order 2 as before. We will use the following proposition:

Proposition 6.1 Let $g$ and $h$ be two commuting permutations of order 2. If ( $a b$ ) is a 2-cycle of $g$ then exactly one of the following is true:

- $h$ contains the cycles $(a)(b)$, or
- $h$ contains the cycle ( $a b$ ) or
- $h$ contains the cycles $(a c)(b d)$, where $g$ contains $(c d)$.

Proof: If $h(a)=a$ then $g h(a)=g(a)=b$, and since $g h=h g$ we get $b=h g(a)=h(b)$. This is the first case.

If $h(a)=b$ then $g h(a)=g(b)=a$, and similarly $a=h g(a)=h(b)$, this is the second case.

The remaining possibility is $h(a)=c$, where $c$ is not $a$ nor $b$. If we write $g(c)=x$ and $h(b)=y$ then $g h(a)=g(c)=x$ and $h g(a)=h(b)=y$. Thus $x=y=d$, which can not be $a, b$ or $c$. Since both $g$ and $h$ are of order 2 we get the cycles of the third case.

By proposition 5.1 every element of order 2 in $M_{11}$ has four 2-cycles and three 1 -cycles. Up to isomorphism we may assume that $M_{11}$ contains $g_{1}=(12)(34)(56)(78)$. By 4 -transitivity there is a unique element $g_{2} \in$ $M_{11}$ containing the cycles (12)(3)(4). Both $g_{1} g_{2}$ and $g_{2} g_{1}$ are identical on $(1,2,3,4)$ so $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ commute. They share a 2 -cycle (12), and since they are not identical elements they can not share another 2-cycle (sharp

4-transitivity). Proposition 6.1 with the cycle (56), together with the fact that $g_{2}$ already has two 1-cycles, shows that up to isomorphism we can choose $g_{2}=(12)(57)(68)(910)$. Then $g_{1} g_{2}=(34)(58)(67)(910)$.

Let $g_{3}$ be the unique element in $M_{11}$ containing the cycles $(13)(24)$. As before $g_{1}$ and $g_{3}$ commute. We use the same argument, looking at the cycle (56) and using Proposition 6.1. Here, since all combinations of a pair of 2 -cycles covering $\{5,6,7,8\}$ are already present, $g_{3}$ can have either the cycle (56) or (78). Both are equivalent under isomorphism and we choose the first. $g_{2} g_{3}$ contains the cycle (1324), so it is an element of order 4 by proposition 2.4. If the last 2-cycle of $g_{3}$ is not (910) then $g_{2} g_{3}$ also contains a 3 -cycle, which is impossible. As a result, $g_{3}$ is $(13)(24)(56)(910)$. The group $<g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}>$ is of order 8 , including $g_{1} g_{3}=(14)(23)(78)(910)$ and two more elements of order 4.

Let $g_{4}$ be the unique element in $M_{11}$ containing the cycles $(13)(2)(4)$. Now $g_{3}$ and $g_{4}$ commute, similar to the relation between $g_{1}$ and $g_{2} . g_{4}$ then must have two 2 -cycles covering $\{5,6,9,10\}$ and one 2 -cycle from $\{7,8,11\}$. However, if 11 is in a 2 -cycle then $g_{1} g_{4}$ has a 4 -cycle covering $\{1,2,3,4\}$ and a 3 -cycle covering $\{7,8,11\}$, which is impossible. Since 9 and 10 are equivalent under isomorphism we can choose $g_{4}=(13)(59)(610)(78)$. The group $<g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}, g_{4}>$ is isomorphic to $S_{4}$, identical on 1-4 and embedded in 510. The remaining elements of order 2 in this group are $(24)(510)(69)(78)$, $(14)(56)(79)(810)$ and $(23)(56)(710)(89)$.

Let $g_{5}$ be the unique element of $M_{11}$ containing the cycles $(78)(911)$. This element commutes with $g_{1}$, by proposition 6.1 the 1 -cycles are 10 and one of the 2 -cycles of $g_{1}$. Since all combinations of 2 -cycles covering $\{1,2,3,4\}$ are already present, there are four possible combinations: $(15)(26),(16)(25),(35)(46)$ and $(36)(45)$. The product of $g_{4}$ and $(15)(26)(78)(911)$ is $(135119)(2610)$, which is illegal. The same happens with the choice $(35)(46)$. Note that conjugating $<g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}, g_{4}>$ with $(13)(24)(78)$ results in $<g_{1}, g_{1} g_{2}, g_{3}, g_{4}>$ which is the same. Therefore up to isomorphism we choose $g_{5}=(16)(25)(78)(911)$.

We have constructed a group $M_{11}=<g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}, g_{4}, g_{5}>$, and shown that it does not violate the conditions of sharp 4-transitivity, and that it is unique up to isomorphism. Using the GAP package we can show that the order of this group is $7920=11 \times 10 \times 9 \times 8$, and that it is in fact sharply 4-transitive. The 1, 2 and 3 point stabilizers can be computed. A small surprise is that the 1 point stabilizer of $M_{11}$ is a non-simple sharp 3-transitive group. It contains a normal subgroup of index 2 which is isomorphic to $A_{6}$.

