

E0-284 : Home Work - 3

Due on 1-10-2002

- (1) (a) Consider a 2 stage CMOS inverter with $L_n=L_p=0.8\mu\text{m}$ and $W_n=1.2\mu\text{m}$ and $W_p=3.6\mu\text{m}$. The second stage is loaded by a load capacitor of 100fF. This inverter is driven by a square wave input V_{in} with frequency of 100MHz with a rise and fall time of 1pS. Obtain the voltage waveform at the output of first and second inverter (V_{out1} and V_{out2}). Estimate T_{pHL} and T_{pLH} . (Note: for the second stage the propagation delay for V_{out2} is defined with respect to *its* input which is V_{out1}). Determine the impact on the propagation delay if the rise and fall time is changed to 10pS, 100pS and 500pS.
(b) Suppose the width of all transistors in this 2 stage inverter is increased by a factor of 10. (L is not changed). What is the impact on propagation delay of first stage and second stage. (Use input with rise and fall time of 1pS). Do you expect them to change by same amount? Why or why not?
- (2) Design a buffer to drive a large load capacitance of 1pF. Assume that the first stage has to be a minimum size inverter with matched N and P delays (i.e. for the first stage, choose $L_n=L_p=0.8\mu\text{m}$; and $W_n=1.2\mu\text{m}$ and $W_p=3.6\mu\text{m}$. This inverter has a gate capacitance of about 13fF for the $0.8\mu\text{m}$ technology that you are using). Through simulations, estimate the optimum number of stages and the corresponding stage ratio which minimizes the propagation delay from input to the output of the buffer. What is the rising delay (T_{pLH}), falling delay (T_{pHL}) and average delay $(T_{pLH} + T_{pHL})/2$. Use L-Edit to layout the buffer that you have designed. What is the area consumed by the buffer? If you use *folded transistor* layout for final stage of the buffer, how much delay improvement, if any, is obtained compared to the *non folded* layout?
- (3) Skewing of transistors in gates refers to deviating from $\beta_n=\beta_p$ condition. Depending on circuit application, skewing may be required to speed up the circuit if we are concerned only with the propagation delay of rising or falling edge through the gates. Consider the buffer that you have designed in (2).
 - (a) Suppose that we are interested in only the rising edge and not the falling edge. Then the widths of all transistors that come in the falling edge path can be decreased. i.e. the NMOS width of final stage (n^{th} stage), PMOS width of preceding stage ($n-1^{\text{th}}$ stage) can be decreased and so on... all the way to the first stage. Decrease the widths of appropriate N and P transistors in your buffer by a factor of 2. (The minimum width allowed in this technology is $0.8\mu\text{m}$). What is the improvement in the rising edge delay and what is the degradation in the falling edge delay? How are you getting the improvement in rising edge without changing the transistors that come in the rising edge path? Also estimate the average delay.
 - (b) Suppose that we are interested in only the falling edge and not the rising edge. Then the widths of all transistors that come in the rising edge path can be decreased. i.e. the PMOS width of final stage (n^{th} stage), NMOS width of preceding stage can be decreased ($n-1^{\text{th}}$ stage) and so on... all the way to the first stage. Decrease the widths of appropriate N and P transistors in your buffer by a factor of 2. (The minimum width allowed in this technology is $0.8\mu\text{m}$). What is the improvement in the falling edge delay and what is the degradation in the rising edge delay. How are you getting the improvement in falling edge without changing the transistors that come in the falling edge path? Also estimate the average delay.

NOTE: You are required to demonstrate the simulations in the lab to the lab instructors. Further you are required to submit one page summary of your findings by 1st October. If you submit more than one page report then you will be penalized for the extra pages that you submit.

REPORT : HW- 3

Q1.

Rise & fall time	Output 1		Output 2	
	Tphl(sec)	Tplh(sec)	Tphl(sec)	Tplh(sec)
1ps	3.4766e-010	2.6998e-010	2.3713n	1.2631n
10ps	3.1871e-010	2.6252e-010	2.3880n	1.3242n
100ps	3.9948e-010	2.5304e-010	2.3859n	1.2910n
500ps	4.3134e-010	3.4848e-010	2.3786n	1.1520n
Wnew=10xW Tr=tp=1ps	3.5135e-010	2.2915e-010	4.8303e-010	3.4853e-010

When changing the width & length to 10 times the tphl & tplh of first stage will not be affected since the first stage capacitor increases so the increase in current is nullified & delays remain essentially the same. However in the second stage since the load capacitor is very large so the change in cap. is negligible but the current is increasing by 10 times so there is appreciable change in the delays

Q.2 From the readings of simulation optimum no. of inverters required for the Multiple stage Buffer are 4 for the PMOS transistors designed a(=3) times wider than the NMOS devices (where $a=(W/L)_p/(W/L)_n$).

No. of stages	(sec)	a=2.6	a=2.8	a= 3	a= 3.2	a=3.4
3 stage	Tplh	3.7763n	3.6377n	3.5471n	3.4886n	3.4589n
	Tphl	5.1030n	4.7908n	4.5618n	4.3931n	4.2773n
	Avg	4.443 n	4.213 n	4.054 n	3.941 n	3.687 n
4 stage	Tplh	3.9130n	3.8356n	3.8240n	3.8447n	3.8950n
	Tphl	3.7346n	3.7555n	3.8087n	3.8758n	3.9644n
	Avg	3.823 n	3.795 n	3.816 n	3.859 n	3.929 n
5 stage	Tplh	4.1891n	4.1697n	4.2252n	4.3072n	4.4323n
	Tphl	3.8250n	3.9006n	4.0067n	4.1268n	4.2647n
	Avg	4.007 n	4.034 n	4.115 n	4.2165 n	4.348 n

	Tphl (sec)	Tplh (sec)	TOTAL AREA	ACTIVE AREA
UNFOLDED	4.5291n	4.5624n	36562Sq.lamb	18482Sq. lamb
FOLDED	4.5095n	4.5471n	44709Sq.lamb	15384 Sq. lamb.

from simulation it is observed that there is improvement in tphl & tplh by magnitude 0.0153n & 0.0196n sec respectively.

Note: In L-edit every successive stage is scaled by a factor of 3.

Q.3

- (a) **tplh = 1.8065n sec** tphl = 4.4829n sec
 (b) **tplh = 4.1614n sec** **tphl = 2.1241n sec**

In case (a) we are scaling NMOS of final stage its gate cap. C_g reduces so the prev stage will discharge quickly (smaller input cap.) and PMOS of $n-1^{th}$ stage is scaled so $n-2^{th}$ stage will charge quickly through reduced cap. of $n-1^{th}$ stage pmos. In this way over all tphl will get improved but at cost of tplh which degrades because of reduced discharging and charging current of n^{th} and $n-1^{th}$ stages and so on.

(b) Here just the opposite happens i.e the final stage PMOS C_g reduces (due to $W/2$) which causes quick charging the $n-1^{th}$ stage NMOS width reduced by half causes fast discharge & so on.

SUBMITTED BY
 AGNISH JAIN (I ME MICRO)
 HEMANT PARATE (I ME MICRO)